1. (a) For the given AVL tree below, list the range of values that would cause a:

- A single rotation < 25
- A double rotation 25 < x < 50
- No rotation > 50

(b) Draw the resulting AVL tree (and intermediary steps to show your work) after inserting the value of 0.

Step 1: Add 0 in correct place
Step 2: Find imbalanced node \( \rightarrow 50 \)
Step 3: Find case \( \rightarrow 0 \)
Step 4: Do rotation

(c) Give an ordered sequence of 5 values to insert starting from an empty tree, in which you would prefer to use an AVL tree instead of a BST.

\[ [0, 1, 2, 3, 4] \rightarrow \text{degenerate BST} \]

AVL will balance it
2. Demonstrate that \( \log(n^2) + 5n(2-n) \) is dominated by \( n \) by finding a \( c \) and \( n_0 \). This is an equivalent question to: show that \( \log(n^2) + 5n(2-n) \in \mathcal{O}(n) \). Show your work.

Definition Reminder: Dominated By / Big Oh
A function \( f(n) \) is dominated by \( g(n) \) when...
(a) There exists two constants \( c > 0 \) and \( n_0 > 0 \).
(b) Such that for all values of \( n \geq n_0 \).
(c) \( f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \) is true.
(d) The previous statements are equivalent to \( f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n)) \)

1. Split into separate terms

\[
\log(n^2) \leq c_1 n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_1
\]

\[
2 \log(n) \leq 2n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1
\]

\[
5n \cdot 2 \leq c_2 n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_2
\]

\[
10n \leq 10n \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all} \quad n
\]

\[
5n \cdot (-n) \leq c_3 n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_3
\]

\[
-5n^2 \leq (1)n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1
\]

2. Compile to get \( c \) and \( n_0 \)

\[
\log(n^2) + 5n(2-n) \leq 2n+10n+n
\]

\[
= 13n \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all} \quad n \geq 1
\]

\[
\uparrow \uparrow
\]

\[
c \quad \quad \text{and} \quad \quad n_0 = \max(n_1, n_2, n_3)
\]
3. You are a Software Engineer working on a new version of Super Smash Bros. at Nintendo. Your team decides that they want to improve the performance of the game by changing the implementations of the Dictionaries that are currently being used. For each scenario, list some pros and cons of the current implementation, and pick another implementation from the list below that will improve performance. Justify your approach.

**Unsorted Array Dictionary, Tree Dictionary, Hash Dictionary**

(a) Super Smash Bros. has the ability to assign personalized Mii characters a fighting type (eg. Mii Brawler, Mii Shooter, Mii Sword Fighter). The names of the Mii’s are the keys to an Unsorted Array Dictionary, and the fighting types are the values. Users want to be able to quickly find the Mii character that they want by searching for the name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- can take less memory</td>
<td>- find() is slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- easy to implement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- easy to add/remove</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hash Dictionary because its fast**

**Hash Dictionary**

Assume names are usually unique and there are few collisions.

**Tree Dictionary because it is sorted and can maybe implement autocomplete**

(b) All the characters except for the Mii’s are from other franchises (less than 20). A Hash Dictionary with a default initial capacity of 190 stores the franchise name as keys and a List of characters from that franchise as values. No new franchises or characters are being added anymore. We use the Dictionary to print out all the characters’ name organized by game in the credits in any order. (Hint. memory usage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Find is fast</td>
<td>- too much unused memory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unsorted Array Dictionary because it takes up less space and needs to traverse entire array anyways so find is not important**
4. For the following question, we have a hash table with separate chaining. The Hash Table's initial internal capacity is 5. Its buckets are implemented using linked list where new elements append to the end. But in this Hash Table, you are worried about elements being too congested, so you make sure to resize your Hash Table with $\lambda = 0.80$. Resizing your Hash Table will double your initial internal capacity.

Fill out the table below with the final state of the Hash Table after inserting following key-value pairs in the order given using hashCode function

```java
public int hashCode(int input) {
    return 2 * input % arr.length;
}
```

\[ \lambda = \frac{0}{5} = 0 \quad \lambda = \frac{5}{5} = 1 \quad \lambda = \frac{5}{5} = 1 \quad \lambda = \frac{5}{5} = 1 \quad (\text{Resize!}) \]

(2, Thanos), (12, Thor), (1, Groot), (5, Thor), (15, Hulk), (2, Iron Man), (13, Groot)

Before Resizing

![Before Resizing Diagram]

After Resizing

![After Resizing Diagram]
5. Code Modeling. Consider the following intersection method that finds the intersection between two arrays. Assume that neither array has duplicates.

```java
public static int[] intersection(int[] A, int[] B) {
    HashSet<Integer> setA = new HashSet<Integer>();
    HashSet<Integer> result = new HashSet<Integer>();
    for (int num : A) {
        setA.add(num);
    }
    for (int num : B) {
        if (setA.contains(num)) {
            result.add(num);
        }
    }
    int[] result_arr = new int[result.size()];
    int ind = 0;
    for (int num : result) {
        result_arr[ind] = num;
        index i = i + 1;
    }
    return result_arr;
}
```

Answer the following questions about the runtime of the union_count method. Consider M is the size of input A and N is the size of input B. Assume the given arrays do not contain duplicates in themselves.

(a) Give simplified tight big O bounds for the worst-case and best-case runtime of #5 in terms of N and M.

(b) Give simplified tight big-O bounds for the worst-case and best-case runtime of #8 & #9 in terms of N and M.

(c) Give the overall simplified tight big-O bound of worst-case and best-case runtime of intersection in terms of N and M.

- Lines 2-6
  - worst: \( O(M^2) \)
  - best: \( O(M) \)

- Lines 7-11
  - worst: \( O(N(M+N)) \)
  - best: \( O(1) \)

- Lines 12-18
  - worst: \( O(MN) \)
  - best: \( O(1) \)
6. General Asymptotics. For each of the following code blocks, give a tight big Theta bound of the runtime (if Theta doesn’t exist, give the Omega and big-O bound instead).

(a) `public static void someMethod1(int n) {`  
`  for (int i = 1; i < n; i *= 2) {`  
`    int j = 0;`  
`    while (j < n) {`  
`      j *= 2;`  
`    }`  
`  }`  

`θ(n)`  
for both best and worst cases

(b) `public static void someMethod2(int[] arr) {`  
`  for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {`  
`    int j = i + 1;`  
`    while (j < arr.length) {`  
`      if (arr[i] == arr[j]) {`  
`        return;`  
`      }`  
`      j += 1;`  
`    }`  
`  }`  

Method returns if 2 elements are equal

Worst: `θ(n²)`  
Best: `θ(1)`  
no duplicates  
duplicates are first 2 elements

(c) `public static void someMethod3(int n) {`  
`  int m = (int) ((15 + Math.round(3.2 / 2)) *`  
`  Math.floor(10 / 6.6) / 2.6) = Math.pow(2, 6));`  
`  for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {`  
`    System.out.println("hi");`  
`  }`  

Loop only dependent on m

3 m is a constant / not dependent on n

`θ(1)`  

// let n be some number  
someMethods1(n);  

// let n be some number  
someMethods2(arr);  

// let n be some number  
someMethods3(n);
7. Consider the following recurrence:

\[
T(n) = \begin{cases} 
3, & \text{if } n < 4 \\
2T(2n/3) + 2n + 1, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

We want you to find an exact closed for this recurrence by using the tree method. Show your work in each part.

(a) Draw the recurrence tree. Your drawing must include the top 3 levels as well as a portion of the final level. Inside each node include the input and work done for this node. Label the \(i\) for each level except for the last one.

(b) What is the input to each level \(i\)?

(c) How many nodes are at level \(i\)?

(d) What is the total work done per recursive level \(i\)?

\[
(\text{# nodes at } i) \times (\text{work/node at } i) = 2^i \left( (2/3)^i n + 1 \right)
\]

(e) What value of \(i\) does the last level of the tree occur at?

\[
(2/3)^i n < 4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad i < \frac{\log_24 - \log_2n}{\log_2(2/3)} = \frac{2}{\log_2(2/3)} - \log_2n
\]

(f) What is the total work done by the base case (i.e., last level) of the tree?

\[
\left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} n < 4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad i < \frac{2}{\log_2(2/3)} - \log_2n = 1.171 \log_2n - 1.11 \quad \text{(calculator)}
\]

\[
\text{base case level/} \quad \text{largest integer that satisfies} \quad i = -3.41 + 1.71 \log_2n = 11
\]

\[
\text{* Don't need to do anything this hard on exam)}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} n \times 3 = 3^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} n \times 3
\]

\[
\left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} n \times 3 = 3^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} n \times 3
\]
(g) Combine your answers to get a final expression with summations for the total work done by the recurrence.

\[
\left( \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n-1} 2^i \left( 2 \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^i n \right) + 1 \right) + 2^{\log_2 n-1} \times 3
\]

(h) Simplify your expression from the previous part to a closed form (no need to further simplify once you reach a closed form).

\[
2^{\log_2 n-1} \times 3 + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n-1} \frac{2^i x 2 x 2^i}{3^i} + 2^i \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{\log_2 n-1} \times 3 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n-1} \left( \frac{2^i}{3^i} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n-1} 2^i
\]

\[
= 2^{\log_2 n-1} \times 3 + 2 \left( \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^{\log_2 n-1} - 1 \right) + \frac{2^{\log_2 n-1}}{2-1}
\]

All done!

No more summations = no need to further simplify.
8. Consider the following recurrence:

\[
T(n) = \begin{cases} 
19, & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
3T(n/3) + n^3, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

(a) Use Master Theorem to find the tight Big-O Bound for this recurrence

\[
\log_b a = c \\
\log_7 3 < 3 \\
T(n) \in O(n^3)
\]

(b) Make a change to this recurrence such that you can’t use Master Theorem on it anymore.

Many answers
- changing $a$ to be not an number
  \[
  T(n) = \begin{cases} 
  19, & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
  \log n \times T(n/7) + n^3, & \text{otherwise}
  \end{cases}
  \]
- changing input to be not a fraction
  \[
  T(n) = \begin{cases} 
  19, & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
  3T(n-7) + n^3, & \text{otherwise}
  \end{cases}
  \]
- changing recursive work to be other functions
  \[
  T(n) = \begin{cases} 
  19, & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
  3T(n/7) + 2^n, & \text{otherwise}
  \end{cases}
  \]
  and more!