

4. Sort Implementation / Collection Programming

```
// common version using for-each loop
public static void guavaSort(String[] a) {
    Multiset<String> mset = TreeMultiset.create(); // array -> multiset
    for (String s : a) {
        mset.add(s);
    }

    int i = 0; // multiset -> array
    for (String s : mset) {
        a[i] = s;
        i++;
    }
}

// version using elementSet and count
public static void guavaSort(String[] a) {
    Multiset<String> mset = TreeMultiset.create(); // array -> multiset
    for (String s : a) {
        mset.add(s);
    }

    int i = 0; // multiset -> array
    for (String s : mset.elementSet()) {
        int count = mset.count(s);
        for (int j = 0; j < count; j++) {
            a[i] = s;
            i++;
        }
    }
}

// Iterator solution
public static void guavaSort(String[] a) {
    Multiset<String> mset = TreeMultiset.create(); // array -> multiset
    for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
        mset.add(a[i]);
    }

    int index = 0; // multiset -> array
    Iterator<String> itr = mset.iterator();
    while (itr.hasNext()) {
        String s = itr.next();
        a[index] = s;
        index++;
    }
}

// short ninja version
public static void guavaSort(String[] a) {
    int i = 0;
    for (String s : TreeMultiset.create(Arrays.asList(a))) {
        a[i++] = s;
    }
}
```

5. Graph Properties

a)

directed, undirected
weighted, unweighted
connected, unconnected
cyclic, acyclic

b)

The vertex with the largest in-degree is **E**, which has an in-degree of **3**.
The vertex with the largest out-degree is **A**, which has an out-degree of **4**.

c) adjacency matrix

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
A		1		1	1	1		
B			1					
C					1			1
D								
E		1						
F								
G						1		
H					1			

6. Graph Paths

a)

BFS marks in this order: B, C, E, H, I.

BFS(B, H) returns: [B, E, I]

b) Dijkstra's

Vertex	Cost	Previous
A	0	/
B	4	A
C	7	B
D	5	A
E	8	C
F	infinity	/
G	3	A
H	9	E
I	11	H

path from A to I: [A, B, C, E, H, I], cost = 11

c) topological sort ordering, any of the following:

[A, B, D, F, C, E, G, H, I]
 [A, B, D, F, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, B, D, F, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, B, D, G, F, C, E, H, I]
 [A, B, F, C, D, E, G, H, I]
 [A, B, F, C, D, G, E, H, I]
 [A, B, F, D, C, E, G, H, I]
 [A, B, F, D, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, B, F, D, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, D, B, F, C, E, G, H, I]
 [A, D, B, F, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, D, B, F, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, D, B, G, F, C, E, H, I]

[A, D, F, B, C, E, G, H, I]
 [A, D, F, B, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, D, F, B, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, D, F, G, B, C, E, H, I]
 [A, D, G, B, F, C, E, H, I]
 [A, D, G, F, B, C, E, H, I]
 [A, F, B, C, D, E, G, H, I]
 [A, F, B, C, D, G, E, H, I]
 [A, F, B, D, C, E, G, H, I]
 [A, F, B, D, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, F, B, D, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, F, D, B, C, E, G, H, I]

[A, F, D, B, C, G, E, H, I]
 [A, F, D, B, G, C, E, H, I]
 [A, F, D, G, B, C, E, H, I]
 [F, A, B, C, D, E, G, H, I]
 [F, A, B, C, D, G, E, H, I]
 [F, A, B, D, C, E, G, H, I]
 [F, A, B, D, C, G, E, H, I]
 [F, A, B, D, G, C, E, H, I]
 [F, A, D, B, C, E, G, H, I]
 [F, A, D, B, C, G, E, H, I]
 [F, A, D, B, G, C, E, H, I]
 [F, A, D, G, B, C, E, H, I]

7. Graph Implementation

```
// solution that closely follows the pseudocode from lecture slides
public List<V> topologicalSort() {
    // set up initial collections:
    Map<V, Integer> map = new HashMap<V, Integer>(); // Map of (vertex -> indegree)
    Queue<V> queue = new LinkedList<V>();
    List<V> out = new ArrayList<V>(); // LinkedList also okay

    // initialization of data structures
    for (V v : vertices()) {
        int degree = inDegree(v);
        map.put(v, degree); // initialize map of (vertex -> indegree)
        if (degree == 0) {
            queue.add(v); // initialize queue with 0-indegree vertices
        }
    }

    // repeatedly "remove" vertices with in-degree 0 and their neighboring edges
    while (!queue.isEmpty()) {
        V v = queue.remove();
        out.add(v);
        for (V n : neighbors(v)) {
            int degree = map.get(n);
            if (degree > 1) {
                map.put(n, degree - 1); // decrease degree by 1
            } else {
                queue.add(n); // in-degree is 0; add to queue to process
            }
        }
    }

    if (out.size() == vertexCount()) {
        return out;
    } else {
        return null; // not every vertex was reached, so no sort was found
    }
}

// solution that uses vertexInfo instead of map/queue (slower but still full credit)
public List<V> topologicalSort() {
    clearVertexInfo();
    for (V v : vertices()) {
        vertexInfo(v).setCost(inDegree(v));
    }

    List<V> out = new ArrayList<V>();
    while (true) {
        boolean changed = false;
        for (V v : vertices()) {
            if (!vertexInfo(v).isVisited() && vertexInfo(v).cost() == 0) {
                vertexInfo(v).setVisited();
                changed = true;
                out.add(v);
                for (V n : neighbors(v)) {
                    int ncost = vertexInfo(n).cost();
                    if (ncost > 0) {
                        vertexInfo(n).setCost(ncost - 1);
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        if (!changed) {break;}
    }

    if (out.size() == vertexCount()) {
        return out;
    } else {
        return null;
    }
}
```

8. Parallelism / Concurrency

Here is an example order of execution for 2 threads that breaks the state of the stack:

```
Stack state: bottom [a, b, c] top
Thread 1: String s1 = stack.peek();
Thread 2: String s2 = stack.peek();
```

Neither thread modifies the stack, so both should receive "c" from their calls to `peek`.

```
// Returns the element on top of this stack without changing the stack's state.
// If the stack is empty, throws an IllegalArgumentException.
1 public E peek() {
2     if (this.isEmpty()) {
3         throw new NoSuchElementException();
4     } else {
5         E topElement = this.pop();
6         this.push(topElement);
7         return topElement;
8     }
9 }
```

Here is an execution order that causes incorrect behavior:

- Thread 1 runs lines 1-5. It grabs "c" as the `topElement`. Stack is now [a, b].
- Thread 2 runs lines 1-5. It grabs "b" as the `topElement`. Stack is now [a].
- Thread 1 runs lines 6-9. It pushes "c" back onto the stack and returns. Stack is now [a, c].
- Thread 2 runs lines 6-9. It pushes "b" back onto the stack and returns. Stack is now [a, c, b].

This example violates the first promise; the stack state is changed to [a, c, b]. It also violates the second promise; Thread 2's `peek()` call returns "b", though it should return "c".

Another incorrect behavior can result if the stack contains just a single element, such as [a]. The following execution order breaks the behavior:

- Thread 1 runs lines 1-4. It checks that the stack is not empty and therefore enters the `else` branch.
- Thread 2 runs lines 1-4. It checks that the stack is not empty and therefore enters the `else` branch.
- Thread 1 runs line 5. It grabs "a" as the `topElement`. Stack is now [], empty.
- Thread 2 runs line 5. It tries to grab the `topElement`, but the stack is empty, so it crashes.

This violates the first promise; Thread 2's `peek` call does not return the top element of the stack.