

# CSE 374: Programming Concepts and Tools

Eric Mullen

Spring 2017

Lecture 22: C++: Inheritance, Subclasses

# Administrivia

- HW6a due last night
  - We'll grade ASAP, get you feedback before 6b is due
- HW6b due next Thurs
  - In order to use late days, both partners must have them

# Subclassing

- Remember Java, where you could extend one class with another?
  - It turned out to not always be the best design...
  - You can do the same thing in C++
- C++ gives you lots more options than Java, and different defaults
  - If something seems not right, it's probably "using the wrong feature" not "compiler bug"

# Subclassing

- In C++, you can subclass in the following way:

```
class D : public C { ... }
```

- This is public inheritance, C++ also has other kinds (which we won't cover)
- **DO NOT FORGET** the public keyword above

# Subclassing

- Not all classes have a super class (unlike Java with Object)
  - Classes don't have to have just one parent (don't do this, not only in 374, but also in life)
- Terminology:
  - Java: "Superclass" and "Subclass"
  - C++: "Base Class" and "Derived Class"
- As in Java, you can add fields/methods/constructors, and override methods

# Constructors and Destructor

- Constructor of base class gets called before constructor of derived class
  - If not specified, default (0 arg) constructor is called
  - Can specify with initializer syntax (considered good style)

```
Foo::Foo() : Bar(args); other_data(x) { ... }
```

- Destructor of base class called after destructor of derived class
- Constructors really extend rather than override

# Method Overriding (part 1)

- If a derived class defines the same method (name and param types) as the base class, that method gets overridden
- If you want to call base class code, use `class::method(...)`
  - Like `super`, but C++ has no `super` keyword
- Warning: This is just part 1, we're not done yet

# Casting and Subtyping

- An **object** of a derived class *cannot* be cast to an object of a base class
  - Same reason a `struct T1 {int x, y, z;}` can't be cast to a `struct T2 {int x, y;}` (different sizes)
- A **pointer** to an object of a derived class *can* be cast to a pointer to an object of a base class
  - Same reason you can cast a `struct T1*` to a `struct T2*`
- This is called an upcast, field access works fine, method calls are **not** what you would expect



# Important Example

```
class A {
    public:
        void m1 () { cout << "a1"; }
        virtual void m2 () { cout << "a2"; }
};

class B : public A {
    void m1 () { cout << "b1"; }
    void m2 () { cout << "b2"; }
};

void f () {
    A* x = new B ();
    x->m1 ();
    x->m2 ();
}
```

# Explained

- A *non-virtual method-call* is resolved at compile time using the *static type* of the expression
- A *virtual method-call* is resolved at runtime using the *dynamic type* of the expression
  - Like Java
  - Called “dynamic dispatch”
- A method call is virtual if the method is marked virtual, or overrides a virtual method

# When to use

- For good engineering, use non-virtual by default, only use virtual methods when actually needed
- This makes code easier to think about: at each method call you know what code is being called
- Implementations:
  - Non-virtual: same as normal method call, one hidden parameter to the object
  - Virtual: run-time lookup of what code to call via “secret field” in the object (more next lecture)

# Destructors

```
class B : public A { ... }
```

```
...
```

```
B * b = new B();
```

```
A * a = b;
```

```
delete a;
```

- Will `B::~~B()` get called (before `A::~~A()`)?
- Only if `A::~~A()` was declared virtual
  - Unlike methods, **ALWAYS** declare the destructor virtual

# Downcasts

- Casting to a derived class from a base class is called a downcast
  - If you do it right, it will work right
  - If you do it wrong, no guarantee it is checked (hopefully you crash but who knows?)
  - Not like Java, don't assume it's checked

# Pure virtual methods

- A C++ “pure virtual” method is like a Java “abstract” method
  - Some subclass must override because there is no definition in the base class
  - Makes sense with dynamic dispatch
  - Unlike Java, no need or way to mark the class specially

```
class C {  
    virtual t0 m(t1, t2, t3...) = 0;  
};
```

- No Java-style interfaces, instead this is it

# C++ summary

- Lots of new syntax and ways to get it wrong, but just a few new concepts
  - Objects vs. Pointers to Objects
  - Destructors
  - virtual vs. non-virtual
  - pass-by-reference
  - Plus more (that we won't cover): templates, exceptions, and operator overloading