

**?**: Do we have reason to question the things we know about the problem? Or the hypothesis?

Generating Hypotheses A good hypothesis identifies the cause of failure separately from where and when the program actually fails. The **state** of the ArrayQueue determines the behavior of isEmpty. State Item[] data int size int front int back

A good hypothesis describes a problem and is both testable and falsifiable. While this hypothesis is both, it doesn't really yield much more information about the problem or how to fix it.

## Descriptive Hypotheses

A good hypothesis identifies the cause of failure separately from where and when the program actually fails. The **state** of the ArrayQueue determines the behavior of isEmpty.

The hypothesis on the left suggests more about the problem than the one on the right.

The size variable is not set correctly, causing isEmpty to return false.

A bug exists in the ArrayQueue isEmpty method.

7

**Q1**: Which of the hypotheses below do you think will yield more information upon answering?

ArrayQueue1<Integer> queue = new ArrayQueue1<>();
queue.add(1);
queue.remove();
queue.add(3);
queue.remove();
queue.remove();
queue.add(6);
queue.remove();
System.out.println(
 "isEmpty() expected true, got " + queue.isEmpty());
Tests as a Source of Information

8

?: What does this test check?



Debugging is about integrating various different sources of information. Let's try out a few methods of gathering information to understand the bug.

- Trying new inputs.
- Writing a unit test to reproduce the bug.
- Explaining to yourself the behavior of each line of code.
- Searching online to understand what error messages mean.
- Changing or removing code.
- Poking at memory values with a debugger or print statements.

**?**: Bugs often appear away from their root causes. How does each information gathering method help us learn more about the problem?



Testing is a means of gathering information. But because unit tests are just programs, we can automate it and continuously gather information.

Better JUnit Testing	
@org.junit.Test ArrayQueue	eTest
The messages output by JUnit are kind of ugly, and invoking each test manually is anno	oying.
IntelliJ has built-in support for JUnit.	
1. Annotate each test (Java method) with @org.junit.Test.	
2. Change all test methods to non-static.	
3. Use IntelliJ's built-in JUnit runner to run all tests and tabulate results.	
This is called <b>boilerplate code</b> . IntelliJ can generate this code for you!	
	14

To have IntelliJ generate the boilerplate code in a class ArrayQueue Test,

- With the cursor placed somewhere inside the class's curly braces, press Alt+Insert (on Windows/Linux) or Command+N (on Mac) to open the options for generating code.
- 2. In the pop-up menu, select "Test Method" and then choose the JUnit test option.
- 3. Fill in a name for the test.
- 4. Write the body of the test.

**Protip**: You can also generate all sorts of other boilerplate from this keyboard shortcut, such as "public static void main(String[] args)".

They're called regression tests because we want to ensure that future changes don't break these test cases that we know our program has had difficulty with in the past.





**?**: How did the ad-hoc tests for ArrayQueue1 and ArrayQueue2 expose particular bugs? What was special about those tests?

17

**Q1**: Describe a unit test we might want to write for ArrayDeque. Recall that the Deque interface expects methods such as addFirst, addLast, removeFirst, removeLast, and get.

**Q2**: What behaviors does this test check? Describe in terms of the methods it checks as well as concepts like contracts, invariants, etc. that we've discussed in class.



If testing is left until after all of the code is written, we lose any opportunities to gather information along the way and fix bugs as they come up! We may even be solving the wrong problem altogether.

?: How does testing serve as a form of planning?

?: What makes writing good tests so challenging?



**?**: Testing is just one tool in the information gathering toolbox. We've seen how testing can be a forming of planning. How do other information gathering methods inform our planning processes?

20



**?**: What are the differences between this new hypothesis and the hypothesis that we started with? How did we get from the starting hypothesis to this new hypothesis?