

Design of Digital Circuits and Systems

Proposal Workshop

Instructor: Justin Hsia

Teaching Assistants:

Colton Harris

Deepti Anoop

Gayathri Vadhyan

Jared Yoder

Lancelot Wathieu

Matthew Hung

Relevant Course Information

- ❖ Quiz 5 (50 min) is *this* Thursday @ 11:30 am
 - Static Timing Analysis, Pipelining, Clock Domain Crossing
 - Scientific calculator allowed!

- ❖ Homework 6 due Monday (5/27)

- ❖ Lab 6 proposal due tomorrow (5/22)
 - (1) Description of major project features
 - (2) Top-level block diagram
 - (3) Images/sketches of VGA output

- ❖ Lab 6 report and video due 6/3

Review Questions

- ❖ What is the difference between rand & randc?

rand is "uniformly" distributed (like rolling a die)

randc is "cyclically" distributed (like drawing cards without replacement)

- ❖ How do you randomize an object? What happens when this fails?

↑ call the randomize method

```
MemRead mr = new();
```

```
mr.randomize(); // returns 0 on failure
```

- ❖ Define *random stability*.

separate randomization calls produce different results,
but separate testbench executions will produce the same results for the same seed.

can change seed using `mr srandom(<seed>);`

- ❖ Name a reason one might want to split constraints into multiple constraint blocks.

readability - use one constraint block per randomizable variable

Ranges and Sets

- ❖ `[A:B]` declares a **range** of integers between **A** and **B**, inclusive
 - Just like the notation used in array declarations
 - **A** and **B** can be constants and/or variables
- ❖ A random variable can be chosen from a **set** of values using the inside keyword
 - Can be used with both `rand` and `randc` variables
 - Sets can be notated as the concatenation of values, ranges, and array variables

e.g.,


```

    rand bit [7:0] f;
    bit [7:0] vals[] = {5, 8, 13};
    constraint c_fib { f inside {[1:3], vals, 21}; }
  
```

random fibonacci number (pointing to `f`)
set (pointing to the set definition)
range (pointing to `[1:3]`)
array (pointing to `vals`)
value (pointing to `21`)

Weighted Distributions

- ❖ You can define a weighted non-uniform distribution with the constraint expression

```
<var> dist {<distribution>;
```

- Can only be used with `rand` variables
- ❖ Distribution notated in comma-separated list of values and their relative weights
 - Values can be expressed by themselves or in a range or set
 - Weights in distribution become normalized (*i.e.*, don't have to sum to 100)

▪ *e.g.*, `constraint c_weight { coin dist {0:=5, 1:=5}; }`

random variable

values

weights

(total weight 10)

Weighted Distributions

- ❖ Weight distribution operators for ranges and sets
 - `:=` assigns same weight to multiple values
 - `:/` distributes the assigned weight across multiple values

❖ Example:

```
constraint c_dist1 {
  x dist {0:=30,
          [1:3]:=30};
}
```

no difference (pointing to `0:=30`)
each gets 30 (pointing to `[1:3]:=30`)

```
constraint c_dist2 {
  x dist {0:/30,
          [1:3]:/30};
}
```

all split 30 (pointing to `[1:3]:/30`)

<u>weight</u>	x	Probability
30	0	1/4
30	1	1/4
30	2	1/4
30	3	1/4
<u>120</u>		

<u>weight</u>	x	Probability
30	0	1/2
10	1	1/6
10	2	1/6
10	3	1/6
<u>60</u>		

Constraint Exercise #2

- ❖ Modify your MemRead class from Exercise #1 to have the following updated constraints:
 - Constrain data to always be 5
 - Constrain addr to probabilistically be 4'd0 10% of the time, 4'd15 10% of the time, and between those two the rest of the time (80%)

```
constraint c-data {  
    data == 5;  
}  
constraint c-addr {  
    addr dist { 0:=10, 15:=10, [1:14]:/80 };  
}
```

Constraints with Variables

- ❖ Instead of hardcoding constraints, use variables with default values
 - Avoid magic numbers; code becomes more readable
 - Can change before performing randomization

```
class Packet;  
  rand bit [31:0] length;  
  constraint c_len {  
    length inside [1:100]};  
}  
endclass
```



```
class Packet;  
  rand bit [31:0] length;  
  int max_len = 100;  
  constraint c_len {  
    length inside [1:max_len]};  
}  
endclass
```


Constraints with Variables

- ❖ Instead of hardcoding constraints, use variables with default values
 - Avoid magic numbers; code becomes more readable
 - Can change before performing randomization

```
class Packet;  
  rand bit [31:0] length;  
  constraint c_len {  
    length inside [1:100]};  
}  
endclass
```



```
class Packet;  
  rand bit [31:0] length;  
  int max_len = 100;  
  constraint c_len {  
    length inside [1:max_len]};  
}
```

```
initial begin  
  Packet p1 = new();  
  p1.max_len = 200;  
  if (!p1.randomize())  
    $finish;  
end
```

BONUS SLIDES

Implication and Equivalence Operators are included here as additional (and more complex) constraint operators.

You are *not* expected to study or need to use these in the context of this class.

Implication and Equivalence Operators

❖ Implication: $A \rightarrow B$

- Same meaning, but different syntax from assertions!
 - Equivalent to $(\neg A \vee B)$
- When used in a constraint, the solver will pick values such that the implication holds true

A	B	$A \rightarrow B$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

not allowed as part of a valid solution

❖ Equivalence: $A \leftrightarrow B$

- Bidirectional implication: $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$
 - Equivalent to XNOR
- Possible confusion that $=$ also sometimes referred to as an “equivalence operator”, but these are different

A	B	$A \leftrightarrow B$
F	F	T
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

Solution Probabilities

```
rand bit x;           // 0, 1
rand bit [1:0] y;    // 00, 01, 10, 11
```

// unconstrained!

x	y	Probability
0	0	$1/8$
0	1	$1/8$
0	2	$1/8$
0	3	$1/8$
1	0	$1/8$
1	1	$1/8$
1	2	$1/8$
1	3	$1/8$

Implication and Equivalence Examples

```

rand bit x;
rand bit [1:0] y;
constraint c_imp1 {
    (x==0)->(y==0);
}
    
```

when x is 0, y must be 0

x	y	Probability
0	0	1/2
0	1 x	0
0	2 x	0
0	3 x	0
1	0	1/8
1	1	1/8
1	2	1/8
1	3	1/8

50% total

50% total

```

rand bit x;
rand bit [1:0] y;
constraint c_imp2 {
    y > 0;
    (x==0)->(y==0);
}
    
```

since y cannot be 0, neither can x

x	y	Probability
0	0 x	0
0	1 x	0
0	2 x	0
0	3 x	0
1	0 x	0
1	1	1/3
1	2	1/3
1	3	1/3

Implication and Equivalence Examples

```

rand bit x;
rand bit [1:0] y;
constraint c_eqv1 {
    (x==0) <-> (y==0);
} // pick x first
    
```

$A \rightarrow B$
 $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$

x	y	Probability
0	0	1/2
0	1 X	0
0	2 X	0
0	3 X	0
1	0 X	0
1	1	1/6
1	2	1/6
1	3	1/6

50% total (A)

50% total (A)

```

rand bit x;
rand bit [1:0] y;
constraint c_eqv2 {
    (x==0) <-> (y==0);
} // pick y first
    
```

$B \rightarrow A$
 $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{A}$

x	y	Probability
0	0	1/4
1 X	0	0
0 X	1	0
1	1	1/4
0 X	2	0
1	2	1/4
0 X	3	0
1	3	1/4

25% total (B)

25% total (B)

25% total (B)

25% total (B)

Same valid solutions, but different probabilities

Technology Break

Lab 6 Proposal Workshop

❖ Rough schedule:

- Pairing 1: 11:20 – 11:35
- Pairing 2: 11:35 – 11:50
- Pairing 3: 11:50 – 12:05
- Pairing 4: 12:05 – 12:20

❖ Notes:

- Make sure that you introduce and talk about *both* projects
- Be curious – ask questions!
 - Clarifications, point out potential issues, dive into implementation details
- Course staff will be circling to listen in and answer questions