## CSE 373 QuickCheck 7 Name: Evil Kevin

Suppose you work on a droid assembly line. You have a supposedly sorted array of N Droid objects that implement Comparable. However, when looking through your array, you realize these aren't the droids you're looking for! The machine malfunctioned and made at most k mistakes: there are no more than k inversions, where we define an inversion as a pair of droids that is not in the right order.

*Hint*: The array [0 1 1 2 3 4 8 6 9 5 7] has 6 inversions: 8-6, 8-5, 8-7, 6-5, 9-5, 9-7.

For the questions below, give the typical or expected runtime. For example, for quicksort, assume that the pivot choices result in  $O(\log N)$  recursive depth.

- 1. For each k, give the most efficient sorting algorithm and its simplified asymptotic runtime.
  - (a)  $k \in O(N)$  Algorithm: Insertion sort Runtime:  $\Theta(\underline{N})$

Insertion sort has a worst-case runtime of  $\Theta(N^2)$  on general input, but remember that it works quite well if there are relatively few inversions:  $\Theta(N + k)$ .

Java merge sort (adaptive merge sort with natural runs) might also work if the  $k \in O(N)$  inversions are, for example, due to the smallest item moving to the end of the array. In this scenario, there are two natural runs, one with the first N - 1 items and the other with the last 1 item. But we can imagine a worst case where each adjacent pair of items in the array are swapped: in this case, there are about  $k \approx N/2$  inversions but N natural runs, leading to  $\Theta(N \log N)$  runtime.

(b)  $k \in O(N^2)$  Algorithm: Merge sort, quicksort, or heapsort Runtime:  $\Theta(N \log N)$ Any expected  $\Theta(N \log N)$  runtime comparison sorting algorithm would work here: merge sort, quicksort, or heapsort. Insertion sort isn't the best sort for this problem since the runtime depends on the number of inversions, or  $O(N + N^2) = O(N^2)$ .

Does stability matter? It's not well-defined in this problem. Since the machine mixes up the order of the droids, we're not sure if the relative ordering of equal items is any good.

- (c)  $k \in O(\log N)$ Algorithm: Insertion sortRuntime:  $\Theta(N)$ Insertion sort has a worst-case runtime of  $\Theta(N^2)$  on general input, but remember that it works quite<br/>well if there are relatively few inversions:  $\Theta(N + k)$ .Java merge sort (adaptive merge sort with natural runs) might also work, though the complete analysis<br/>is out of scope.
- 2. Two weeks later, you're given another batch of droids that are supposed to be sorted on a 32-bit int ID, an instance variable of Droid. The machine hasn't been fixed and again made at most *k* mistakes. For each *k*, give the most efficient sorting algorithm and its simplified asymptotic runtime.
  - (a)  $k \in O(N^2)$  Algorithm: <u>Radix sort</u> Runtime:  $\Theta(N)$

Sorting on integer ID allows us to use counting sorts. Radix sort is the most realistic choice to make sure our count array is a reasonable size. That said, in theory, counting sort could work since we could initialize (one or more) count arrays to cover all 4 billion or so possible integers. The runtime for both

radix sort and counting sort on integers is  $\Theta(N)$  since there's a limit on the size of Java integers, so there are constant number of digits to compare.

(b)  $k \le 5$ Algorithm: Insertion sort or radix sortRuntime:  $\Theta(N)$ Insertion sort works well because the array is almost sorted, so the runtime is in  $\Theta(N + 5) = \Theta(N)$ .Counting sort and radix sorts work well for the same reason as part (a).Adaptive merge sort is also appropriate here. Imagine an array with exactly 5 adjacent pairs (anywhere in the array) swapped out of order. There are thus at most 6 natural runs in the array.