

CSE 390Z: Mathematics for Computation Workshop

Week 4 Workshop Solutions

Name: _____ Collaborators: _____

Conceptual Review

(a) What's the definition of "a divides b"?

Solution:

$$a \mid b \leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} (b = ka)$$

(b) What's the definition of "a is congruent to b modulo m"?

Solution:

$$a \equiv_m b \leftrightarrow m \mid (a - b)$$

(c) What's the Division Theorem?

Solution:

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $d > 0$, there exist unique integers q, r with $0 \leq r < d$, such that $a = dq + r$.

(d) What's a good strategy for writing English proofs?

Solution:

- For each for all quantifier, introduce an arbitrary variable.
- If there is an implication, assume the left-hand side of the statement.
- Unroll the definitions using given predicates and theorems.
- Use propositional logic rules and / or math to manipulate the definitions. This is the creative part of the proof.
- Re-roll your definitions to derive the desired outcome.

1. Example Proofs

(a) Prove that if n, m are odd, then $n + m$ is even.

Solution:

Let n, m be arbitrary odd integers. Then by definition of odd, $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . Similarly by definition of odd, $m = 2j + 1$ for some integer j . Then $n + m = 2k + 1 + 2j + 1 = 2k + 2j + 2 = 2(k + j + 1)$. Then by definition, $n + m$ is even.

(b) Let m be a positive integer. Prove that if $a \equiv_m b$ and $c \equiv_m d$, then $a + c \equiv_m b + d$.

Solution:

Let $m > 0$, a, b, c, d be arbitrary integers. Assume that $a \equiv_m b$ and $c \equiv_m d$. Then by definition of mod, $m \mid (a - b)$ and $m \mid (c - d)$. Then by definition of divides, there exists some integer k such that $a - b = mk$, and there exists some integer j such that $c - d = mj$. Then $(a - b) + (c - d) = mk + mj$. Rearranging, $(a + c) - (b + d) = m(k + j)$. Then by definition of divides, $m \mid (a + c) - (b + d)$. Then by definition of congruence, $a + c \equiv_m b + d$.

2. Computation

(a) Which of the following statements are true?

Recall for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$: $a \mid b$ iff $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} (b = ka)$.

- (a) $1 \mid 3$
- (b) $3 \mid 1$
- (c) $2 \mid 2018$
- (d) $-2 \mid 12$
- (e) $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \mid 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$

Solution:

- (a) True
- (b) False
- (c) True
- (d) True
- (e) True

(b) Which of the following statements are true?

Recall for $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m > 0$: $a \equiv_m b$ iff $m \mid (a - b)$.

- (a) $-3 \equiv_3 3$
- (b) $0 \equiv_9 9000$
- (c) $44 \equiv_7 13$
- (d) $-58 \equiv_5 707$
- (e) $58 \equiv_5 707$

Solution:

- (a) True
- (b) True
- (c) False
- (d) True
- (e) False

3. A Rational Conclusion

Note: This problem will walk you through the steps of an English proof. If you feel comfortable writing the proof already, feel free to jump directly to part (h).

Let the predicate $\text{Rational}(x)$ be defined as $\exists a \exists b (\text{Integer}(a) \wedge \text{Integer}(b) \wedge b \neq 0 \wedge x = \frac{a}{b})$. Prove the following claim:

$$\forall x \forall y (\text{Rational}(x) \wedge \text{Rational}(y) \wedge (y \neq 0) \rightarrow \text{Rational}(\frac{x}{y}))$$

- (a) Translate the claim to English.

Solution:

If x is rational and $y \neq 0$ is rational, then $\frac{x}{y}$ is rational.

- (b) State the givens and declare any arbitrary variables you need to use.

Hint: there are no givens in this problem.

Solution:

Let x and y be arbitrary.

- (c) State the assumptions you're making.

Hint: assume everything on the left side of the implication.

Solution:

Suppose x and y are rational numbers and that $y \neq 0$.

- (d) Unroll the predicate definitions from your assumptions.

Solution:

Since x and y are rational numbers, by definition there are integers a, b, n, m with $b, n \neq 0$ such that $x = \frac{a}{b}$ and $y = \frac{m}{n}$.

- (e) Manipulate what you have towards your goal (might be easier to do the next step first).

Solution:

Then $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a/b}{m/n} = \frac{a \cdot n}{b \cdot m}$. Let $p = a \cdot n$ and $q = b \cdot m$. Note that since $y \neq 0$, m cannot be 0, and since $b \neq 0$ then $q \neq 0$. Because a, b, m, n are integers, $a \cdot n$ and $b \cdot m$ are integers.

- (f) Reroll into your predicate definitions.

Solution:

Since $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{p}{q}$, p, q are integers, and $q \neq 0$, $\frac{x}{y}$ is rational.

- (g) State your final claim.

Solution:

Because x and y were arbitrary, for any rational numbers x and y with $y \neq 0$, $\frac{x}{y}$ is rational.

- (h) Now take these proof parts and assemble them into one cohesive English proof.

Solution:

Let x and y be arbitrary rational numbers with $y \neq 0$. Since x and y are rational numbers, by definition there are integers a, b, n, m with $b, n \neq 0$ such that $x = \frac{a}{b}$ and $y = \frac{m}{n}$. Then $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a/b}{m/n} = \frac{a \cdot n}{b \cdot m}$. Let $p = a \cdot n$ and $q = b \cdot m$. Note that since $y \neq 0$, m cannot be 0, and since $b \neq 0$ then $q \neq 0$. Because a, b, m, n are integers, $a \cdot n$ and $b \cdot m$ are integers. Since $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{p}{q}$, p, q are integers, and $q \neq 0$, $\frac{x}{y}$ is rational. Because x and y were arbitrary, for any rational numbers x and y with $y \neq 0$ $\frac{x}{y}$ is rational.

4. Divisibility Proof

Let the domain of discourse be integers. Consider the following claim:

$$\forall n \forall d ((d \mid n) \rightarrow (-d \mid n))$$

(a) Translate the claim into English.

Solution:

For integers n, d , if $d \mid n$, then $-d \mid n$.

(b) Write a formal proof to show that the claim holds.

Solution:

1. Let n be an arbitrary integer.
2. Let d be an arbitrary integer.
 - 3.1. $d \mid n$ (Assumption)
 - 3.2. $\exists k (n = kd)$ (Definition of divides, from 3.1)
 - 3.3. $n = jd$ (\exists elimination, from 3.2)
 - 3.4. $n = (-d)(-j)$ (Algebra, from 3.3)
 - 3.5. $\exists k (n = k(-d))$ (Intro \exists , from 3.4)
 - 3.6. $-d \mid n$ (Definition of divides, from 3.5)
3. $(d \mid n) \rightarrow (-d \mid n)$ (Direct Proof Rule, from 3.1-3.6)
4. $\forall d ((d \mid n) \rightarrow (-d \mid n))$ (Intro \forall , from 3)
5. $\forall n \forall d ((d \mid n) \rightarrow (-d \mid n))$ (Intro \forall , from 4)

(c) Translate your proof to English.

Solution:

Let d, n be arbitrary integers, and suppose $d \mid n$. By definition of divides, there exists some integer k such that $n = dk = 1 \cdot dk$. Note that $-1 \cdot -1 = 1$. Substituting, we see $n = (-1)(-1)dk$. Rearranging, we have $n = (-d)(-1 \cdot k)$. Since k is an integer, $-1 \cdot k$ is an integer because the integers are closed under multiplication. So, by definition of divides, $-d \mid n$. Since d and n were arbitrary, it follows that for any integers d and n , if $d \mid n$, then $-d \mid n$.

5. Modular Arithmetic Proof

Write an English proof to prove that for an integer $m > 0$ and any integers a, b, c, d , if $a \equiv_m b$ and $c \equiv_m d$, then $ac \equiv_m bd$.

Solution:

Let $m > 0$, a, b, c, d be arbitrary integers. Assume that $a \equiv_m b$ and $c \equiv_m d$. Then by definition of mod, $m \mid (a - b)$ and $m \mid (c - d)$. Then by definition of divides, there exists some integer k such that $a - b = mk$, and there exists some integer j such that $c - d = mj$. Then $a = b + mk$ and $c = d + mj$. So, multiplying, $ac = (b + mk)(d + mj) = bd + mkd + mjb + m^2jk = bd + m(kd + jb + mjk)$. Subtracting bd from both sides, $ac - bd = m(kd + jb + mjk)$. By definition of divides, $m \mid ac - bd$. Then by definition of congruence, $ac \equiv_m bd$.

6. Another Divisibility Proof

Write an English proof to prove that if k is an odd integer, then $4 \mid k^2 - 1$.

Solution:

Let k be an arbitrary odd integer. Then by definition of odd, $k = 2j + 1$ for some integer j . Then $k^2 - 1 = (2j + 1)^2 - 1 = 4j^2 + 4j + 1 - 1 = 4j^2 + 4j = 4(j^2 + j)$. Then by definition of divides, $4 \mid k^2 - 1$.