Design of Digital Circuits and Systems

Clock Domain Crossing

Instructor: Vikram Iyer

Teaching Assistants:

Ariel Kao

Josh Wentzien

Selim Saridede

Jared Yoder

Derek Thorp

Adapted from material by Justin Hisa

Relevant Course Information

- Homework 5 due Friday (5/16)
  - Problem 4 looks long but it's more a walkthrough
- Lab 5 due next week (5/23)
  - Hardest/longest lab
    - Spend time planning and thinking through your design
  - You will need to use the VGA interface on LabsLand

Review: Timing Slack

- *Slack* is how much wiggle room we have before we run into a potential timing violation (setup or hold)
  - Can think of as worst-case analysis
  - Setup slack = $DRT_{su} - DAT_{su}$ = clock $path_{min}$ – data $path_{max}$
  - Hold slack = $DAT_h - DRT_h$ = data $path_{min}$ – clock $path_{max}$



  - We looked specifically at the slack between a single source and destination register, but in reality, we'd want to know the minimum slack between *any two registers* in our system

Review: Timing Slack

setup slack = clock path$_{min}$ – data path$_{max}$
hold slack = data path$_{min}$ – clock path$_{max}$

- Solve for the min setup and hold slacks if $T = 40$ ns
  - Registers: $t_h = 1$ ns, $t_{su} = 3$ ns, $t_{co} \in [6,9]$ ns
  - Delays: $t_{\text{wire}} \in [1,2]$ ns, $t_{\text{clk,A}} \in [1,2]$ ns, $t_{\text{clk,B}} \in [2,4]$ ns
    $t_{\text{NOT}} \in [3,5]$ ns, $t_{\text{OR}} \in [6,8]$ ns, $t_{\text{XOR}} \in [9,12]$ ns



Clock Domains

- A **clock domain** is all of the sequential logic that runs on the same clock/clock frequency
  - May have multiple clock domains in one device or different clock domains when communicating across devices
  - These can arise purposefully (*e.g.*, using multiple clocks) or inadvertently (*e.g.*, really bad clock skew and jitter)

Clock Domain Crossing (CDC)

- Sending data from one clock domain to another is called a **clock domain crossing**
  - Can cause timing issues: metastability, data loss, and data incoherence
  - The sending clock domain and receiving clock domain are separated by a CDC boundary



- The phase and frequency relationships between clock domains may be *known* (**synchronous CDC**) or *unknown* (**asynchronous CDC**)

Synchronization

- **Synchronization**: coordination of events for proper transfer of data
  - *e.g.*, the two-flip-flop circuit recommended in 271



  - We will discuss a number of other synchronizers today

Metastability

- **Metastability** is the ability of a digital system to persist for an unbounded time in an unstable equilibrium or metastable state
  - Caused by timing constraint violations
  - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metastability_in_electronics



Metastability

- Why is metastability bad?
  - Circuit may be unable to settle into a stable '0' or '1' logic level within the time required for proper circuit operation
    - Unstable signals can also cause current spikes
  - Unpredictable behavior or random value
    - Metastable signal is passed to combinational logic
    - Metastable signal passed to multiple destinations
    - Downstream timing issues

Metastability and CDC

- Metastability is inevitable in a multi-clock design, but want to prevent it as much as possible
  - Caused by different frequencies, clock skew, clock jitter
- Signals in the sending clock domain should be *synchronized* before being passed to a CDC boundary
  - Bad:



  - Good:



Metastability and CDC

- Metastability is inevitable in a multi-clock design, but want to prevent it as much as possible
  - Caused by different frequencies, clock skew, clock jitter
- Signals in the receiving clock domain should be passed through a *synchronizer* before rest of system
  - Add flip-flops to give metastable signals time to settle so rest of system receives clean/valid signals
    - For most synchronization applications, two flip-flops are sufficient



Technology Break

Data Loss

- **Data loss** refers to information lost due to failures
- Data loss during CDC:
  - Typically means *incorrect reads* or *missed input changes*



Data Loss

- **Data loss** refers to information lost due to failures

- Data loss during CDC:
  - Typically means *incorrect reads* or *missed input changes*
  - Change in sending domain data may not be properly captured on first clock edge in receiving domain due to metastability:



Dealing with Data Loss

- Sending domain data should be held constant long enough to be captured in the receiving domain
  - No longer a cycle-by-cycle correspondence between sending and receiving domain data

- Need to account for differences in clock speeds
  - Slower → Faster (*synchronous*): holding input constant for one clock cycle is probably enough since $T_{sending} > T_{receiving}$
    - Can do longer to be more sure



Dealing with Data Loss

- Sending domain data should be held constant long enough to be captured in the receiving domain
  - No longer a cycle-by-cycle correspondence between sending and receiving domain data

- Need to account for differences in clock speeds
  - Faster → Slower (*synchronous*): "open loop" solution is to holding input constant for longer by "stretching" them



Dealing with Data Loss

- Sending domain data should be held constant long enough to be captured in the receiving domain
  - No longer a cycle-by-cycle correspondence between sending and receiving domain data

- Need to account for differences in clock speeds
  - Faster → Slower (*synchronous*): "closed loop" solutions can check for proper receipt of signal before changing signal



Dealing with Data Loss

- Sending domain data should be held constant long enough to be captured in the receiving domain
  - No longer a cycle-by-cycle correspondence between sending and receiving domain data

- Need to account for differences in clock speeds
  - For *asynchronous* CDC, will need to employ a more sophisticated synchronization technique
    - *e.g.*, handshake or FIFO buffer

Data Incoherence

- **Data incoherence** is the invalid combination of values caused by differing outcomes when passing multiple signals (*e.g.*, a vector) through a CDC simultaneously
  - Depending on what the data represents, this invalid state may lead to functional errors



Dealing with Data Incoherence

- Specifically trying to avoid *invalid states*
  - The issue of incorrect reads is metastability and data loss
- When possible, restrict data changes to 1 bit at a time
  - Even on failed transition, will remain in a valid state
  - *e.g.*, a Gray code counter

Decimal	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Binary	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
Gray	000	001	011	010	110	111	101	100

- Ensure that system can recover from invalid states
  - May result in less optimized logic
  - *e.g.*, force transitions from invalid to valid states in FSM

Synchronization of CDC Data Signals

- The previously mentioned techniques of adding synchronizing flip-flops and using Gray codes are not generally sufficient for data buses through a CDC

- Three common methods for synchronizing data between clock domains are:
  - MUX-based synchronizers
  - Handshake signals
  - FIFO buffers

Flip-Flop Synchronizer

- Add m flip-flops in the receiving domain
  - Generally want to hold input data stable for m+1 receiving domain clock edges to ensure settling of data



Recirculation MUX Synchronizer

- Control signals typically can sufficiently be handled by flip-flop synchronizers, so can use these to choose when to sample the data in the receiving domain



Handshake Synchronizer

- A *request-and-acknowledge* scheme to guarantee the sampling of correct data
  - The relationship of the two clocks doesn't matter!
  - Best if data doesn't change very frequently



Handshake Implementation

- Sender:
  - Input data should be held stable ($\overline{\text{sLoad}}$) until acknowledgement received
  - Request (`sreq`) signal should be stable for m+1 cycles in the receiving clock domain to ensure transmission
  - A new request should not be asserted until the acknowledgement from the previous data value has been de-asserted

Handshake Implementation

- Receiver
  - Input data should not be sampled (`dCtrl`) until request received
  - Acknowledgement (`dack`) signal should be stable for m+1 cycles in the sending clock domain to ensure transmission
  - A new acknowledgement should not be asserted until the next request is received

FIFO Synchronizer

- A dual-clock asynchronous FIFO can be used when the high latency of a handshake synchronizer cannot be tolerated



FIFO Synchronizer

- The golden rules of FIFO buffers still apply:
  - The sender should never write when the FIFO is full
  - The receiver should never reads when the FIFO is empty
- Implementation details:
  - Have to deal with FF synchronizer delays, but not *every* time
  - Pointer (read and write) must be gray-coded at their source
    - Comparison of gray-coded positions is more complex
  - Determining full/empty signals on time is tricky
  - May need to slow data generation rate (or introduce breaks/pauses) if not being read fast enough

Review Questions

- A 1-bit signal becomes metastable in the receiving domain and is read incorrectly. This is an example of:

  Data loss Data incoherence Both Neither

- What is the trade-off between using an open-loop and closed-loop CDC solution?

- Which synchronizer is slowest (and why)?

  Flip-flop Recirculation MUX Handshake FIFO