# Section 02: Asymptotic Analysis

## **Section Problems**

### 1. Comparing growth rates

- (a) Simplify each of the following functions to a tight big- $\mathcal{O}$  bound in terms of n. Then order them from fastest to slowest in terms of asymptotic growth. (By "fastest", we mean which function increases the most rapidly as n increases.)
  - $\log_4(n) + \log_2(n)$
  - $\frac{n}{2} + 4$
  - $2^{n} + 3$
  - 750,000,000
  - $8n + 4n^2$
- (b) Order each of these more esoteric functions from fastest to slowest in terms of asymptotic growth. (By "fastest", we mean which function increases the most rapidly as n increases.) Also state a simplified tight  $\mathcal{O}$  bound for each.
  - $2^{n/2}$
  - 3<sup>n</sup>
  - $2^n$

#### 2. True or false?

- (a) In the worst case, finding an element in a sorted array using binary search is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- (b) In the worst case, finding an element in a sorted array using binary search is  $\Omega(n)$ .
- (c) If a function is in  $\Omega(n)$ , then it could also be in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .
- (d) If a function is in  $\Theta(n)$ , then it could also be in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .
- (e) If a function is in  $\Omega(n)$ , then it is always in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

#### 3. Code to summation

For each of the following code blocks, give a summation that represents the worst-case runtime in terms of n.

```
int x = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {
        x++;
    }
}</pre>
```

```
int x = 0;
for (int i = n; i >= 1; i /= 2) {
    x += i;
}
```

## 4. Code modeling

For each of the following code blocks, construct a mathematical function modeling the worst-case runtime of the code in terms of n. Then, give a tight big- $\mathcal{O}$  bound of your model.

```
(a)
      int x = 0;
      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
           for (int j = 0; j < n * n / 3; j++) {
               x += j;
           }
      }
(b)
      int x = 0;
      for (int i = n; i >= 0; i -= 1) {
           if (i % 3 == 0) {
               break;
           } else {
               x += n;
           }
      }
(c)
      int x = 0;
       for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
           if (i % 5 == 0) {
               for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                   if (i == j) {
                       x += i * j;
               }
           }
      }
(d)
      int x = 0;
      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {</pre>
           if (n < 100000) {
               for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                   x += 1;
           } else {
               x += 1;
      }
```

```
(e)    int x = 0;
    if (n % 2 == 0) {
        for (int i = 0; i < n * n * n * n; i++) {
            x*++;
        }
    } else {
        for (int i = 0; i < n * n * n; i++) {
            x*++;
        }
}</pre>
```

# 5. Applying definitions

For each of the following, choose a c and  $n_0$  which show  $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ . Explain why your values of c and  $n_0$  work.

```
(a) f(n) = 3n + 4, g(n) = 5n^2
```

```
(b) f(n) = 33n^3 + \sqrt{n} - 6, g(n) = 17n^4
```

(c) 
$$f(n) = 17\log(n), g(n) = 32n + 2n\log(n)$$

# 6. Using our definitions

Most of the time in the real world, we don't write formal big- $\mathcal{O}$  proofs. The point of having these definitions is not to use them every single time we think about big- $\mathcal{O}$ . Instead, we use the formal definitions when a question is particularly tricky, or we want to make a very general statement.

Here are some particularly tricky or general statements that are easier to justify with the formal definitions than with just your intuition.

- (a) We almost never say a function is  $\mathcal{O}(5n)$ , we always say it is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  instead. Show that this transformation is ok, i.e. that if f(n) is  $\mathcal{O}(5n)$  then it is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  as well.
- (b) When we decide on the big- $\mathcal O$  running time of a function, we like to say that whatever happens on small n doesn't matter. Let's see why with an actual proof. You write two functions to solve the same problem: method1 and method2. method1 takes  $\mathcal O\left(n^2\right)$  time and method2 takes  $\mathcal O\left(n\right)$  time. What is the big- $\mathcal O$  running time of the following function:

```
public void combined(n){
  if(n < 10000)
  method1(n);
  else
  method2(n);
}</pre>
```

## 7. Memory analysis

For each of the following functions, construct a mathematical function modeling the amount of memory used by the algorithm in terms of n. Then, give a **tight** big- $\mathcal{O}$  bound of your model.

```
(a)
      List<Integer> list = new LinkedList<Integer>();
      for (int i = 0; i < n * n; i++) {
          list.insert(i);
      }
      Iterator<Integer> it = list.iterator();
      while (it.hasNext()) {
          System.out.println(it.next());
      }
(b)
      int[] arr = {0, 0, 0};
      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
          arr[0]++;
      }
(c)
      ArrayDictionary<Integer, String> dict = new ArrayDictionary<>();
      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
          String curr = "";
          for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {
              for (int k = 0; k < j; k++) {
                  curr += "?";
          dict.put(i, curr);
```

**Note 1**: For simplicity, assume the dictionary has an internal capacity of exactly n.

**Note 2**: The amount of memory used by a *single* character (c) and the amount of memory used by a single int (x) are both constant.

**Note 3**: An ArrayDictionary stores its key-value pairs contiguously, and performs scans through (potentially) the entire data structure when performing an insert() or a find().