# **CSE 373**

#### **DECEMBER 4<sup>TH</sup> – ALGORITHM DESIGN**

# **ASSORTED MINUTIAE**

- P3P3 scripts running right now
  - Pushing back resubmission to Friday
- Next Monday office hours
  - 12:00-2:00 last minute exam questions
  - Topics list and old practice exams out after class
  - Practice exam (hopefully tomorrow), by Wednesday night

# **ASSORTED MINUTIAE**

- Course evaluations
  - Very important to this class and this department
  - Above all, they're very important to me
  - Should only take ~5 minutes, and it's very valuable feedback
  - 17 of you so far... and I'm going to bug you until it's above 75%
  - Save yourself the 15 emails and just fill it out

 Solving well known problems is great, but how can we use these lessons to approach new problems?

- Solving well known problems is great, but how can we use these lessons to approach new problems?
  - Guess and Check (Brute Force)
  - Linear Solving
  - Divide and Conquer
  - Greedy-first
  - Randomization and Approximation
  - Dynamic Programming

### **BRUTE FORCE**

Classic naïve approach to algorithm design

# **BRUTE FORCE**

- Classic naïve approach to algorithm design
- A Brute Force Algorithm revolves primarily around attempting all possible outcomes
  - Bogo sort
  - Travelling salesman
  - Longest path

# **BRUTE FORCE**

- If the problem is very difficult, then brute force may not be the worst solution
  - Cracking RSA
  - Low-reward problems
  - Small, non-time-constrained

Basic linear approach to problem solving

- Basic linear approach to problem solving
- If the decider creates a set of correct answers, find one at a time

- Basic linear approach to problem solving
- If the decider creates a set of correct answers, find one at a time
  - Selection sort: find the lowest element at each run through
- Sometimes, the best solution
  - Find the smallest element of an unsorted array

- Important to understand
  - What piece of information brings you one step closer to the final answer?

- Important to understand
  - What piece of information brings you one step closer to the final answer?
  - Exam problem simple solution
  - Not always bad, O(n) problems lend themselves well to linear solving

# **DIVIDE AND CONQUER**

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms divide the work and perform work seperately (usually recursively)
  - Works best for O(n<sup>k</sup>) problems
  - Why?

# **DIVIDE AND CONQUER**

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms divide the work and perform work seperately (usually recursively)
  - Works best for O(n<sup>k</sup>) problems (k>1)
  - Why?
  - If an algorithm is n2 work, and we divide into two halves, we've halved the work!

# **DIVIDE AND CONQUER**

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms divide the work and perform work seperately (usually recursively)
  - Works best for O(n<sup>k</sup>) problems (k>1)
  - Why?
  - If an algorithm is n2 work, and we divide into two halves, we've halved the work!
  - Recurrences are going to play a big role in this

# **GREEDY-FIRST**

- A Greedy-first algorithm is any algorithm that makes the move that seems best now
  - These can be divide-and-conquer algorithms or linear algorithms
  - Dijkstra's and Ford-Fulkerson are both Greedy-first algorithms
  - Notice, however, Dijkstra's finds the correct answer easily, and Ford-Fulkerson requires some augmentation to guarantee correctness

 Which approach should be used comes down to how difficult the problem is

- Which approach should be used comes down to how difficult the problem is
- How do we describe problem difficulty?
  - P : Set of problems that can be solved in polynomial time

- Which approach should be used comes down to how difficult the problem is
- How do we describe problem difficulty?
  - P : Set of problems that can be solved in polynomial time
  - NP : Set of problems that can be verified in polynomial time

- Which approach should be used comes down to how difficult the problem is
- How do we describe problem difficulty?
  - P : Set of problems that can be solved in polynomial time
  - NP : Set of problems that can be verified in polynomial time
  - EXP: Set of problems that can be solved in exponential time

Some problems are provably difficult

- Some problems are provably difficult
  - Humans haven't beaten a computer in chess in years, but computers are still far away from "solving" chess

- Some problems are provably difficult
  - Humans haven't beaten a computer in chess in years, but computers are still far away from "solving" chess
  - At each move, the computer needs to approximate the best move

- Some problems are provably difficult
  - Humans haven't beaten a computer in chess in years, but computers are still far away from "solving" chess
  - At each move, the computer needs to approximate the best move
  - Certainty always comes at a price

• What is approximated in the chess game?

- What is approximated in the chess game?
  - Board quality If you could easily rank which board layout in order of quality, chess is simply choosing the best board

- What is approximated in the chess game?
  - Board quality If you could easily rank which board layout in order of quality, chess is simply choosing the best board
  - It is very difficult, branching factor for chess is ~35

- What is approximated in the chess game?
  - Board quality If you could easily rank which board layout in order of quality, chess is simply choosing the best board
  - It is very difficult, branching factor for chess is ~35
  - Look as many moves into the future as time allows to see which move yields the best outcome

 Recognize what piece of information is costly and useful for your algorithm

- Recognize what piece of information is costly and useful for your algorithm
  - Consider if there is a cheap way to estimate that information

- Recognize what piece of information is costly and useful for your algorithm
  - Consider if there is a cheap way to estimate that information
  - Does your client have a tolerance for error?
  - Can you map this problem to a similar problem?
  - "Greedy" algorithms are often approximators

Randomization is also another approach

- Randomization is also another approach
  - Selecting a random pivot in quicksort gives us more certainty in the runtime

- Randomization is also another approach
  - Selecting a random pivot in quicksort gives us more certainty in the runtime
  - This doesn't impact correctness, a randomized quicksort still returns a sorted list

- Randomization is also another approach
  - Selecting a random pivot in quicksort gives us more certainty in the runtime
  - This doesn't impact correctness, a randomized quicksort still returns a sorted list
- Two types of randomized algorithms
  - Las Vegas correct result in random time
- Randomization is also another approach
  - Selecting a random pivot in quicksort gives us more certainty in the runtime
  - This doesn't impact correctness, a randomized quicksort still returns a sorted list
- Two types of randomized algorithms
  - Las Vegas correct result in random time
  - Montecarlo estimated result in deterministic time

• Can we make a Montecarlo quicksort?

- Can we make a Montecarlo quicksort?
  - Runs O(n log n) time, but not guaranteed to be correct

- Can we make a Montecarlo quicksort?
  - Runs O(n log n) time, but not guaranteed to be correct
  - Terminate a random quicksort early!

- Can we make a Montecarlo quicksort?
  - Runs O(n log n) time, but not guaranteed to be correct
  - Terminate a random quicksort early!
  - If you haven't gotten the problem in some constrained time, just return what you have.

- How *close* is a sort?
- If we say a list is 90% sorted, what do we mean?

- How *close* is a sort?
- If we say a list is 90% sorted, what do we mean?
  - 90% of elements are smaller than the object to the right of it?

- How *close* is a sort?
- If we say a list is 90% sorted, what do we mean?
  - 90% of elements are smaller than the object to the right of it?
  - The longest sorted subsequence is 90% of the length?

- How *close* is a sort?
- If we say a list is 90% sorted, what do we mean?
  - 90% of elements are smaller than the object to the right of it?
  - The longest sorted subsequence is 90% of the length?
- Analysis for these problems can be very tricky, but it's an important approach

• Guess and check

- Guess and check
  - How bad is it?

- Guess and check
  - How bad is it?
    - Necessary for some hard problems

- Guess and check
  - How bad is it?
    - Necessary for some hard problems
    - Still can be useful for some easier problems

- Guess and check
  - How bad is it?
    - Necessary for some hard problems
    - Still can be useful for some easier problems
    - Hugely dependent on how "good" the checker is

 If an algorithm has a chance P of returning the correct answer to an NP-complete problem in O(n<sup>k</sup>) time

- If an algorithm has a chance P of returning the correct answer to an NP-complete problem in O(n<sup>k</sup>) time
  - P is our success probability

- If an algorithm has a chance P of returning the correct answer to an NP-complete problem in O(n<sup>k</sup>) time
  - P is our success probability
  - NP-complete means we can check a solution in O(n<sup>k</sup>) time, but we can find the exact solution in O(k<sup>n</sup>) time – very bad
  - Suppose we want to have a confidence equal to α, how do we get this?

 Even if P is low, we can increase our chance of finding the correct solution by running our randomized estimator multiple times

- Even if P is low, we can increase our chance of finding the correct solution by running our randomized estimator multiple times
  - We can verify solutions in polynomial time, so we can just guess-and-check.

- Even if P is low, we can increase our chance of finding the correct solution by running our randomized estimator multiple times
  - We can verify solutions in polynomial time, so we can just guess-and-check.
  - How many times do we need to run our algorithm to be sure our chance of error is less than α?

- Even if P is low, we can increase our chance of finding the correct solution by running our randomized estimator multiple times
  - We can verify solutions in polynomial time, so we can just guess-and-check.
  - How many times do we need to run our algorithm to be sure our chance of error is less than α?

 $(1-p)^k = \alpha$ 

 $(1-p)^{k} = \alpha$   $k*\ln(1-p) = \ln \alpha$   $k = (\ln \alpha)$   $(\ln(1-p))$   $k = \log_{(1-p)} \alpha$ 

• Cool, I guess... but what does this mean?

- Cool, I guess... but what does this mean?
- Suppose P = 0.5 (we only have a 50% chance of success on any given run) and α = 0.001, we only tolerate a 0.1% error

- Cool, I guess... but what does this mean?
- Suppose P = 0.5 (we only have a 50% chance of success on any given run) and α = 0.001, we only tolerate a 0.1% error
- How many runs do we need to get this level of confidence?

- Cool, I guess... but what does this mean?
- Suppose P = 0.5 (we only have a 50% chance of success on any given run) and α = 0.001, we only tolerate a 0.1% error
- How many runs do we need to get this level of confidence?
  - Only 10! This is a constant multiple

 In fact, suppose we always want our error to be 0.1%, how does this change with p?

 In fact, suppose we always want our error to be 0.1%, how does this change with p?



 Even if p is 0.1, only a 10% chance of success, we only need to run the algorithm 80 times to get a 0.001 confidence level

- Even if p is 0.1, only a 10% chance of success, we only need to run the algorithm 80 times to get a 0.001 confidence level
- What does this mean?

- Even if p is 0.1, only a 10% chance of success, we only need to run the algorithm 80 times to get a 0.001 confidence level
- What does this mean?
  - Randomized algorithms don't have to be complicated, if you can create a *reasonable* guess and can verify it in a short amount of time, then you can get good performance just from running repeatedly.

# **RANDOMIZATION CONCLUSION**

 Good for estimating difficult problems in constrained time

# **RANDOMIZATION CONCLUSION**

- Good for estimating difficult problems in constrained time
- Relies on the quality of the guess

# **RANDOMIZATION CONCLUSION**

- Good for estimating difficult problems in constrained time
- Relies on the quality of the guess
- Important approach to consider in modern computing

# CONCLUSION

- Be prepared for the algorithm design question on the final
  - Understand how to go about getting the solution
  - Rigorous analysis, of both runtime and memory
  - Defend all design decisions
  - More points for explanation than for cleverness
## CONCLUSION

- Course evaluations
  - https://uw.iasystem.org/survey/183488