





This c	an be	transla	ated	into	a sta	ate ta	ble;	here	e are t	he fi	rst tv	vo si	tates	5.
Current	lagut	Neut					Ou	tput (C	ontrol signa	ıls)				
State	(Op)	State	PC Write	lorD	Mem Read	Mem Write	IR Write	Reg Dst	MemTo Reg	Reg Write	ALU SrcA	ALU SrcB	ALU Op	PC Source
Instr Fetch	х	Reg Fetch	1	0	1	0	1	х	х	0	0	01	010	0
Reg Fetch	BEQ.	Branch compl	0	х	0	0	0	х	х	0	0	11	010	х
Reg Fetch	R-type	R-type execute	0	х	0	0	0	х	х	0	0	11	010	х
Reg Fetch	LW/S W	Compute eff addr	0	х	0	0	0	х	х	0	0	11	010	х

- - Represent the current state using flip-flops or a register.
 - Find equations for the next state and (control signal) outputs in terms of the current state and input (instruction word).
- Or you can use the easy way.
 - Stick the whole state table into a memory, like a ROM.
 - This would be much easier, since you don't have to derive equations.

Pitfalls of state machines

- As mentioned last time, we could translate this state diagram into a state table, and then make a logic circuit or stick it into a ROM.
- This works pretty well for our small example, but designing a finite-state machine for a larger instruction set is much harder.
 - There could be many states in the machine. For example, some MIPS instructions need 20 stages to execute in some implementations—each of which would be represented by a separate state.
 - There could be many paths in the machine. For example, the DEC VAX from 1978 had nearly 300 opcodes... that's a lot of branching!
 - There could be many outputs. For instance, the Pentium Pro's integer datapath has 120 control signals, and the floating-point datapath has 285 control signals.
 - Implementing and maintaining the control unit for processors like these would be a nightmare. You'd have to work with large Boolean equations or a huge state table.

5

7

Motivation for microprogramming Think of the control unit's state diagram as a little program.

- Each state represents a "command," or a set of control signals that tells the datapath what to do.
- Several commands are executed sequentially.
- "Branches" may be taken depending on the instruction opcode.
- The state machine "loops" by returning to the initial state.
- Why don't we invent a special language for making the control unit?
 - We could devise a more readable, higher-level notation rather than dealing directly with binary control signals and state transitions.
 - We would design control units by writing "programs" in this language.
 - We will depend on a hardware or software translator to convert our programs into a circuit for the control unit.

6

A good notation is very useful

- Instead of specifying the exact binary values for each control signal, we will define a symbolic notation that's easier to work with.
- As a simple example, we might replace ALUSrcB = 01 with ALUSrcB = 4.
- We can also create symbols that *combine* several control signals together. Instead of

lorD = 0 MemRead = 1 IRWrite = 1

it would be nicer to just say something like

Read PC

Microinstructions PCWrite ALU Register Label Src1 Src2 Memory Next control control control • For the MIPS multicycle we could define microinstructions with eight fields. - These fields will be filled in symbolically, instead of in binary. - They determine all the control signals for the datapath. There are only 8 fields because some of them specify more than one of the 12 actual control signals. - A microinstruction corresponds to one execution stage, or one cycle. • You can see that in each microinstruction, we can do something with the ALU, register file, memory, and program counter units. 8











BEQ1	ol would						
Contro	ol would						
The 1	in the la ("dispat	abel BE ch tabl	Q1 remind e 1"), fron	s us that w n the seco	ve came h nd execut	iere via the ion stage.	e first brar

Label	ALU control	Src1	Src2	Register control	Memory	PCWrite control	Next			
Rtype1										

- What if the opcode indicates an R-type instruction?
 - The first cycle here performs an operation on registers A and B, based on the MIPS instruction's func field.
 - The next stage writes the ALU output to register "rd" from the MIPS instruction word.
- We can then go back to the Fetch microinstruction, to fetch and execute the next MIPS instruction.

Completing data transfer instructions PCWrite ALU Register Label control Src1 Src2 control control Memory Next Dispatch 2 Mem1 SW2 LW2 • For both sw and lw instructions, we should first compute the effective memory address, A + sign-extend(IR[15-0]). • Another dispatch or branch distinguishes between stores and loads. - For sw, we store data (from B) to the effective memory address. - For lw we copy data from the effective memory address to register rt. • In either case, we continue on to Fetch after we're done. 16

Microprogramming vs. programming

- Microinstructions correspond to control signals.
 - They describe what is done in a single clock cycle.
 - These are the most basic operations available in a processor.
- Microprograms implement higher-level MIPS instructions.
 - MIPS assembly language instructions are comparatively complex, each possibly requiring multiple clock cycles to execute.
 - But each complex MIPS instruction can be implemented with several simpler microinstructions.



17

Similarities with assembly language

- Microcode is intended to make control unit design easier.
 - We defined symbols like Read PC to replace binary control signals.
 - A translator can convert microinstructions into a real control unit.
 - The translation is straightforward, because each microinstruction corresponds to one set of control values.
- This sounds similar to MIPS assembly language!
 - We use mnemonics like lw instead of binary opcodes like 100011.
 - MIPS programs must be assembled to produce real machine code.
 - Each MIPS instruction corresponds to a 32-bit instruction word.

18

Managing complexity

- It looks like all we've done is devise a new notation that makes it easier to specify control signals.
- That's exactly right! It's all about managing complexity.
 - Control units are probably the most challenging part of CPU design.
 - Large instruction sets require large state machines with many states, branches and outputs.
 - Control units for multicycle processors are difficult to create and maintain.
- Applying programming ideas to hardware design is a useful technique.



Situations when microprogramming is bad One disadvantage of microprograms is that looking up control signals in a ROM can be *slower* than generating them from simplified circuits. Sometimes complex instructions implemented in hardware are *slower* than equivalent assembly programs written using simpler instructions Complex instructions are usually very general, so they can be used more often. But this also means they can't be optimized for specific operands or situations. Some microprograms just aren't written very efficiently. But since they're built into the CPU, people are stuck with them (at least until the next processor upgrade).



- Modern CISC processors (like x86) use a combination of hardwired logic and microcode to balance design effort with performance.
 - Control for many simple instructions can be implemented in hardwired logic
 - Less-used or very complex instructions are microprogrammed to make the design easier and more flexible.

- In this way, designers observe the "first law of performance"
 - Make the common case fast!







Comparing cycle times

- The clock period has to be long enough to allow all of the required work to complete within the cycle.
- In the single-cycle datapath, the "required work" was just the complete execution of any instruction.
 - The longest instruction, lw, requires 13ns (3 + 2 + 3 + 3 + 2).
 - So the clock cycle time has to be 13ns, for a 77MHz clock rate.
- For the multicycle datapath, the "required work" is only a single stage.
 - The longest delay is 3ns, for both the ALU and the memory.
 - So our cycle time has to be 3ns, or a clock rate of 333MHz.
 - The register file needs only 2ns, but it must wait an extra 1ns to stay synchronized with the other functional units.

25

• The single-cycle cycle time is limited by the slowest *instruction*, whereas the multicycle cycle time is limited by the slowest *functional unit*.

Comparing instruction execution times

- In the single-cycle datapath, each instruction needs an entire clock cycle, or 13ns, to execute.
- With the multicycle CPU, different instructions need different numbers of clock cycles, and hence different amounts of time.
 - A branch needs 3 cycles, or 3 x 3ns = 9ns.
 - Arithmetic and sw instructions each require 4 cycles, or 12ns.
 - Finally, a lw takes 5 stages, or 15ns.
- We can make some observations about performance already.
- Loads take *longer* with this multicycle implementation, while all other instructions are faster than before.
- So if our program doesn't have too many loads, then we should see an increase in performance.





Multicycle Wrap-up

- A multicycle processor splits instruction execution into several stages, each of which requires one clock cycle.
 - Each instruction can be executed in as few stages as necessary.
- Multicycle control is more complex than the single cycle implementation — Extra multiplexers and temporary registers are needed.
 - The control unit must generate sequences of control signals.
 - Microprogramming helps manage the complexity by aggregating control signals into groups and using symbolic names
 Just like assembly is easier than machine code

Next time, we begin our foray into pipelining.

- The multicycle implementation makes a good launch point.