
CSE 374

Programming Concepts & Tools

Hal Perkins

Winter 2012

Lecture 6 – sed, command-line tools wrapup

Where we are

- Learned how to use the shell to run, combine, and write programs
- Learned regular-expressions (plus more) and grep for *finding* guided by regexps
- Now: sed for *find-and-replace* guided by regexps
- Then: Short plug for awk (not tested or taught)
- Then: Introduction to C

Review

- grep takes a pattern and a file (or stdin)
- The pattern describes a regexp:
 - Example: `a[bc]*.?.?d*e`
 - Special characters: `. ? ^ $ * () [] + { } \ |` (Some need escaping; see the man page)
- grep prints any line that has one or more substrings that match.
 - Or invert with `-v`
 - Or count with `-c`
- So the output is basically a subset of the input. What if we want to *change* or *add* some output? Enter sed...

sed

- A *stream editor*, a terrible little language that processes one line at a time. Multi-line manipulations possible but painful.
- Simple most-common use (and -e optional here):

```
sed -e s/pattern/replacement/g file
```
- “For each line in file, replace every (longest) substring that matches *pattern* with *replacement* and then print it to standard out.” (often want to quote ‘s/.../.../g’ to avoid shell substitutions)
- Simple variations:
 - omit file: read from stdin
 - omit g: replace only first match
 - sed -n and add p where g is: print only lines with 1 match
 - multiple -e s/.../.../...: apply each left-to-right
 - -f file2: read script from file; apply each line top-to-bottom

More sed

- The replacement text can use `\1 . . . \9` – very common.
- Hint: To avoid printing the whole line, match the whole line and then have the replacement print only the part you want.
- Newline note: The `\n` is not in the text matched against and is (re)-added when printed.
 - i.e., lines are read into an “edit buffer” and processed there without the (local system’s) newline.
 - Aside: “Line-ending madness” on 3 common operating systems.

Even more sed

- “sed lines” can have more:
 - different commands (so far, s for substitution)
 - A couple others: p, d, N
 - Other useful ones use the hold space (next slide)
 - different addresses (before the command)
 - number for exactly that line number
 - first~step (GNU only) (lines are first + n*step)
 - \$ last line
 - /regexp/ lines containing a match of regexp
 - a label such as :foo before address or command
- `[:label] [address] [command-letter][more-stuff-for-command]`

Fancy stuff

- Usually (but not always) when you get to this stuff, your script is unreadable and easier to write in another language.
 - • The “hold” space. One other string that is held across lines. Also the “pattern” space (where the “current line” starts).
 - x, G, H
 - Branches to labels (b and t)
 - Enough to code up conditionals and loops like in assembly language.
- Your instructor never remembers the details, but knows roughly what is possible.

sed summary

- The simplest way to do simple find-and-replace using regexps.
- Standard on all Linux/Unix systems, even in limited recovery boot modes
- Programs longer than a few lines are possible, but probably the wrong tool.
- But a line-oriented stream editor is a very common need, and learning how to use one can help you use a better one.
- In homework 3, a “one-liner” is plenty.
- For the rest, see the manual.

awk

We will skip awk, another useful line-oriented editor.

Compared to sed:

- Much saner programming constructs (math, variables, for-loops, . . .)
- Easier to print “fields” of lines, where fields are separated by a chosen “delimiter”
- Easier to process multiple lines at a time (change the end-of-line delimiter)
- Less regexp support; one-liners not as short

String-processing symmary

- Many modern scripting languages (perl, python, ruby, et al) support grep, sed, and awk features directly in the language, perhaps with better syntax.
 - Better: combine features
 - Worse: one big program that “hopefully has everything” instead of useful small ones
- When *all* you need to do is simple text manipulation, these tools let you “hack something up” quicker than, say, Java.
- But if you need “real” data structures, performance, libraries, etc., you reach their practical limits quickly.