

## CSE 373: P vs NP

---

Michael Lee  
Monday, Mar 5, 2018

1

## Overview

Previously:

- ▶ We spent a lot of time learning how to solve problems
- ▶ We spent a lot of time analyzing algorithms

2

## Overview

Today:

- ▶ Take a step back and look at the bigger picture
- ▶ Discuss an important open question in computer science: does  $P = NP$ ?

3

## What is "efficiency"?

But first:

What does it mean for a problem to be "efficient"?

What do we even mean by "problem", anyways?

4

## What is a "decision problem"?

### Decision problem

A **decision problem** is any arbitrary yes-or-no question on an infinite set of inputs.

Which of these are decision problems?

- ▶ IS-PRIME: "Is  $X$  prime? (Where  $X$  is some input)"  
Yes, it's a yes-or-no question.
- ▶ FIND-PRIME: "What is the  $n$ -th prime number?"  
No. The answer is a number, not a boolean.
- ▶ SORT: "Sort this list of numbers."  
No; not a question.
- ▶ IS-SORTED: "Is this list of numbers sorted?"  
Yes, it's a yes-or-no question.

5

## What is a "decision problem"?

**Question:** Why only talk about decision problems?

**Answer:** It simplifies things. Also, most problems can be turned into a decision problem with some tweaking, so not a big deal.

**Example:**

SHORTEST-PATH: "What is the shortest path between two given nodes?"

...can be turned into:

PATH: "Does there exist a path between two given nodes that consists of  $k$  edges?"

6

## What is a "solvable" problem?

### Solvable

A decision problem is **solvable** if there exists some algorithm that given any input, or *instance*, can correctly decide "yes" or "no".

Example: IS-PRIME is solvable. Here's an algorithm:

```
boolean isPrimeSolver(n):
  for (int i = 2; i <= n; i++):
    if (n % i == 0):
      return false
  return true
```

7

## What is a "solvable" problem?

**Question:** Are there problems that are unsolvable – problems that are impossible to solve?

Surprisingly, yes.

We won't go into that today; look up the "halting problem" if you're curious.

8

## Definitions

### Questions:

- ▶ What do we even mean by "problem", anyways?
- ▶ What does it mean for a problem to be "efficient"?

9

## What is an "efficient algorithm"?

### Efficient algorithm

An algorithm is **efficient** if the worst-case bound is a **polynomial**.

Examples: which of these runtime bounds are "efficient"?

- ▶  $O(n^2)$ : Yes, it's a polynomial
- ▶  $O(2^n)$ : No, it's an exponential
- ▶  $O(n \log(n))$ : Yes,  $n \log(n) \in O(n^2)$ , which is a polynomial
- ▶  $O(n^{1000000})$ : Technically yes...
- ▶  $O(3000000000000n^3)$ : Technically yes...

10

## What is an "efficient algorithm"?

**Question:** Are  $n^{10000000}$  and  $3000000000000n^3$  *actually* efficient in practice?

No, but...

- ▶ Once we find a polynomial algorithm to a problem, we've historically been able to improve it to something reasonable
- ▶ Finding a polynomial runtime is a *VERY* low bar. If we can't even get that...

11

## Examples of problems

Pretty much all problems we've studied have efficient solutions!

We've studied two main types of algorithms: sorting algorithms and graph algorithms, and every one we've looked at so far could run in polynomial time.

(e.g "How do I sort this list", "What is the shortest path", "What is the MST"...)

12

## Examples of problems

Great: do all solvable problems have efficient solutions?

Haha, no.

Well, ok – do all *practical* problems we actually care about have efficient solutions?

lol

13

## PATH vs LONGEST-PATH

### PATH

Given a graph and two vertices, does there exist some path between those two vertices that visits exactly  $k$  edges?

- ▶ To solve, run BFS and see if we visit the dest in  $k$  edges.
- ▶ We can solve this efficiently!

What if we tweak the problem a little?

### LONGEST-PATH

Given a graph, does there exist a path between **any** two vertices that visits exactly  $k$  edges?

**There is no known efficient solution to this problem.**

**To solve, use brute force.**

14

## 2-COLOR vs 3-COLOR

### 2-COLOR

Given a graph, is it possible to assign each node one of two colors such that no two adjacent nodes share the same color?

- ▶ To solve, run BFS or DFS, alternate colors...
- ▶ We can solve this efficiently!

What if we tweak the problem a little?

### 3-COLOR

Given a graph, is it possible to assign each node one of **three** colors such that no two adjacent nodes share the same color?"

**There is no known efficient solution to this problem.**

**To solve, use brute force: try all  $O(3^{|V|})$  combinations.**

15

## CIRCUIT-VALUE vs CIRCUIT-SAT

### CIRCUIT-VALUE

Given a boolean expression such as " $a \ \&\& \ (b \ || \ c)$ " and the truth values for every variable, is the final expression  $T$ ?

- ▶ To solve, convert into an abstract syntax tree and evaluate.
- ▶ We can solve this efficiently!

### CIRCUIT-SAT

Given a boolean expression such as " $a \ \&\& \ (b \ || \ c)$ " and the truth values for **some** of the variables, is there a way to set the remaining variables so that the output is  $T$ ?

**There is no known efficient solution to this problem.**

**To solve, use brute force: try every combination of variables.**

16

## Complexity classes

**Observation:** Some problems have polynomial solutions, some have worse.

Can we formalize this?

### Complexity class

A complexity class is a set of problems limited by some resource constraint (time, space, etc)

17

## Complexity class: P and EXP

### The complexity class P

P is the set of all decision problems where there exists an algorithm that can solve all inputs in worst-case polynomial time.

Examples: IS-PRIME, IS-SORTED, PATH, 2-COLOR, CIRCUIT-VALUE, ...

### The complexity class EXP

EXP is the set of all decision problems where there exists an algorithm that can solve all inputs in worst-case exponential time.

Examples: LONGEST-PATH, 3-COLOR, CIRCUIT-SAT...

18

## Is P a subset of EXP?

**Question:** Suppose we have some random decision problem in P. Is that problem also in EXP?

E.g. is 2-COLOR in EXP?

19

## Is P a subset of EXP?

There are three reasonable possibilities:

**Answer 1: The sets are disjoint**

E.g. if a problem is in P, it's not in EXP.



**Answer 2: The sets overlap**

E.g. some, but not all problems in P are in EXP



**Answer 3: P is a subset of EXP**

All problems in P are also in EXP



20

## Is P a subset of EXP?

It turns out it's answer 3: P is a subset of EXP.

**Answer 3: P is a subset of EXP**

All problems in P are also in EXP



Reason: EXP is the set of decision problems where there exists an algorithm that solves the problem in *worst-case exponential time*.

So, if we can find a polynomial-time algorithm to a problem, we can definitely find an exponential one!

21

## Is P a subset of EXP?

Example: We previously showed there exists an  $O(n)$  algorithm to check if a number  $n$  is prime:

```
boolean isPrimeSolver(n):  
    for (int i = 2; i <= n; i++):  
        if (n % i == 0):  
            return false  
    return true
```

So IS-PRIME  $\in$  P.

How do we show that IS-PRIME is in EXP?

```
boolean isPrimeSolver2(n):  
    for (int i = 0; i < Math.pow(2, n); i++):  
        print("lol")  
    return isPrimeSolver(n)
```

This runs in exponential time and correctly solves all inputs.

So IS-PRIME is also in EXP.

22

## Recap

To recap:

- ▶ What is a decision problem?
  - ▶ What does it mean to "solve" a decision problem?
  - ▶ What does it mean for an algorithm to be "efficient"?
- ▶ What is a complexity class?
  - ▶ P
  - ▶ EXP
  - ▶ P is a subset of EXP
- ▶ Unfortunately, some problems we care about are in EXP

23

## A glimmer of hope...

**Observation:** Some problems in EXP have an interesting property:

- ▶ They may take either polynomial or exponential time to solve, but either way...
- ▶ *Checking or verifying* if a solution is correct always takes polynomial time!

**Big idea:** NP is the set of decision problems that can be verified in polynomial time.

If we can *verify* answers efficiently, can we *find* answers efficiently?

24

## Solving vs verifying

Reminder: a solver is an algorithm that accepts an instance of a decision-problem and returns true or false.

Another kind of algorithm – a verifier

### Verifier

A verifier accepts as input:

1. Some instance of the decision problem
2. Some sort of “proof” or certificate of why the solver made whatever decision it made on that instance.

25

## The complexity class NP

### The complexity class NP

Suppose that we have some decision problem X where...

- ▶ There exists some solver for X
- ▶ That solver says “yes” for some instance of X
- ▶ Whenever the solver says “yes”, it also returns some sort of “proof” or certificate of why they said “yes”.

If there exists a verifier that...

- ▶ When given the instance and the certificate, always agrees the correct answer was “yes”
- ▶ Always runs in polynomial time

...then X is in NP.

26

## The complexity class co-NP

**Important note:** The verifier only needs to exist when the solver says “yes”.

If the solver says “no”, we don’t care.

A related complexity class: co-NP. Almost identical to NP, except for “NO” instances.

27

## The complexity class co-NP

### The complexity class co-NP

Suppose that we have some decision problem X where...

- ▶ There exists some solver for X
- ▶ That solver says “no” for some instance of X
- ▶ Whenever the solver says “no”, it also returns some sort of “proof” or certificate of why they said “no”.

If there exists a verifier that...

- ▶ When given the instance and the certificate, always agrees the correct answer was “no”
- ▶ Always runs in polynomial time

...then X is in co-NP.

28

## Example: showing 3-COLOR is in NP

I claim that 3-COLOR is in NP. How do we show this?

**Step 1:** Assume the preconditions are met.

Suppose we have a magical solver for 3-COLOR, and it says “yes” for some graph G.

**Step 2:** Show that we can build a polynomial-time verifier, given G and some certificate.

Three things we must do:

1. How do we modify the solver so it returns a convincing certificate?
2. How do we check the certificate, whatever it is?
3. Does our verifier actually run in polynomial time?

29

## Example: showing 3-COLOR is in NP

**Part 2a:** What would be a convincing certificate?

A map of vertices to colors! E.g.

{  $v_1 = \text{red}$ ,  $v_2 = \text{blue}$ ,  $v_3 = \text{red}$ ,  $v_4 = \text{green}$ , ... }.

**Part 2b:** How do we double-check this certificate?

Loop through all vertices, make sure neighbors have diff colors!

```
boolean verify3Color(G, colorMap):
  for (v : G.vertices):
    for (w : v.neighbors):
      if (colorMap.get(v) == colorMap.get(w)):
        return false
    return true
```

**Part 2c:** Does this verifier run in polynomial time?

Yes! It runs in  $O(|V| + |E|)$  time!

So, 3-COLOR  $\in$  NP.

30

Example: showing CIRCUIT-SAT is in NP

Question: is CIRCUIT-SAT in NP?

**CIRCUIT-SAT**

Given a boolean expression such as " $a \ \&\& \ (b \ || \ c)$ " and the truth values for **some** of the variables, is there a way to set the remaining variables so that the output is T?

As before, assume you have a magical solver, and it said "yes" for some boolean expression  $B$ .

Three questions to answer:

1. How do we modify the solver so it returns a convincing certificate?
2. How do we check the certificate, whatever it is?
3. Does our verifier actually run in polynomial time?

