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Announcements

- HW3 due Friday Noon
- Office hours for next week have changed. Please see the calendar for the correct info
- We made a mistake in a comment in HW4. We’ll push a commit to your repo to correct that. (So expect one more git commit from us.)
Today

- Review Hashing
- Separate Chaining
- Open addressing with linear probing
- Open addressing with quadratic probing
Problem (Motivation for hashing)

How can we implement a dictionary such that dictionary operations are efficient?

Idea 1: Create a giant array and use keys as indices.
(This approach is called direct-access table or direct-access map)

Two main problems:
1. Can only work with integer keys?
2. Too much wasted space

Idea 2: What if we
(a) convert any type of key into a non-negative integer key
(b) map the entire key space into a small set of keys (so we can use just the right size array)
Solution to problem 1: Can only work with integer keys?

Idea: Use functions that convert a non-integer key into a non-negative integer key
Solution to problem 1: Can only work with integer keys?

**Idea:** Use functions that convert a non-integer key into a non-negative integer key

- Everything is stored as bits in memory and can be represented as an integer.
- But the representation can be much simpler (nothing to do with memory).
- For example *(just for illustration; this is not how strings, images, and videos are hashed in practice)*:
  - Strings can be represented with number of characters in the string, ascii value of the first char, last char
  - Image can be represented with resolution, size of image, value of the 5th pixel in the image, 100th pixel
  - Similarly, video can be represented resolution, size, frame rate, size of the 10th frame
Solution to problem 1: Can only work with integer keys?

Idea: Use functions that convert a non-integer key into a non-negative integer key
- Everything is stored as bits in memory and can be represented as an integer.
- But the representation can be much simpler (nothing to do with memory).
- For example (just for illustration; this is not how strings, images, and videos are hashed in practice):
  - Strings can be represented with number of characters in the string, ascii value of the first char, last char
  - Image can be represented with resolution, size of image, value of the 5th pixel in the image, 100th pixel
  - Similarly, video can be represented resolution, size, frame rate, size of the 10th frame

Question: What are some good strategies to pick a hash function? (This is important)
1. **Quick**: Computing hash should be quick (constant time).
2. **Deterministic**: Hash value of a key should be the same hash table.
3. **Random**: A good hash function should distribute the keys uniformly into the slots in the table.
Solution to problem 2: Too much wasted space

Idea: Map the entire key space into a small set of keys (so we can use just the right sized array)
Solution to problem 2: Too much wasted space

Idea: Map the entire key space into a small set of keys (so we can use just the right sized array)
Review: The “modulus” (mod) operation

The “modulus” (mod) operation gives the remainder of a division of one number by another. Written as $x \mod n$ or $x \% n$.

Examples:

1 % 10 = 1
11 % 10 = 1
10 % 10 = 0
5746 % 10 = 6
71 % 7 = 1

For more review/practice, check out [https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/cryptography/modarithmetic/a/what-is-modular-arithmetic](https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/cryptography/modarithmetic/a/what-is-modular-arithmetic)
Review: The “modulus” (mod) operation

The “modulus” (mod) operation gives the remainder of a division of one number by another. Written as \( x \mod n \) or \( x \% n \).

Examples:

- \( 1 \% 10 = 1 \)
- \( 11 \% 10 = 1 \)
- \( 10 \% 10 = 0 \)
- \( 5746 \% 10 = 6 \)
- \( 71 \% 7 = 1 \)

Common applications of the mod operation:
- Finding last digit (\( \% 10 \))
- Whether a number is odd/even (\( \% 2 \))
- Wrap around behavior (\( \% \) wrap limit)

The application we are interested in is the wrap around behavior.
It lets us map any large integer into an index in our array of size \( m \) (using \( \% m \)).

For more review/practice, check out [https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/cryptography/modarithmetic/a/what-is-modular-arithmetic](https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/cryptography/modarithmetic/a/what-is-modular-arithmetic)
Implementing a simple hash table (assume no collisions)

```java
public V get(int key) {
    return this.array[key].value;
}

public void put(int key, V value) {
    this.array[key] = value;
}

public void remove(int key) {
    this.array[key] = null;
}
```
Implementing a simple hash table (assume no collisions)

```java
public V get(int key) {
    key = getHash(key);
    return this.array[key].value;
}

public void put(int key, V value) {
    key = getHash(key);
    this.array[key] = value;
}

public void remove(int key) {
    key = getHash(key);
    this.array[key] = null;
}

public int getHash(int a) {
    return a % this.array.length;
}
```
Our simple hash table: insert (1000)
Our simple hash table: insert (1000)

Hash collision

Some other value exists in slot at index 0
Hash collision

What is a hash collision?
It’s a case when two different keys have the same hash value. Mathematically, $h(k_1) = h(k_2)$ when $k_1 \neq k_2$
Hash collision

What is a hash collision?
It’s a case when two different keys have the same hash value. Mathematically, \( h(k_1) = h(k_2) \) when \( k_1 \neq k_2 \)

Why is this a problem?
- We put keys in slots determined by the hash function. That is, we put \( k_1 \) at index \( h(k_1) \),
- A collision means the natural choice slot is taken
- We cannot replace \( k_1 \) with \( k_2 \) (because the keys are different)
- So the problem is where do we put \( k_2 \)?
Strategies to handle hash collision
Strategies to handle hash collision

There are multiple strategies. In this class, we’ll cover the following three:

1. Separate chaining
2. Open addressing
   - Linear probing
   - Quadratic probing
3. Double hashing
Separate chaining

- Separate chaining is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing all colliding keys in the same slot (using linked list or some other data structure)

- Each slot stores a pointer to another data structure (usually a linked list or an AVL tree)

Note: For simplicity, the table shows only keys, but in each slot/node both, key and value, are stored.
Separate chaining

- Separate chaining is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing all colliding keys in the same slot (using linked list or some other data structure).

- Each slot stores a pointer to another data structure (usually a linked list or an AVL tree).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For simplicity, the table shows only keys, but in each slot/node both, key and value, are stored.

- put(21, value21)
- put(44, value44)
Separate chaining: Running Times

What are the running times for:

**insert**
- Best:
- Worst:

**find**
- Best: $O(1)$
- Worst: $O(n)$

**delete**
- Best:
- Worst:
Separate chaining: Running Times

What are the running times for:

**insert**
- **Best:** $O(1)$
- **Worst:** $O(n)$ (assuming you always insert at the end of your linked list)

**find**
- **Best:** $O(1)$
- **Worst:** $O(n)$

**delete**
- **Best:** $O(1)$
- **Worst:** $O(n)$
Load Factor

Load Factor (λ)

Ratio of number of entries in the table to table size. If \( n \) is the total number of (key, value) pairs stored in the table and \( c \) is capacity of the table (i.e., array),

\[
\text{Load factor} \quad \lambda = \frac{n}{c}
\]
Q1) The following table shows the resulting hash table after inserting keys 1, 16, 8, and 5. The hash table uses the hash function \( h(x) = x \mod 7 \) and separate chaining to avoid collisions. Now suppose we insert keys 7 and 9 in this hash table. What would the resulting hash table look like (show where the values would be inserted).

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
7 & \rightarrow 1 \\
1 & \rightarrow 8 \\
16 & \rightarrow 9 \\
5 & \rightarrow 5
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{Load factor } \lambda = \frac{n}{c} = \frac{6}{7}
\]
(Q3) What is the load factor of the following hash table?

\[ \lambda = \frac{n}{c} = \frac{6}{7} \]
Open Addressing

- Open addressing is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing the colliding key in a different location when the natural choice is full.
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- Open addressing is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing the colliding key in a different location when the natural choice is full.

Note: For simplicity, the table shows only keys, but in each slot both, key and value, are stored.
Open Addressing: Linear probing

- Open addressing is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing the colliding key in a different location when the natural choice is full.

**Linear probing**

\[
\text{Index} = \text{hash}(k) + 0 \quad \text{(if occupied, try next i)}
\]
\[
= \text{hash}(k) + 1 \quad \text{(if occupied, try next i)}
\]
\[
= \text{hash}(k) + 2 \quad \text{(if occupied, try next i)}
\]
\[
= \ldots
\]
\[
= \ldots
\]
\[
= \ldots
\]

Note: For simplicity, the table shows only keys, but in each slot both, key and value, are stored.
Open Addressing: Quadratic probing

- Open addressing is a collision resolution strategy where collisions are resolved by storing the colliding key in a different location when the natural choice is full.

**Quadratic probing**

Index = hash(k) + 0       (if occupied, try next i^2)
= hash(k) + 1^2    (if occupied, try next i^2)
= hash(k) + 2^2    (if occupied, try next i^2)
= hash(k) + 3^2    (if occupied, try next i^2)
= ..
= ..

Note: For simplicity, the table shows only keys, but in each slot both, key and value, are stored.
Worksheet Q4

(Q4) Each table uses the hash function $h(x) = x \mod 7$, but different collision handling strategies. Show where key 8 will be inserted in the following hash tables.

(4a) The following hash tables uses separate chaining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7    1    16

(4b) The following hash tables uses open addressing with linear probing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(4c) The following hash tables uses open addressing with quadratic probing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Worksheet Q5

(Q5) What is the worst case tight-O for the following operations:

(5a) Insert in a separate chaining hash table:

(5b) Insert in an open addressing hash table that uses linear probing to resolve collisions:

(5c) Find in a separate chaining hash table:

(5d) Find in an open addressing hash table that uses linear probing to resolve collisions: