## Lecture 6

## - Logistics

- HW2 due on Wednesday
- Lab 2 this week
- Last lecture
- Canonical forms
- NAND and NOR
- Today's lecture
- More NAND and NOR and pushing bubbles
- Logic simplification: Visualization techniques
$\boldsymbol{k}$ Boolean cubes
$\boldsymbol{K}$ Karnaugh maps


## The "WHY" slide

- Converting to use NAND and NOR
- NAND and NOR are more efficient gates than AND or OR (and therefore more common). Your computer is built almost exclusively on NAND and NOR gates. It is good to knowhow to convert any logic circuits to a NAND/NOR circuit.
- Pushing bubbles
- It is always good to remember logical/theoretical concepts visually. This is one way to remember the NAND/NOR conversion easily.
- Logic Simplification
- If you are building a computer or a cool gadget, you want to optimize on size and efficiency. Having extra unnecessary operations/gates is not great. We teach nice techniques to allow logic simplifications.


## NAND and NOR (logic gates)

## - de Morgan's

- Standard form:
$A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=(A+B)^{\prime}$
$A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}=(A B)^{\prime}$
- Inverted:
$A+B=\left(A^{\prime} B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \quad(A B)=\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$
- AND with complemented inputs = NOR
- OR with complemented inputs = NAND
- OR $\equiv$ NAND with complemented inputs
- AND $\equiv$ NOR with complemented inputs


## pushing the bubble



## Converting to use NAND/NOR

- Introduce inversions ("bubbles")
- Introduce bubbles in pairs
$\boldsymbol{k}$ Conserve inversions
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ Do not alter logic function
- Example
- AND/OR to NAND/NAND

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =A B+C D \\
& =\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(C^{\prime}+D^{\prime}\right) '^{\prime} \\
& =\left[\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)\left(C^{\prime}+D^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
& =\left[(A B)^{\prime}(C D)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Converting to use NAND/NOR (con't)

- Example: AND/OR network to NOR/NOR

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =A B+C D \\
& =\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(C^{\prime}+D^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left[\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(C^{\prime}+D^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(C^{\prime}+D^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Converting to use NAND/NOR (con't)

- Example: OR/AND to NAND/NAND



## Converting to use NAND/NOR(con't)

- Example: OR/AND to NOR/NOR


Example of bubble pushing: before pushing



## Example of bubble pushing: NOR/NOR



## Goal: Minimize two-level logic expression

- Algebraic simplification
- not an systematic procedure
- hard to know when we reached the minimum
- Computer-aided design tools
- require very long computation times (NP hard)
- heuristic methods employed - "educated guesses"
- Visualization methods are useful
- our brain is good at figuring things out over computers
- many real-world problems are solvable by hand


## Key tool: The Uniting Theorem

- The uniting theorem $\rightarrow A\left(B^{\prime}+B\right)=A$
- The approach:
- Find some variables don't change (the A's above) and others do (the B's above)
- Eliminate the changing variables (the B's)

| A | B | F |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | A has the same value in both "on-set" rows |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $\Rightarrow$ keep A |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | B has a different value in the two rows |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\Rightarrow$ eliminate B |

$$
F=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B=A^{\prime}\left(B+B^{\prime}\right)=A^{\prime}
$$

## Boolean cubes

Visualization tool for the uniting theorem

- $n$ input variables $=n$-dimensional "cube"



## Mapping truth tables onto Boolean cubes

- ON set $=$ solid nodes
- OFF set = empty nodes



## Example using Boolean cube

Binary full-adder carry-out logic

| A | B | Cin | Cout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



## Another example using Boolean cube



| A | B | Cin | Cout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



## M-dimensional cubes in n-dimensional space

- In a 3-cube (three variables):
- A 0 -cube (a single node) yields a term in 3 literals
- A 1-cube (a line of two nodes) yields a term in 2 literals
- A 2-cube (a plane of four nodes) yields a term in 1 literal
- A 3-cube (a cube of eight nodes) yields a constant term "1"



## Karnaugh maps (K-map)

- Flat representation of Boolean cubes
- Easy to use for 2- 4 dimensions
- Hard for 4-6 dimensions
- Virtually impossible for 6+ dimensions $\boldsymbol{K}$ Use CAD tools
- Help visualize adjacencies

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $F$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

- On-set elements that have one variable changing are adjacent

|  | ${ }^{\text {A }} 1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | ${ }_{0} 1$ | 2 |
| 1 | 10 | ${ }_{3} 0$ |

## 2, 3, and 4 dimensional K-maps

- Uses Gray code: Only one bit changes between cells
- Example: $00 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 10$





## Adjacencies

- Wrap-around at edges
- First column to last column
- Top row to bottom row



## K-map minimization example: 2 variables

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $F$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

## K-map minimization example: 3 variables

| A | B | Cin | Cout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



## One more example: 3 variables



