

# Sorting (Part II: Divide and Conquer)

CSE 373

Data Structures

Lecture 14

# Readings

---

- Reading
  - › Section 7.6, Mergesort
  - › Section 7.7, Quicksort


# “Divide and Conquer”

---

- Very important strategy in computer science:
  - › Divide problem into smaller parts
  - › Independently solve the parts
  - › Combine these solutions to get overall solution
- **Idea 1:** Divide array into two halves, *recursively* sort left and right halves, then *merge* two halves → Mergesort
- **Idea 2 :** Partition array into items that are “small” and items that are “large”, then recursively sort the two sets → Quicksort


# Mergesort

---



- Divide it in two at the midpoint
- Conquer each side in turn (by recursively sorting)
- Merge two halves together



# Mergesort Example



# Auxiliary Array

---

- The merging requires an auxiliary array.





Auxiliary array

# Auxiliary Array

---

- The merging requires an auxiliary array.





Auxiliary array

# Auxiliary Array

---

- The merging requires an auxiliary array.





Auxiliary array




# Merging

---



# Merging

---



# Merging

---

```
Merge(A[], T[] : integer array, left, right : integer) : {
  mid, i, j, k, l, target : integer;
  mid := (right + left)/2;
  i := left; j := mid + 1; target := left;
  while i ≤ mid and j ≤ right do
    if A[i] ≤ A[j] then T[target] := A[i] ; i := i + 1;
    else T[target] := A[j]; j := j + 1;
    target := target + 1;
  if i > mid then //left completed//
    for k := left to target-1 do A[k] := T[k];
  if j > right then //right completed//
    k := mid; l := right;
    while k ≥ i do A[l] := A[k]; k := k-1; l := l-1;
    for k := left to target-1 do A[k] := T[k];
}
```

# Recursive Mergesort


---

```
Mergesort(A[], T[] : integer array, left, right : integer) : {  
  if left < right then  
    mid := (left + right)/2;  
    Mergesort(A,T,left,mid);  
    Mergesort(A,T,mid+1,right);  
    Merge(A,T,left,right);  
}
```

```
MainMergesort(A[1..n]: integer array, n : integer) : {  
  T[1..n]: integer array;  
  Mergesort[A,T,1,n];  
}
```


# Iterative Mergesort

---



# Iterative Mergesort

---



# Iterative Mergesort

---

```
IterativeMergesort(A[1..n]: integer array, n : integer) : {  
  //precondition: n is a power of 2//  
  i, m, parity : integer;  
  T[1..n]: integer array;  
  m := 2; parity := 0;  
  while m ≤ n do  
    for i = 1 to n - m + 1 by m do  
      if parity = 0 then Merge(A,T,i,i+m-1);  
      else Merge(T,A,i,i+m-1);  
    parity := 1 - parity;  
    m := 2*m;  
  if parity = 1 then  
    for i = 1 to n do A[i] := T[i];  
}
```

How do you handle non-powers of 2?

How can the final copy be avoided?

# Mergesort Analysis

---

- Let  $T(N)$  be the running time for an array of  $N$  elements
- Mergesort divides array in half and calls itself on the two halves. After returning, it merges both halves using a temporary array
- Each recursive call takes  $T(N/2)$  and merging takes  $O(N)$



# Mergesort Recurrence Relation

---

- The recurrence relation for  $T(N)$  is:
  - ›  $T(1) \leq a$ 
    - base case: 1 element array  $\rightarrow$  constant time
  - ›  $T(N) \leq 2T(N/2) + bN$ 
    - Sorting  $N$  elements takes
      - the time to sort the left half
      - plus the time to sort the right half
      - plus an  $O(N)$  time to merge the two halves
- $T(N) = O(n \log n)$  (see Lecture 5 Slide17)

# Properties of Mergesort

---

- Not in-place
  - › Requires an auxiliary array ( $O(n)$  extra space)
- Stable
  - › Make sure that left is sent to target on equal values.
- Iterative Mergesort reduces copying.

# Quicksort

---


- Quicksort uses a divide and conquer strategy, but does not require the  $O(N)$  extra space that MergeSort does
  - › Partition array into left and right sub-arrays
    - Choose an element of the array, called pivot
    - the elements in left sub-array are all less than pivot
    - elements in right sub-array are all greater than pivot
  - › Recursively sort left and right sub-arrays
  - › Concatenate left and right sub-arrays in  $O(1)$  time

# “Four easy steps”

---

- To sort an array **S**
  1. If the number of elements in **S** is 0 or 1, then return. The array is sorted.
  2. Pick an element  $v$  in **S**. This is the *pivot* value.
  3. Partition **S**- $\{v\}$  into two disjoint subsets, **S**<sub>1</sub> = {all values  $x \leq v$ }, and **S**<sub>2</sub> = {all values  $x \geq v$ }.
  4. Return QuickSort(**S**<sub>1</sub>),  $v$ , QuickSort(**S**<sub>2</sub>)

# The steps of QuickSort



# Details, details

---

- Implementing the actual partitioning
- Picking the pivot
  - › want a value that will cause  $|S_1|$  and  $|S_2|$  to be non-zero, and close to equal in size if possible
- Dealing with cases where the element equals the pivot

# Quicksort Partitioning

---

- Need to partition the array into left and right sub-arrays
  - › the elements in left sub-array are  $\leq$  pivot
  - › elements in right sub-array are  $\geq$  pivot
- How do the elements get to the correct partition?
  - › Choose an element from the array as the pivot
  - › Make one pass through the rest of the array and swap as needed to put elements in partitions

# Partitioning: Choosing the pivot

---

- One implementation (there are others)
  - › median3 finds pivot and sorts left, center, right
    - Median3 takes the median of leftmost, middle, and rightmost elements
    - An alternative is to choose the pivot randomly (need a random number generator; “expensive”)
    - Another alternative is to choose the first element (but can be very bad. Why?)
  - › Swap pivot with next to last element



# Partitioning in-place

---

- › Set pointers  $i$  and  $j$  to start and end of array
- › Increment  $i$  until you hit element  $A[i] > \text{pivot}$
- › Decrement  $j$  until you hit elmt  $A[j] < \text{pivot}$
- › Swap  $A[i]$  and  $A[j]$
- › Repeat until  $i$  and  $j$  cross
- › Swap pivot (at  $A[N-2]$ ) with  $A[i]$

# Example

Choose the pivot as the median of three

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
8	1	4	9	0	3	5	2	7	6

Median of 0, 6, 8 is 6. Pivot is 6


0	1	4	9	7	3	5	2	6	8
i									j

Place the largest at the right  
and the smallest at the left.

Swap pivot with next to last element.

# Example

---



Move  $i$  to the right up to  $A[i]$  larger than pivot.  
Move  $j$  to the left up to  $A[j]$  smaller than pivot.  
Swap

# Example

				i			j		
0	1	4	2	7	3	5	9	6	8

				i		j			
0	1	4	2	7	3	5	9	6	8

				i		j			
0	1	4	2	5	3	7	9	6	8

						i j			
0	1	4	2	5	3	7	9	6	8

					j	i			
0	1	4	2	5	3	7	9	6	8

Cross-over  $i > j$

					j	i			
0	1	4	2	5	3	6	9	7	8

$S_1 < \text{pivot}$

pivot

$S_2 > \text{pivot}$

# Recursive Quicksort

---

```
Quicksort(A[]: integer array, left, right : integer): {
  pivotindex : integer;
  if left + CUTOFF ≤ right then
    pivot := median3(A, left, right);
    pivotindex := Partition(A, left, right-1, pivot);
    Quicksort(A, left, pivotindex - 1);
    Quicksort(A, pivotindex + 1, right);
  else
    Insertionsort(A, left, right);
}
```

Don't use quicksort for small arrays.  
CUTOFF = 10 is reasonable.

# Quicksort Best Case Performance

---

- Algorithm always chooses best pivot and splits sub-arrays in half at each recursion
  - ›  $T(0) = T(1) = O(1)$ 
    - constant time if 0 or 1 element
  - › For  $N > 1$ , 2 recursive calls plus linear time for partitioning
  - ›  $T(N) = 2T(N/2) + O(N)$ 
    - Same recurrence relation as Mergesort
  - ›  $T(N) = \underline{O(N \log N)}$

# Quicksort Worst Case Performance

---

- Algorithm always chooses the worst pivot – one sub-array is empty at each recursion
  - ›  $T(N) \leq a$  for  $N \leq C$
  - ›  $T(N) \leq T(N-1) + bN$
  - ›  $\leq T(N-2) + b(N-1) + bN$
  - ›  $\leq T(C) + b(C+1) + \dots + bN$
  - ›  $\leq a + b(C + (C+1) + (C+2) + \dots + N)$
  - ›  $T(N) = O(N^2)$
- Fortunately, *average case performance* is  $O(N \log N)$  (see text for proof)

# Properties of Quicksort

---

- Not stable because of long distance swapping.
- No iterative version (without using a stack).
- Pure quicksort not good for small arrays.
- “In-place”, but uses auxiliary storage because of recursive call ( $O(\log n)$  space).
- $O(n \log n)$  average case performance, but  $O(n^2)$  worst case performance.



# Folklore

---

- “Quicksort is the best in-memory sorting algorithm.”
- Truth
  - › Quicksort uses very few comparisons on average.
  - › Quicksort does have good performance in the memory hierarchy.
    - Small footprint
    - Good locality