


Review

- Increment instructions like `addi $t0, $t0, 1` have the potential to trash a register value in the single cycle design; why don't they?
- How long to `lw $t0, -4($sp)`?

reading the instruction memory	?ns
reading the base register \$sp	?ns
computing memory address \$sp-4	?ns
reading the data memory	?ns
storing data back to \$t0	?ns




It gets worse!

We've made **very** optimistic assumptions about memory latency:

Main memory accesses on modern machines is >50ns

For comparison, an ALU op on a typical machine ~0.3ns

Our worst case cycle (loads) includes 2 memory accesses: $50 + 1 + 2 + 50 + 1 = 104$



A multicycle approach

We've informally described instructions as executing in several steps

1. Instruction fetch and PC increment.
2. Reading sources from the register file.
3. Performing an ALU computation.
4. Reading or writing (data) memory.
5. Storing data back to the register file.

What if we made these stages *explicit* in the hardware design?

3

Performance benefits

Each instruction can execute only the stages that are necessary.

- ❖ Arithmetic
- ❖ Load
- ❖ Store
- ❖ Branches

Proposed execution stages

1. Instruction fetch and PC increment
2. Reading sources from the register file
3. Performing an ALU computation
4. Reading or writing (data) memory
5. Storing data back to the register file

This would mean that instructions complete as soon as possible, instead of being limited by the slowest instruction

4

The clock cycle

Things are simpler if we assume that each **stage** takes one clock cycle

- ❖ Instructions therefore require multiple clock cycles to execute
- ❖ But since each stage is fairly simple, the cycle time can be low

For the proposed execution stages below and the sample datapath delays shown earlier, each stage needs 2ns at most

- ❖ This accounts for the slowest devices, the ALU & data memory
- ❖ A 2ns clock cycle time corresponds to a 500MHz clock rate!

Proposed execution stages

1. Instruction fetch and PC increment
2. Reading sources from the register file
3. Performing an ALU computation
4. Reading or writing (data) memory
5. Storing data back to the register file

more than 50 times faster than single cycle


5

How Does Multicycle Fix *lw*?

Load word has two memory accesses; do they still take 50ns each?

Yes, sometimes, but the multi-cycle shifts the emphasis to the common case:

- ❖ Normally, the memory references are repeats and “hit” in the instruction and data caches, i.e. the desired addresses have been referenced before and are still around ... **charge 2ns**
- ❖ When they are not (full 50ns case) everything stalls for that one reference ... **charge 50 ns or 100 ns**



We must use slow memory, but we can soften its effect

6

Multicycle Design

- Consider the changes required in our design to turn it into a multicycle version.
- Topics
 - ❖ Hardware savings – adders
 - ❖ Restructuring design – adding muxes
 - ❖ Unifying the I- and D-memories – IorD mux
 - ❖ Carrying results over cycles – temporary registers
 - ❖ Register Write Signals
 - ❖ Final Design

7

Cost Benefits Of Multi-cycle Design

As an added bonus, we can eliminate some of the hardware from the single-cycle datapath

- ❖ We will restrict ourselves to using each functional unit once per cycle, just like before
- ❖ But since instructions require multiple cycles, we could reuse some units in a *different* cycle during the execution of a single instruction

For example, we could use the same ALU:

- ❖ to increment the PC (first clock cycle), and
- ❖ for arithmetic operations (third clock cycle)

Proposed execution stages

1. Instruction fetch and PC increment
2. Reading sources from the register file
3. Performing an ALU computation
4. Reading or writing (data) memory
5. Storing data back to the register file

8

Two extra adders

Our original single-cycle datapath had an ALU and two adders.

The arithmetic-logic unit had two responsibilities.


- ❖ Doing an operation on two registers for arithmetic instructions.
- ❖ Adding a register to a sign-extended constant, to compute effective addresses for `lw` and `sw` instructions.

One of the extra adders incremented the PC by computing $PC + 4$.

The other adder computed branch targets, by adding a sign-extended, shifted offset to $(PC + 4)$.

9

The extra adders of single-cycle



10

Our new adder setup

We can eliminate *both* extra adders in a multicycle datapath, and use just one ALU, with suitable muxes

A 2-to-1 mux **ALUSrcA** sets the first ALU input to be the PC or a register

A 4-to-1 mux **ALUSrcB** selects the second ALU input :


- the register file (for arithmetic operations),
- a constant 4 (to increment the PC),
- a sign-extended constant (for effective addresses), and
- a sign-extended and shifted constant (for branch targets).

This permits a single ALU to perform all of the necessary functions.

- Arithmetic operations on two register operands
- Incrementing the PC
- Computing effective addresses for lw and sw
- Adding a sign-extended, shifted offset to (PC + 4) for branches

11

The multicycle adder setup highlighted



12

Eliminating a memory

Similarly, we can get by with one **unified memory**, which will store *both* program instructions *and* data (a Princeton architecture)

This memory is used in the instruction fetch and data access stages, and an address could come from:

- ❖ the PC register (when we're fetching an instruction), or
- ❖ the ALU output (for the effective address of a *lw* or *sw*)


We add another 2-to-1 mux, *lorD*, to decide whether the memory is being accessed for instructions or data

Proposed execution stages

1. Instruction fetch and PC increment
2. Reading sources from the register file
3. Performing an ALU computation
4. Reading or writing (data) memory
5. Storing data back to the register file

13

The new memory setup highlighted



14

Intermediate registers

Sometimes we need the output of a functional unit in a later clock cycle during one instruction execution


- ❖ The instruction word fetched in stage 1 determines the destination of the register write in stage 5
- ❖ The ALU result for an address computation in stage 3 is needed as the memory address for lw or sw in stage 4

These outputs will have to be stored in intermediate registers for future use. Otherwise they would probably be lost by the next clock cycle

- ❖ The instruction read in stage 1 is saved in **instruction register**
- ❖ Register file outputs from stage 2 are saved in registers **A** and **B**
- ❖ The ALU output will be stored in a register **ALUOut**
- ❖ Any data fetched from memory in stage 4 is kept in the **memory data register**, also called **MDR**

15

The final multicycle datapath



16

Register write control signals


We must add a few more control signals to the datapath
 Since instructions now take a variable number of cycles to execute, we cannot update the PC on each cycle

- ❖ Instead, a **PCWrite** signal controls the loading of the PC
- ❖ The instruction register also has a write signal, **IRWrite**. We need to keep the instruction word for the duration of its execution, and must explicitly re-load the instruction register when needed

The other intermediate registers, MDR, A, B and ALUOut, will store data for only one clock cycle at most, and do not need write control signals

17


Final Design



add \$s4, \$t1, \$t2
 lw \$t0, -4(\$sp)
 beq \$at, \$0, offset


1. Instruction fetch and PC increment
2. Reading sources from the register file
3. Performing an ALU computation
4. Reading or writing (data) memory
5. Storing data back to the register file

Stage 1: Instruction fetch & PC increment




19

Register File Read




20

Stage 2: Reg fetch & branch target




21

Stage 3 (R-type): instruction execution




22

Stage 4 (R-type): write back




23

Stage 3 (sw): compute effective address




24

Stage 4 (sw): memory write




25

Stage 4 (lw): memory read



26

Stage 5 (lw): register write



Summary

A single-cycle CPU has two main disadvantages

- ❖ The cycle time is limited by the worst case latency
- ❖ It requires more hardware than necessary

A **multicycle processor** splits instruction execution into several stages

- ❖ Instructions only execute as many stages as required
- ❖ Each stage is relatively simple, so the clock cycle time is reduced
- ❖ Functional units can be reused on different cycles

We made several modifications to the single-cycle datapath

- ❖ The two extra adders and one memory were removed
- ❖ Multiplexers were inserted so the ALU and memory can be used for different purposes in different execution stages
- ❖ New registers are needed to store intermediate results

28