## **Performance Metrics**

Why study performance metrics?

- · determine the benefit/lack of benefit of designs
- computer design is too complex to intuit performance & performance bottlenecks
- have to be careful about what you mean to measure & how you measure it

What you should get out of this discussion

- good metrics for measuring computer performance
- what they should be used for
- what metrics you shouldn't use & how metrics are misused

CSE378

Susan Eggers

## **Performance of Computer Systems**

Many different factors to take into account when determining performance:

- Technology
  - circuit speed (clock, MHz)
  - processor technology (how many transistors on a chip)
- Organization
  - type of processor (RISC or CISC)
  - configuration of the memory hierarchy
  - type of I/O devices
  - number of processors in the system
- Software
  - quality of the compilers
  - organization & quality of OS, databases, etc.

#### **Meaningful metrics**

execution time & component metrics that explain it

#### Reproducibility

machine configuration, compiler & optimization level, OS, input

#### **Real programs**

no toys, kernels, synthetic programs SPEC is the norm (integer, floating point, graphics, webserver) TPC-B, TPC-C & TPC-D for database transactions

#### Simulation

long executions, warm start to mimic steady-state behavior usually applications only; some OS simulation simulator "validation" & internal checks for accuracy

CSE378

Susan Eggers

## **Metrics that Measure Performance**

**Raw speed:** peak performance (never attained)

Execution time: time to execute one program from beginning to end

- the "performance bottom line"
- · wall clock time, response time
- Unix time function: 13.7u 23.6s 18:27 3%

Throughput: total amount of work completed in a given time

- transactions (database) or packets (web servers) / second
- · an indication of how well hardware resources are being used
- good metrics for chip designers or managers of computer systems

(Often improving execution time will improve throughput & vice versa.)

Component metrics: subsystem performance, e.g., memory behavior

- help explain how execution time was obtained
- · pinpoints performance bottlenecks

## **Execution Time**

 $Performance_{A} = \frac{1}{ExecutionTime_{A}}$ 

Processor A is faster than processor B, i.e.,

 $ExecutionTime_A < ExecutionTime_B$ 

**Performance**<sub>A</sub> > **Performance**<sub>B</sub>

**Relative Performance** 

 $\frac{Performance_{A}}{Performance_{B}} = \frac{ExecutionTime_{B}}{ExecutionTime_{A}} = n$ 

performance of A is *n* times greater than B execution time of B is *n* times longer than A

CSE378

Susan Eggers

5

6

## **CPU Execution Time**

The time the CPU spends executing an application

- · no memory effects
- no I/O
- no effects of multiprogramming

#### **CPUExecutionTime** = CPUClockCycles×clockCycleTime

Cycle time (clock period) is measured in time or rate

• clock cycle time = 1/clock cycle rate

**CPUExecutionTime** =  $\frac{CPUClockCycles}{clockCycleRate}$ 

- clock cycle rate of 1 MHz  $\Rightarrow$  cycle time of 1  $\mu s$
- clock cycle rate of 1 GHz  $\Rightarrow$  cycle time of 1 ns

## CPI

#### **CPUClockCycles** = **NumberOfInstructions**×**CPI**

Average number of clock cycles per instruction

- throughput metric
- · component metric, not a measure of performance
- used for processor organization studies, given a fixed compiler & ISA
- Can have different CPIs for classes of instructions e.g., floating point instructions take longer than integer instructions

$$CPUClockCycles = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (CPI_i \times C_i)$$

where CPI<sub>i</sub> = CPI for a particular class of instructions

where  $C_i$  = the number of instructions of the i<sup>th</sup> class that have been executed

Improving part of the architecture can improve a CPI<sub>i</sub>

· Talk about the contribution to CPI of a class of instructions

CSE378

Susan Eggers

## **CPU Execution Time**

# **CPUExecutionTime** = numberOfInstructions × CPI × clockCycleTime

To measure:

- · execution time: depends on all 3 factors
  - time the program
- number of instructions: determined by the ISA
  - programmable hardware counters
  - profiling
    - · count number of times each basic block is executed
    - instruction sampling
- · CPI: determined by the ISA & implementation
  - simulator: interpret (in software) every instruction & calculate the number of cycles it takes to simulate it
- clock cycle time: determined by the implementation & process technology

#### Factors are interdependent:

- RISC: increases instructions/program, but decreases CPI & clock cycle time because the instructions are simple
- CISC: decreases instructions/program, but increases CPI & clock cycle time because many instructions are more complex

CSE378

## **Metrics Not to Use**

MIPS (millions of instructions per second)

 $\frac{\text{instruction count}}{\text{execution time} \times 10^6} = \frac{\text{clock rate}}{\text{CPI} \times 10^6}$ 

- instruction set-dependent (even true for similar architectures)
- implementation-dependent
- compiler technology-dependent
- program-dependent
- + intuitive: the higher, the better

MFLOPS (millions of floating point operations per second)

#### floating point operations

execution time  $\times 10^{6}$ 

- + FP operations are independent of FP instruction implementation
- different machines implement different FP operations
- different FP operations take different amounts of time
- only measures FP code

static metrics (code size)

CSE378

Susan Eggers

## Means

Measuring the performance of a workload

• arithmetic: used for averaging execution times

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{time}_{i}\right) \times \frac{1}{n}$$

• harmonic: used for averaging rates

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{rate_{i}}} = \frac{1}{arithmeticMean}$$

• weighted means: the programs are executed with different frequencies, for example:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} time_{i} \times weight_{i}\right) \times \frac{1}{n}$$

## Means

	FP Ops	Time (secs)			
		Computer A	Computer B	Computer C	
program 1	100	1	10	20	
program 2	100	1000	100	20	
total		1001	110	40	
arith mean		500.5	55	20	
	FP Ops	Rate (FLOPS)			
		Computer A	Computer B	Computer C	

			Computer A	Computer B	computer c
	program 1	100	100	10	5
	program 2	100	.1	1	5
	harm mean		.2	1.5	5
	arith mean		50.1	5.5	5

Computer C is ~25 times faster than A when measuring execution time

Still true when measuring MFLOPS (a rate) with the harmonic mean

CSE378

Susan Eggers

11

## Speedup

speedup = execution time<sub>beforeImprovement</sub> execution time<sub>afterImprovement</sub>

### Amdahl's Law:

Performance improvement from speeding up a part of a computer system is limited by the proportion of time the enhancement is used.