Run Breadth First Search on this graph starting from s.

What order are vertices placed on the queue?

When processing a vertex insert neighbors in alphabetical order.

In a directed graph, BFS only follows an edge in the direction it points.

Correct order: s,u,v,y,x,w,t
Shortest Paths

How does Google Maps figure out this is the fastest way to get to office hours from Kane?
Representing Maps as Graphs

How do we represent a map as a graph? What are the vertices and edges?
Representing Maps as Graphs
The **length** of a path is the sum of the edge weights on that path.

**Shortest Path Problem**

**Given:** a directed graph $G$ and vertices $s$ and $t$

**Find:** the shortest path from $s$ to $t$
Unweighted graphs

Let’s start with a simpler version: the edges are all the same weight (unweighted).

If the graph is unweighted, how do we find a shortest paths?
Unweighted Graphs

If the graph is unweighted, how do we find a shortest paths?

What’s the shortest path from s to s?
- Well....we’re already there.

What’s the shortest path from s to u or v?
- Just go on the edge from s

From s to w,x, or y?
- Can’t get there directly from s, if we want a length 2 path, have to go through u or v.
Unweighted Graphs: Key Idea

To find the set of vertices at distance k, just find the set of vertices at distance k-1, and see if any of them have an outgoing edge to an undiscovered vertex.

Do we already know an algorithm that does something like that?

Yes! BFS!

```java
bfsShortestPaths(graph G, vertex source)
    toVisit.enqueue(source)
    source.dist = 0
    mark source as visited
    while (toVisit is not empty)
        current = toVisit.dequeue()
        for (v : current.outNeighbors()){
            if (v is not yet visited){
                v.distance = current.distance + 1
                v.predecessor = current
                toVisit.enqueue(v)
                mark v as visited
            }
        }
```
If the graph is unweighted, how do we find a shortest paths?

\[
\text{bfsShortestPaths}(\text{graph } G, \text{ vertex source})
\]
\[
\text{toVisit}.\text{enqueue}(\text{source})
\]
\[
\text{source}.\text{dist} = 0
\]
\[
\text{mark source as visited}
\]
\[
\text{while (toVisit is not empty)}{
    \text{current} = \text{toVisit}.\text{dequeue}()
    \text{for (v : current.outNeighbors())}{
        \text{if (v is not yet visited)}{
            v.\text{distance} = \text{current.distance} + 1
            v.\text{predecessor} = \text{current}
            \text{toVisit}.\text{enqueue}(v)
            \text{mark v as visited}
        }
    }
}\]
What about the target vertex?

**Shortest Path Problem**

*Given:* a directed graph G and vertices s, t  
*Find:* the shortest path from s to t.

BFS didn’t mention a target vertex...  
It actually finds the shortest path from s to every other vertex.

If you know your target, you can stop the algorithm early, when the target is removed from the queue.
Weighted Graphs

Each edge should represent the “time” or “distance” from one vertex to another. Sometimes those aren’t uniform, so we put a weight on each edge to record that number.

The length of a path in a weighted graph is the sum of the weights along that path.

We’ll assume all of the weights are positive
- For GoogleMaps that definitely makes sense.
- Sometimes negative weights make sense. Today’s algorithm doesn’t work for those graphs
- There are other algorithms that do work.
BFS works if the graph is unweighted. Maybe it just works for weighted graphs too?

What went wrong? When we found a shorter path from $s$ to $u$, we needed to update the distance to $v$ (and anything whose shortest path went through $u$) but BFS doesn’t do that.
You already do this all the time.
In a previous project, you reduced implementing a hashset to implementing a hashmap.
Any time you use a library, you’re reducing your problem to the one the library solves.

Can we reduce finding shortest paths on weighted graphs to finding them on unweighted graphs?
Weighted Graphs: A Reduction

Given a weighted graph, how do we turn it into an unweighted one without messing up the edge lengths?

![Diagram of weighted graph and unweighted graph]

- **Transform Input**
- **Transform Output**
- **Unweighted Shortest Paths**
Weighted Graphs: A Reduction

What is the running time of our reduction on this graph?

\[ O(|V| + |E|) \]

of the modified graph, which is...slow.

Does our reduction even work on this graph?

\[ 0.5 \]

\[ \pi \]

\[ 3 \]

Ummm....

Tl;dr: If your graph’s weights are all small positive integers, this reduction might work great. Otherwise we probably need a new idea.
Weighted Graphs: Take 3

So we can’t just do a reduction.

Instead let’s try to figure out why BFS worked in the unweighted case, and try to make the same thing happen in the weighted case.

Why did BFS work on unweighted graphs? How did we avoid this problem:

When we used a vertex $u$ to update shortest paths we already knew the exact shortest path to $u$. So we never ran into the update problem.

So if we process the vertices in order of distance from $s$, we have a chance.
Weighted Graphs: Take 3

Goal: Process the vertices in order of distance from s

Idea:

Have a set of vertices that are “known”
- (we know at least one path from s to them).

Record an estimated distance
- (the best way we know to get to each vertex).

If we process only the vertex closest in estimated distance, we won’t ever find a shorter path to a processed vertex.