

CSE 373

**OCTOBER 20TH – HASHING
CONCLUSION + MEMORY ANALYSIS**

ASSORTED MINUTIAE

- **Office hour change**
 - 9 am Friday office hours *moved* to Monday at 9 am in CSE 220

PROJECT 2 CLARIFICATIONS

- **ChainingHashTables**

- The hashtable must be an array of dictionaries, what the dictionary is determines the chain
- Your code is an interface between the supported functions of ChainingHash and the dictionaries you've written

PROJECT 2 CLARIFICATIONS

- **ChainingHashTables**

- The hashtable must be an array of dictionaries, what the dictionary is determines the chain
- Your code is an interface between the supported functions of ChainingHash and the dictionaries you've written

- **Dictionary vs. Set**

PROJECT 2 CLARIFICATIONS

- **ChainingHashTables**

- The hashtable must be an array of dictionaries, what the dictionary is determines the chain
- Your code is an interface between the supported functions of ChainingHash and the dictionaries you've written

- **Dictionary vs. Set**

- A dictionary stores key, value pairs
- A set contains only keys—membership is the important thing to track.

EXAMPLES

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- **If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes**
 - What is the worst-case?

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- **If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes**
 - What is the worst-case? *Resizing*

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- **If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes**
 - What is the worst-case? *Resizing*
 - This resize can be amortized to $O(1)$ the same way array resizing did

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- **If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes**
 - What is the worst-case? *Resizing*
 - This resize can be amortized to $O(1)$ the same way array resizing did
 - On average, however, we expect $O(1)$ find so long as the table is well-maintained

HASHTABLE ANALYSIS

- **If our table is properly maintained, we expect $O(1)$ runtimes**
 - What is the worst-case? *Resizing*
 - This resize can be amortized to $O(1)$ the same way array resizing did
 - On average, however, we expect $O(1)$ find so long as the table is well-maintained
 - Performance and memory are direct tradeoffs here.

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Hashtables implement dictionaries**

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Hashtables implement dictionaries**
 - <Key, Value> pairs

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Hashtables implement dictionaries**
 - <Key, Value> pairs
 - Don't allow duplicate keys

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Hashtables implement dictionaries**
 - <Key, Value> pairs
 - Don't allow duplicate keys
 - Keys with the same "value" must have the same hash code

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Hashtables implement dictionaries**
 - <Key, Value> pairs
 - Don't allow duplicate keys
 - Keys with the same "value" must have the same hash code
 - For open addressing, stored either as an array of <key,value> class objects, or as two parallel arrays, one of keys and the other of values

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- Resizing

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Only get $O(1)$ operations if the table is well-maintained

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Only get $O(1)$ operations if the table is well-maintained
- Easy to get good runtimes, if you don't consider memory

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Only get $O(1)$ operations if the table is well-maintained
- Easy to get good runtimes, if you don't consider memory
- bigO analysis can apply to memory consumption in the same way it applies to clock cycles

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Only get $O(1)$ operations if the table is well-maintained
- Easy to get good runtimes, if you don't consider memory
- bigO analysis can apply to memory consumption in the same way it applies to clock cycles
- Resizing takes $O(n)$ extra memory, because you need to maintain the original hash table while you build the second.

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Iterate through the table (these are not in any meaningful order)

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Resizing**

- Iterate through the table (these are not in any meaningful order)
- Insert each of the $\langle k, v \rangle$ pairs into the new hashtable (which may be larger or smaller)
- Move pointers to new hash table

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**
 - Prime table sizes
 - Usually keep an array of all the primes that roughly double in size precalculated

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**

- Prime table sizes

- Usually keep an array of all the primes that roughly double in size precalculated
 - Finding primes is actually very computationally difficult,

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**

- Prime table sizes

- Usually keep an array of all the primes that roughly double in size precalculated
 - Finding primes is actually very computationally difficult,
 - If n is large enough, finding the new prime can be the most consuming portion of the resize

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**

- Prime table sizes
 - Usually keep an array of all the primes that roughly double in size precalculated
 - Finding primes is actually very computationally difficult,
 - If n is large enough, finding the new prime can be the most consuming portion of the resize
- If `a.equals(b)` then `a.hashCode() == b.hashCode()`

HASHTABLE IMPLEMENTATION

- **Assorted things**

- Prime table sizes
 - Usually keep an array of all the primes that roughly double in size precalculated
 - Finding primes is actually very computationally difficult,
 - If n is large enough, finding the new prime can be the most consuming portion of the resize
- If `a.equals(b)` then `a.hashCode() == b.hashCode()`
- Hardware constraints, even if you have lots of memory, over allocating fails to take advantage of spatial locality and can be problematic

HASH TABLES

- **Hash tables are a good overall data structure**

HASH TABLES

- Hash tables are a good overall data structure
 - Can provide $O(1)$ access times

HASH TABLES

- **Hash tables are a good overall data structure**
 - Can provide $O(1)$ access times
 - Can be memory inefficient

HASH TABLES

- **Hash tables are a good overall data structure**
 - Can provide $O(1)$ access times
 - Can be memory inefficient
 - Probing can fail, and delete with probing mechanisms is difficult

HASH TABLES

- **Hash tables are a good overall data structure**
 - Can provide $O(1)$ access times
 - Can be memory inefficient
 - Probing can fail, and delete with probing mechanisms is difficult
 - Chaining can be a good balance, but there is a lot of overhead maintaining all those data structures