Lecture 21: Introduction to Sorting

CSE 373: Data Structures and Algorithms
Warm Up

If I handed you a stack of papers and asked you to sort them by author name alphabetically, how would you do it?

**Selection Sort** - I would flip through the stack from front to back looking for the first name, then pull it to the front. Then I would flip through again looking for the second name and put it behind the first and so on until all were sorted.

**Insertion Sort** - I look at the first two papers and put them in sorted order, then look at the third and put it in sorted order with the previous two and continue until the whole stack is in sorted order.

**Merge Sort** - I would spread the papers out on the ground and break them into subsections, sort the subsections section by section then put them all back together.

**Bucket Sort** - I would start by putting the papers into groups based on the first letter of the author’s name until I had 26 piles, then I would sort within those piles and put them all back together.
Announcements

- EX5 due today
- EX6 releases today
- Final exam on Friday May 26th
Intro to Sorting

Selection Sort
Insertion Sort
Merge Sort
Quick Sort
Where are we?

This course is “data structures and algorithms”

Data structures

- Organize our data so we can process it effectively

Algorithms

- Actually process our data!

We’re going to start focusing on algorithms

We’ll start with sorting

- A very common, generally-useful preprocessing step
- And a convenient way to discuss a few different ideas for designing algorithms.
Types of Sorts

Comparison Sorts

Compare two elements at a time

General sort, works for most types of elements

What does this mean?
compareTo() works for your elements

- And for our running times to be correct, compareTo() must run in O(1) time

Niche Sorts aka “linear sorts”

Leverages specific properties about the items in the list to achieve faster runtimes

niche sorts typically run O(n) time

For example, we’re sorting small integers, or short strings

In this class we’ll focus on comparison sorts
Sorting Goals

**In-place sort**
A sorting algorithm is in-place if it allocates $O(1)$ extra memory
Modifies input array (can’t copy data into new array)
Useful to minimize memory usage

**Stable sort**
A sorting algorithm is stable if any equal items remain in the same relative order before and after the sort

Why do we care?
- “data exploration” Client code will want to sort by multiple features and “break ties” with secondary features

![Example](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Speed**
Of course, we want our algorithms to be fast.

Sorting is so common, that we often start caring about constant factors.
SO MANY SORTS!

Quicksort, Merge sort, in-place merge sort, heap sort, insertion sort, intro sort, selection sort, timsort, cubesort, shell sort, bubble sort, binary tree sort, cycle sort, library sort, patience sorting, smoothsort, strand sort, tournament sort, cocktail sort, comb sort, gnome sort, block sort, stackoverflow sort, odd-even sort, pigeonhole sort, bucket sort, counting sort, radix sort, spreadsort, burstsort, flashsort, postman sort, bead sort, simple pancake sort, spaghetti sort, sorting network, bitonic sort, bogosort, stooge sort, insertion sort, slow sort, rainbow sort...
Goals

Algorithm Design (like writing invariants) is more art than science.
We’ll do a little bit of designing our own algorithms
  ● Take CSE 417 (usually runs in Winter) for more
Mostly we’ll understand how existing algorithms work
Understand their pros and cons
  ● Design decisions!
Practice how to apply those algorithms to solve problems
Algorithm Design Patterns

Algorithms don’t just come out of thin air.

There are common patterns we use to design new algorithms.

Many of them are applicable to sorting (we’ll see more patterns later in the quarter)

Invariants/Iterative improvement
  - Step-by-step make one more part of the input your desired output.

Divide and conquer
  - Split your input
  - Solve each part (recursively)
  - Combine solved parts into a single

Using data structures
  - Speed up our existing ideas
Principle 1

Invariants/Iterative improvement
- Step-by-step, make one more part of the input your desired output

We’ll write iterative algorithms to satisfy the following invariant:

After \( k \) iterations of the loop, the first \( k \) elements of the array will be sorted.
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Selection Sort

Sorted Items

Current Item

Unsorted Items

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ns4TPTC8whw
Selection Sort

```java
public void selectionSort(collection) {
    for (entire list)
        int newIndex = findNextMin(currentItem);
    swap(newIndex, currentItem);
}

public int findNextMin(currentItem) {
    min = currentItem
    for (unsorted list)
        if (item < min)
            min = currentItem
    return min
}

public int swap(newIndex, currentItem) {
    temp = currentItem
    currentItem = newIndex
    newIndex = currentItem
}
```

### Analysis
- **Worst case runtime?** $\Theta(n^2)$
- **Best case runtime?** $\Theta(n^2)$
- **In-practice runtime?** $\Theta(n^2)$
- **Stable?** No
- **In-place?** Yes
- **Useful for:** Top K sort without needing extra space
Selection Sort Stability

*Swapping non-adjacent items can result in instability of sorting algorithms*
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Insertion Sort

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ROalU37913U
Insertion Sort

public void insertionSort(collection) {
    for (entire list)
        if (currentItem is smaller than largestSorted)
            int newIndex = findSpot(currentItem);
            shift(newIndex, currentItem);
}

public int findSpot(currentItem) {
    for (sorted list going backwards)
        if (spot found) return
}

public void shift(newIndex, currentItem) {
    for (i = currentItem > newIndex)
        item[i+1] = item[i]
        item[newIndex] = currentItem
}

Worst case runtime? \( \Theta(n^2) \)

Best case runtime? \( \Theta(n) \)

In-practice runtime? \( \Theta(n^2) \)

Stable? Yes

In-place? Yes

Useful for: Mostly sorted collections of primitives
## Insertion Sort Stability

Insertion sort is stable

- All swaps happen between adjacent items to get current item into correct relative position within sorted portion of array.
- Duplicates will always be compared against one another in their original orientation, thus it can be maintained with proper if logic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Principle 2: Divide and Conquer

General recipe:

1. **Divide** your work into smaller pieces recursively

2. **Conquer** the recursive subproblems
   ○ In many algorithms, conquering a subproblem requires no extra work beyond recursively dividing and combining it!

3. **Combine** the results of your recursive calls

```python
divideAndConquer(input) {
    if (small enough to solve):
        conquer, solve, return results
    else:
        divide input into a smaller pieces
        recurse on smaller pieces
        combine results and return
}
```
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Merge Sort

Divide
Simply divide in half each time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conquer
No extra conquer work needed!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combine
The actual sorting happens here!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Merge Sort: Divide Step

### Recursive Case:
Split the array in half and recurse on both halves.

### Base Case:
When array hits size 1, stop dividing. In Merge Sort, no additional work to conquer: everything gets sorted in combine step!

Sort the pieces through the magic of recursion!
Combining two sorted arrays:
1. Initialize **pointers** to start of both arrays
2. Repeat until all elements are added:
   1. Add whichever is smaller to the result array
   2. Move that pointer forward one spot

Works because we only move the smaller pointer – then "reconsider" the larger against a new value, and because the arrays are sorted we never have to backtrack!
Merge Sort

mergeSort(list) {
    if (list.length == 1):
        return list
    else:
        smallerHalf = mergeSort(new [0, ..., mid])
        largerHalf = mergeSort(new [mid + 1, ...])
    return merge(smallerHalf, largerHalf)
}

Worst case runtime? \[ T(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

Best case runtime? Same \[ =\Theta(n \log n) \]

In Practice runtime? Same

Stable? Yes

In-place? No

Useful for: Predictable sort times regardless of sorted nature
(This is what Java uses for Objects, kinda)
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Divide and Conquer

There’s more than one way to divide!

Mergesort:
- Split into two arrays.
- Elements that just happened to be on the left and that happened to be on the right.

Quicksort:
- Split into two arrays.
- Roughly, elements that are “small” and elements that are “large”
- How to define “small” and “large”? Choose a “pivot” value in the array that will partition the two arrays!
Quick Sort (v1)

Choose a “pivot” element, partition array relative to it!

Again, no extra conquer step needed!

Simply concatenate the now-sorted arrays!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ywWBy6J5gz8
Quick Sort (v1): Divide Step

Recursive Case:
- Choose a “pivot” element
- Partition: linear scan through array, add smaller elements to one array and larger elements to another
- Recursively partition

Base Case:
- When array hits size 1, stop dividing
Quick Sort (v1): Combine Step

Simply concatenate the arrays that were created earlier! Partition step already left them in order 😊
Quick Sort (v1)

```java
def quickSort(list):
    if list.length == 1:
        return list
    else:
        pivot = choosePivot(list)
        smallerHalf = quickSort(getSmaller(pivot, list))
        largerHalf = quickSort(getBigger(pivot, list))
        return smallerHalf + pivot + largerHalf
```

Worst case runtime? \( T(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
T(n-1) + n & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} = \Theta(n^2) \)

Best case runtime? \( T(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} = \Theta(n \log n) \)

In-practice runtime? Just trust me: \( \Theta(n \log n) \)

Stable? No

In-place? Can be done!

Useful for: Fast sorting of primitives! (This is what Java uses for Primitives)

Worst case: Pivot only chops off one value
Best case: Pivot divides each array in half
Can we do better?

How to avoid hitting the worst case?
● It all comes down to the pivot. If the pivot divides each array in half, we get better behavior

Here are four options for finding a pivot. What are the tradeoffs?
● Just take the first element
● Take the median of the full array
● Take the median of the first, last, and middle element
● Pick a random element
Strategies for Choosing a Pivot

Just take the first element
- Very fast!
- But has worst case: for example, sorted lists have $\Omega(n^2)$ behavior

Take the median of the full array
- Can actually find the median in $O(n)$ time (google QuickSelect). It’s complicated
- $O(n \log n)$ even in the worst case… but the constant factors are awful. No one does quicksort this way.

Take the median of the first, last, and middle element
- Makes pivot slightly more content-aware, at least won’t select very smallest/largest
- Worst case is still $\Omega(n^2)$, but on real-world data tends to perform well!

Pick a random element
- Get $O(n \log n)$ runtime with probability at least $1-1/n^2$
- No simple worst-case input (e.g. sorted, reverse sorted)
Quick Sort (v2: In-Place)

Select a pivot

Move pivot out of the way

Bring low and high pointers together, swapping elements if needed

Meeting point is where pivot belongs; swap in. Now recurse on smaller portions of same array!
Quick Sort (v2: In-Place)

```java
quickSort(list) {
    if (list.length == 1):
        return list
    else:
        pivot = choosePivot(list)
        smallerPart, largerPart = partition(pivot, list)
        smallerPart = quickSort(smallerPart)
        largerPart = quickSort(largerPart)
        return smallerPart + pivot + largerPart
}
```

Worst case runtime?

Best case runtime?

In-practice runtime?

Stable? No

In-place? Yes

choosePivot:
- Use one of the pivot selection strategies

partition:
- For in-place Quick Sort, series of swaps to build both partitions at once
- Tricky part: moving pivot out of the way and moving it back!
- Similar to Merge Sort divide step: two pointers, only move smaller one
Questions?
That’s all!