

Hack Your Language!

CSE401 Winter 2016

Introduction to Compiler Construction

Ras Bodik
Alvin Cheung
Maaz Ahmad
Talia Ringer
Ben Tebbs

Lecture 15: Pointer analysis and Intro to Solver-Aided DSLs

Andersen's algorithm
Constraint programming

Announcements

- Midterm regrade requests due by next Tuesday
- Project milestones
 - We have posted comments to all projects
 - We will pair up projects later this week

Today

- More pointer analysis
- Intro to constraint programming

Flow analysis of pointers (continued)

(See Lec 14 slides)

Constraint Programming

Constraint Programming

- A programming paradigm where relationships among program vars are stated using *constraints*
- Constraints are expressed using core language constructs
- Can be used to model many problems
 - Constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs)
 - Linear programming
 - ...
- “Running” the program → an assignment of the program var values such that *all constraints are satisfied*
 - Language runtime comes with solver

Languages

- Prolog (for constraint logic programming)
- Other languages with support for constraints
 - Wolfram language
 - Verilog
 - Babelsberg / Wallingford
- We will look at how to compile such languages

CP Examples

- Linear programming using the Wolfram language

Minimize $x + y$, subject to constraint $x + 2y \geq 3$ and implicit non-negative constraints:

```
In[1]:= LinearProgramming[{1, 1}, {{1, 2}}, {3}]
```

```
Out[1]= {0,  $\frac{3}{2}$ }
```

Solve the problem with equality constraint $x + 2y = 3$ and implicit non-negative constraints:

```
In[2]:= LinearProgramming[{1, 1}, {{1, 2}}, {{3, 0}}]
```

```
Out[2]= {0,  $\frac{3}{2}$ }
```


CP Examples

- SAT
 - Given logic propositions containing variables, find an assignment of each variable to {T,F} such that the overall proposition evaluates to T
 - Ex: $(A \vee B \vee \neg C) \wedge (\neg A \vee D)$
- N-queens problem
 - Place N queens on a chess board such that they do not attack each other

CP Examples

- Scheduling / Planning
- Resource allocation / optimization
- Visualization layout
- Natural language processing
- Molecular biology / genomics
- VLSI design

Constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs)

- Finite set of variables (x, y, z, \dots)
- Each variable can range over a nonempty set of values ($x \in \{1, 2, 3\}, y \in \{10, 42\}, \dots$)
- Finite set of constraints (C_1, C_2, \dots)
 - Each constraint limits the possible values that the variables can take

Our goal is to find an assignment of variable values that satisfy all constraints

Example: \$\$\$

Assign distinct digits to the following letters such that:

$$\text{SEND} + \text{MORE} = \text{MONEY}$$

Example: \$\$\$

- Formulate as CSP:
- Variables: $\{ S, E, N, D, M, O, R, Y \}$
- Constraints:

$$S \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}; E \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}; \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1000*S + 100*E + 10*N + D) \\ + & (1000*M + 100*O + 10*R + E) \\ = & (10000*M + 1000*O + 100*N + 10*E + Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$S \neq 0$$

$$M \neq 0$$

$$S \neq E; E \neq N; N \neq D; \dots$$

- **Solution: $9567 + 1085 = 10652$**

The 401_{CP} Language

Extending 401 with constraints

We will develop 401_{CP} in a few steps

1. Add construct to 401 to express constraints
2. Using 401_{CP} to write programs that enable verify a given solution
 - We will also need a way to compile and evaluate 401_{CP} programs
3. Add construct to 401_{CP} to enable us *search* for solutions in addition to verify

Our 401 language can be readily used for CP

- We just need to add the `assert` statement to 401
 - `assert(E)`: add a constraint stating that E is true
 - Multiple constraints can be expressed using multiple `assert` statements

\$\$ example in 401_{CP}

```
// v = { S, E, N, D, M, O, R, Y }
lambda check (v) {
  for (i in {0,1,...,7}) { // range of each variable
    assert(v[i] == 0 || v[i] == 1 || ... || v[i] == 9)
  }

  for (i in {0,1,...,7}) { // no two values are equal
    for (j in {0,1,...,7}) {
      if (i != j) { assert(v[i] != v[j]) }
    }
  }

  assert(v[0] != 0 && v[4] != 0) // S != 0 and M != 0

  assert(1000*v[0] + 100*v[1] + 10*v[2] + v[3]) // the actual math
    + (1000*v[4] + 100*v[5] + 10*v[6] + v[1])
    == (10000*v[4] + 1000*v[5] + 100*v[2] + 10*v[1] + v[6]))
}

// check solution
check({0=2, 1=3, 2=9, ...})
```

Evaluating 401_{CP} programs

- Evaluate `assert(E)`:

```
tmp = E;
```

```
if (!tmp) { error }
```

- But our interpreter can also evaluate 401_{CP} programs using a solver
 - First we need to compile our program into a logical formula
 - Then we run the program by sending the compiled formula to a solver

Program as logical formula

- We need to translate each **assert** into a logical formula
- We will do this manually for now

```
for (i in {0,1,...,7}) { // range of each variable
  assert(v[i] == 0 || v[i] == 1 || ... || v[i] == 9)
}
```

→

```
let v0, v1,..., v7 in
(v0=0 ∨ v0=1 ∨ v0=2 ...) ∧ (v1=0 ∨ v1=1 ∨ v1=2 ...) ∧ ...
```

Program as logical formula

```
for (i in {0,1,...,7}) { // no two values are equal
  for (j in {0,1,...,7}) {
    if (i != j) { assert(v[i] != v[j]) }
  }
}
```

→

$v_0 \neq v_1 \wedge v_0 \neq v_2 \wedge v_0 \neq v_3 \dots \wedge v_1 \neq v_2 \wedge v_1 \neq v_3 \dots$

Program as logical formula

- Finally

`lambda check(v) { ... }`

→

$F(v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, r): r = (v_0=0 \vee v_0=1 \vee v_0=2 \dots) \wedge \dots$

- And

`check({0=9, 1=5, 2=6, ...})`

→

$F(v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, r) \wedge v_0=9 \wedge v_1=5 \wedge v_2=6$

- Asking the solver to solve for $r \rightarrow r = \text{true}$
 - i.e., our guess worked

But why bother?

- We could just evaluate extend our 401 interpreter to handle assert
- Solver can find missing values s.t. the formula to evaluate to true:
 - We can use it to run our program backwards!
 - This is the first step towards *program synthesis*

From verification to synthesis

Using the solver as a program inverter

- Back to our \$\$ example

$\text{check}(\{0=9, 1=5, 2=6, \dots\})$

→

$F(v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, r) \wedge v_0=9 \wedge v_1=5 \wedge v_2=6$

Solve for $r \rightarrow r = \text{true}$

- What if we instead write

$F(v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, r) \wedge r = \text{true}$

Solve for $v_0, v_1, v_2 \rightarrow v_0=9, v_1=5, v_2=6$

We just executed the program backwards!

How can we utilize this in 401_{CP} ?

- Let's add a new construct: **choose**
 - Semantics: imagine a code fairy picks a value for each **choose** such that the resulting formula evaluates to true
 - Reality: Ask the solver to a value
 - The code fairy is known as an *oracle*
 - This is called *angelic execution*

One line change to the previous program

```
// Find a solution
```

```
check({0=choose(), 1=choose(), 2=choose(), ...})
```

- We translate the program to a formula the same as before
- We now ask the solver to find the values of v_0, v_1, \dots
- We still need:
 - A systematic way to compile 401_{CP} constructs into logical formulas
 - A way to come up with angelic values that can scale to large programs

A More Complex Example

Sudoku in 401_{CP}

Sudoku checker

```
lambda sudoku(puzzle, rows, cols)
  // all digits between 1 and n^2
  for (r in {0,...,rows}) {
    for (c in {0,...,cols}) {
      assert(puzzle[r][c] >= 1 && puzzle[r][c] <= square(n))
    }
  }
  // all digits in a row are different
  for (r in {0,...,rows}) {
    for (c1 in {0,...,cols}) {
      for (c2 in {0,...,cols}) {
        if (c1 != c2) { assert(puzzle[r][c1] != puzzle[r][c2]) }
      }
    }
  }
  // likewise for all digits in a column
  ...
  // all digits in a subgrid are different
  ...
}
```

Define a puzzle and check a solution

```
def p0=puzzle  
    "0000000104000000000200000000005040700800030000109000030  
0400200050100000000806000", 9, 9))
```

								1
4								
	2							
			5	6	4			
		8			3			
		1	9					
3			4		2			
	5		1					
			8	7				

Evaluating the program will return false (i.e., not a valid solution)

From Sudoku checker to Sudoku solver

Simply replace each 0 (empty cell / unknown) with a call to the oracle using choose

```
lambda sudoku(puzzle, rows, cols)
  for (r in {0,...,rows}) {
    for (c in {0,...,cols}) {
      if (puzzle[r][c] == 0) { puzzle[r][c] = choose() }
    }
  }
  ...
```

Solving

choose will select values that will pass the check for a solution

sudoku(p0, 9, 9)

7	9	3	6	8	4	5	1	2
4	8	6	5	1	2	9	3	7
1	2	5	9	7	3	8	4	6
9	3	2	7	5	1	6	8	4
5	7	8	2	4	6	3	9	1
6	4	1	3	9	8	7	2	5
3	1	9	4	6	5	2	7	8
8	5	7	1	2	9	4	6	3
2	6	4	8	3	7	1	5	9