

# Disjoint Union / Find

CSE 373

Data Structures

Lecture 17

# Reading

---

- Reading
  - › Chapter 8

# Disjoint Union - Find

---

- Maintain a set of pairwise disjoint sets.
  - ›  $\{3,5,7\}$  ,  $\{4,2,8\}$ ,  $\{9\}$ ,  $\{1,6\}$
- Each set has a unique name, one of its members
  - ›  $\{3,\underline{5},7\}$  ,  $\{4,2,\underline{8}\}$ ,  $\{\underline{9}\}$ ,  $\{\underline{1},6\}$

# Union

---

- Union(x,y) – take the union of two sets named x and y
  - › {3,5,7} , {4,2,8}, {9}, {1,6}
  - › Union(5,1)  
{3,5,7,1,6}, {4,2,8}, {9},

# Find


---

- Find(x) – return the name of the set containing x.
  - › {3,5,7,1,6}, {4,2,8}, {9},
  - › Find(1) = 5
  - › Find(4) = 8

# Cute Application

---


- Build a random maze by erasing edges.



# Cute Application

---


- Pick Start and End



# Cute Application

---

- Repeatedly pick random edges to delete.





# Desired Properties

---

- None of the boundary is deleted
- Every cell is reachable from every other cell.
- There are no cycles – no cell can reach itself by a path unless it retraces some part of the path.







# Number the Cells

---

We have disjoint sets  $S = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \dots, \{36\} \}$  each cell is unto itself.  
We have all possible edges  $E = \{ (1,2), (1,7), (2,8), (2,3), \dots \}$  60 edges total.

Start	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	13	14	15	16	17	18	
	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	25	26	27	28	29	30	
	31	32	33	34	35	36	End

# Basic Algorithm

---

- S = set of sets of connected cells
- E = set of edges
- Maze = set of maze edges initially empty

```
While there is more than one set in S
  pick a random edge (x,y) and remove from E
  u := Find(x);
  v := Find(y);
  if u ≠ v then
    Union(u,v)
  else
    add (x,y) to Maze
All remaining members of E together with Maze form the maze
```

# Example Step

Pick (8,14)


Start	1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12
	13	14	15	16	17	18
	19	20	21	22	23	24
	25	26	27	28	29	30
	31	32	33	34	35	36
					End	

- S
- {1,2,7,8,9,13,19}
  - {3}
  - {4}
  - {5}
  - {6}
  - {10}
  - {11,17}
  - {12}
  - {14,20,26,27}
  - {15,16,21}
  - .
  - .
  - {22,23,24,29,30,32
  - 33,34,35,36}

# Example

S  
{1,2,7,8,9,13,19}  
{3}  
{4}  
{5}  
{6}  
{10}  
{11,17}  
{12}  
{14,20,26,27}  
{15,16,21}  
.  
.  
{22,23,24,29,39,32  
33,34,35,36}

Find(8) = 7  
Find(14) = 20



Union(7,20)

S  
{1,2,7,8,9,13,19,14,20,26,27}  
{3}  
{4}  
{5}  
{6}  
{10}  
{11,17}  
{12}  
{15,16,21}  
.  
.  
{22,23,24,29,39,32  
33,34,35,36}



# Example

Pick (19,20)

Start	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	13	14	15	16	17	18	
	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	25	26	27	28	29	30	
	31	32	33	34	35	36	End


S  
 {1,2,7,8,9,13,19  
 14,20,26,27}

{3}  
 {4}  
 {5}  
 {6}  
 {10}  
 {11,17}  
 {12}  
 {15,16,21}

.  
 .  
 {22,23,24,29,30,32  
 33,34,35,36}

# Example at the End

S  
{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,... 36}



— E  
— Maze


# Up-Tree for DU/F

---

Initial state



Intermediate state




Roots are the names of each set.

# Find Operation

---


- Find(x) follow x to the root and return the root



# Union Operation

---

- $\text{Union}(i,j)$  - assuming  $i$  and  $j$  roots, point  $i$  to  $j$ .




# Simple Implementation

---

- Array of indices

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
up	0	1	0	7	7	5	0

Up[x] = 0 means  
x is a root.



# Union

---

```
Union(up[] : integer array, x,y : integer) : {  
  //precondition: x and y are roots//  
  Up[x] := y  
}
```

Constant Time!

# Exercise


---

- Design Find operator
  - › Recursive version
  - › Iterative version

```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer {  
  //precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//  
  ???  
}
```




# A Bad Case



# Weighted Union


- Weighted Union
  - › Always point the smaller tree to the root of the larger tree




# Example Again



Union(1,2)




Union(2,3)



⋮

Union(n-1,n)




Find(1) constant time

# Analysis of Weighted Union

- With weighted union an up-tree of height  $h$  has weight at least  $2^h$ .
- Proof by induction
  - › Basis:  $h = 0$ . The up-tree has one node,  $2^0 = 1$
  - › Inductive step: Assume true for all  $h' < h$ .

Minimum weight  
up-tree of height  $h$   
formed by  
weighted unions



$$W(T_1) \geq W(T_2) \geq 2^{h-1}$$

Weighted union      Induction hypothesis

$$W(T) \geq 2^{h-1} + 2^{h-1} = 2^h$$

# Analysis of Weighted Union


---

- Let  $T$  be an up-tree of weight  $n$  formed by weighted union. Let  $h$  be its height.
- $n \geq 2^h$
- $\log_2 n \geq h$
- Find( $x$ ) in tree  $T$  takes  $O(\log n)$  time.
- Can we do better?


# Worst Case for Weighted Union

---

$n/2$  Weighted Unions




$n/4$  Weighted Unions



# Example of Worst Cast (cont')


After  $n - 1 = n/2 + n/4 + \dots + 1$  Weighted Unions



If there are  $n = 2^k$  nodes then the longest path from leaf to root has length  $k$ .

# Elegant Array Implementation

---



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
up	0	1	0	7	7	5	0
weight	2		1				4




# Weighted Union

---

```
W-Union(i, j : index){
//i and j are roots//
  wi := weight[i];
  wj := weight[j];
  if wi < wj then
    up[i] := j;
    weight[j] := wi + wj;
  else
    up[j] := i;
    weight[i] := wi + wj;
}
```


# Path Compression

- On a Find operation point all the nodes on the search path directly to the root.



# Self-Adjustment Works

---




# Path Compression Find

---

```
PC-Find(i : index) {
  r := i;
  while up[r] ≠ 0 do //find root//
    r := up[r];
  if i ≠ r then //compress path//
    k := up[i];
    while k ≠ r do
      up[i] := r;
      i := k;
      k := up[k];
  return(r)
}
```

# Example

---



# Disjoint Union / Find with Weighted Union and PC

---

- Worst case time complexity for a W-Union is  $O(1)$  and for a PC-Find is  $O(\log n)$ .
- Time complexity for  $m \geq n$  operations on  $n$  elements is  $O(m \log^* n)$  where  $\log^* n$  is a very slow growing function.
  - ›  $\log^* n < 7$  for all reasonable  $n$ . Essentially constant time per operation!
- Using “ranked union” gives an even better bound theoretically.

# Amortized Complexity

---

- For disjoint union / find with weighted union and path compression.
  - › average time per operation is essentially a constant.
  - › worst case time for a PC-Find is  $O(\log n)$ .
- An individual operation can be costly, but over time the average cost per operation is not.

# Find Solutions

---

## Recursive

```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer {  
  //precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//  
  if up[x] = 0 then return x  
  else return Find(up, up[x]);  
}
```

## Iterative

```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer {  
  //precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//  
  while up[x] ≠ 0 do  
    x := up[x];  
  return x;  
}
```