## The 3 C's

Taxonomy of misses --- misses can be classified as:

## Compulsory (or cold)

- · caused by the first reference to a block
- how to eliminate: increase block size

#### Capacity

- the cache is not big enough to hold all the blocks that were referenced
- how to eliminate: increase cache size

### Conflict

- two blocks map to the same set & all blocks in the set are valid
- · how to eliminate: increase associativity

CSE378 Susan Eggers

# **Design Trade-offs**

### Cache size

the bigger the cache

- + the higher the hit ratio (fewer capacity misses)
- the longer the access time

## Calculating cache size

- cache size: # bytes of data
  - different than the number of bits of memory needed to build a cache (data, tags, state)
- # bytes/block \* associativity \* # sets
- example: 64KB cache, 32B blocks, 2-way set-associative
  - what is the cache size?
  - how many bits does it take to implement the cache?

## **Design Tradeoffs**

#### **Block size**

#### large blocks

- + can take better advantage of spatial locality (& reduce compulsory misses)
- have better transfer efficiency when transferring data from memory
  - less block transfer overhead per block the overhead: 1 address transmission & 1 memory lookup for a block of any size
  - amortize the overhead by transferring many words in 1 memory request
- + have less tag overhead
  - 64KB, direct-mapped, 4B blocks: 2<sup>14</sup> tags
  - 64KB, direct-mapped, 16B blocks: 212 tags
- have larger transfer latency
- might not access all the bytes in the block
  - · have transferred the words from memory for nothing
- might increase capacity misses because bigger blocks means fewer blocks in the cache

CSE378 Susan Eggers

# **Design Tradeoffs**

### **Associativity**

with a larger associativity:

- + higher hit ratio
  - more places to put data for a particular index value (reduces conflict misses)
  - · more important for small caches
  - · gains diminish as increase associativity
- slightly larger hardware cost
  - · comparator for each block in the set
- increase in tag bits (decrease index bits)
- slightly larger cache access time (a MUX or a larger MUX)
- need block replacement hardware

# **Design Tradeoffs**

Block replacement: which block you replace on a cache miss

- LRU (least recently used)
  - toggle between bit values for 2-way
  - · counters for more associativity
- random
  - · for example, low bits of the cycle counter
- little impact on performance

CSE378 Susan Eggers

# **Design Tradeoffs**

## Memory update policy

- · write through
  - update memory on each store (one word is written to memory)
  - + memory is always coherent (memory has the same value as the cache)
  - performance depends on the # of writes

Hiding write latency with write through

- write buffer:
  - contains data & its address until data is written to memory
  - CPU writes data into the cache & write buffer & then continues execution
  - memory is updated when the processor-memory bus is free
  - · CPU must stall if the write buffer is full
- · must check write buffer on a cache miss: why?

## **Design Tradeoffs**

#### Memory update policy

- · write back
  - · update memory when the block is replaced from the cache
  - + performance depends on the # of block replacements
  - add a dirty bit to each block's state
    - clear dirty bit when a block is read into the cache (clean block)
    - set dirty bit when the block is updated (dirty block)
    - on a block replacement, if the dirty bit is set, write the block to memory
  - must do a tag check before the write to make sure this is the right block
    - · if the block is one word, write-through can just write
  - memory is not coherent
    - · must flush blocks from the cache before writing to disk
  - slightly longer cycle than write-through
    - · must check the tag before updating the cache

Hiding write latency with write back

- the dirty block can be buffered while the new block is being fetched
- · replaced after control returns to the CPU

CSE378 Susan Eggers

## **Design Tradeoffs**

#### **Cache contents**

- separate instruction & data caches
  - + separate access ⇒ double the bandwidth
    - not have to stall the fetch if a load is at the same time
  - + shorter access time than 1 larger cache for both
  - + different configurations for I & D: why?

- implemented as the first-level, on-chip cache
- unified cache
  - + lower miss rate if same size as the sum of the separate caches
    - · more flexibility in where blocks can be placed
  - + less cache controller\* hardware since only 1 cache
    (\* logic that implements cache accesses, hits, misses)

## **Cache Performance**

### Hit (miss) rate =

### # references that hit (miss) # references

- miss rate = 1- hit rate
- · measures how well the cache functions
- useful for understanding cache behavior relative to the number of references
- intermediate (component) metric

CSE378 Susan Eggers

## **Cache Performance**

#### Average memory access time =

HitTime + MissRatio \* MissPenalty

- always incur hit time because either:
  - · a reference hits or
  - on a miss you access the cache again after the block is brought into the cache (this time it does hit!)
- (rough) average time it takes to do a memory reference
- performance of the memory system, including factors that depend on the implementation (the miss penalty)
- intermediate (component) metric

# Miss penalty =

- send the address to memory +
- access the memory +
- transfer the data (block size (in words) \* transfer time for 1 word)
   (assuming bus is 1 word wide)

## **Execution Time**

Including the memory system in execution time

CPU time = (CPU execution cycles +  $\frac{\text{memory stall cycles}}{\text{memory stall cycles}}$ ) × cycle time (hit time is included in CPU execution cycles)

$$\frac{\text{memory stall cycles}}{\text{program}} = \frac{\text{memory accesses}}{\text{program}} \times \text{miss rate} \times \text{miss penalty}$$

$$\frac{\text{instructions}}{\text{program}} \times \frac{\text{misses}}{\text{instruction}} \times \text{miss penalty}$$

• might have different miss ratios for data & instructions

$$\frac{misses}{instruction} \ = \ instruction \ miss \ rate \ + \left( data \ miss \ rate \ \times \frac{data \ references}{instruction} \right)$$

- memory stall cycles are measured in CPU cycles
  - the faster the clock rate, the larger the miss penalty
- the lower the CPI, the greater the impact of memory stall cycles

Look at the performance examples on pp. 565-568