Drawbacks of single cycle implementation

- All instructions take the same time although
  - some instructions are longer than others;
    - e.g. load is longer than add since it has to access data memory in addition to all the other steps that add does
  - thus the “cycle” has to be for the “longest path”
- Some combinational units must be replicated since used in the same cycle
  - e.g., ALU for computing branch address and ALU for computing branch outcome
    - but this is no big deal

Alternative to single cycle

- Have a shorter cycle and instructions execute in multiple (shorter) cycles
- The (shorter) cycle time determined by the longest delay in individual functional units (e.g., memory or ALU etc.)
- Possibility to streamline some resources since they will be used at different cycles
- Since there is need to keep information “between cycles”, we’ll need to add some stable storage (registers) not visible at the ISA level
- Not all instructions will require the same number of cycles

Multiple cycle implementation

- Follows the decomposition of the steps for the execution of instructions
  - Cycle 1. Instruction fetch and increment PC
  - Cycle 2. Instruction decode and read source registers and branch address computation
  - Cycle 3. ALU execution or memory address calculation or set PC if branch successful
  - Cycle 4. Memory access (load/store) or write register (arith/log)
  - Cycle 5 Write register (load)
- Note that branch takes 3 cycles, load takes 5 cycles, all others take 4 cycles

Instruction fetch

- Because fields in the instruction are needed at different cycles, the instruction has to be kept in stable storage, namely an *Instruction Register* (IR)
- The register transfer level actions during this step

  IR ← Memory[PC]

  PC ← PC + 4
- Resources required
  - Memory (but no need to distinguish between instruction and data memories)
  - ALU to increment PC
  - IR

Instruction decode and read source registers

- Instruction decode: send opcode to control unit and…(see later)
- Perform "optimistic" computations that are not harmful
  - Read rs and rt and store them in *non-ISA visible registers* A and B that will be used as input to ALU

  A ← REG[IR[25:21]] (read rs)

  B ← REG[IR[20:16]] (read rt)

  - Compute the branch address just in case we had a branch!

  ALUout ← PC +(sign-ext(IR[15:0]) *4 (again a *non-ISA visible register*)
- New resources
  - A, B, ALUout

ALU execution

- If instruction is R-type

  ALUout ←A op. B
- If instruction is Immediate

  ALUout ←A op. sign-extend(IR[15:0])
- If instruction is Load/Store

  ALUout ← A + sign-extend(IR[15:0])
- If instruction is branch

  If (A=B) then PC ← ALUout (note this is the ALUout computed in the previous cycle)
- No new resources

Memory access or ALU completion

- If Load

  MDR ← Memory[ALUout] (MDR is the *Memory Data Register* non-ISA visible register)
- If Store

  Memory[ALUout] ← B
- If arith

  Reg[IR[15:11]] ← ALUout
- New resources
  - MDR

Load completion

- Write result register

  Reg[IR[20:16]] ← ALUout

Streamlining of resources (cf. Figure 5.31)

- No distinction between instruction and data memory
- Only one ALU
- But a few more muxes and registers (IR, MDR etc.)