Question F6: Structs  [10 pts]

For this question, assume a 64-bit machine and the following C struct definition.

```c
typedef struct {  
  char* title;  // title (e.g. "HW SW INTERFACE")
  char dept[3];  // dept (e.g. "CSE")
  short num;  // course number (e.g. 351)
  int enrolled;  // students enrolled
} course;  // Kmax = 8
```

(A) How much memory, in bytes, does an instance of `course` use? How many of those bytes are internal fragmentation and external fragmentation?  [6 pt]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sizeof(course)</th>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 bytes</td>
<td>3 bytes</td>
<td>4 bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alignment requirements listed above in red next to the struct fields. A `course` instance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>dept[3]</th>
<th>num</th>
<th>enrolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unused bytes around `num` count as internal fragmentation, the unused bytes after `enrolled` count as external fragmentation.

(B) Assume that an instance `course` `c` is allocated on the stack and an array `char ar[]` is allocated 40 bytes below `c` (i.e. `&ar + 0x28 == (char*)c`). Fill in the blanks below with the new ASCII characters stored in `c.dept` after the following loop is executed.  Hint: recall that the values 0x30 to 0x39 correspond to the ASCII characters '0' to '9'.  [4 pt]

```c
for (int i = 0; i < 52; ++i) {
  ar[i] = i;
}
```

Starting from the beginning of `ar`, we store the values 0 to 39 before we reach the struct `c`. The values 40 to 47 overwrite the bytes of `c.title` (address 0x2f2e2d2c2b2a2928, assuming little-endian). `c.dept` then gets overwritten with the values 48 = 0x30 = '0', 49 = 0x31 = '1', and 50 = 0x32 = '2'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c.dept[0]:</th>
<th>'0'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.dept[1]:</td>
<td>'1'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.dept[2]:</td>
<td>'2'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question F7: Caching [19 pts]**

We have 256 KiB of RAM and a 4-KiB L1 data cache that is 2-way set associative with 32-byte blocks and random replacement, write-back, and write allocate policies.

(A) Calculate the TIO address breakdown: [3 pt]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag bits</th>
<th>Index bits</th>
<th>Offset bits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 address bits. \(\log_2 32 = 5\) offset bits. \(2^{12}\)-B cache = 128 blocks. 2 blocks/set \(\rightarrow 64 = 2^6\) sets.

(B) The code snippet below accesses two arrays of doubles. Assuming \(i\) is stored in a register and the cache starts cold, give the memory access pattern (read or write to which elements/addresses) and compute the miss rate. [6 pt]

```c
#define SIZE 128
double src[SIZE]; // &src = 0x08000 (physical addr)
double dst[SIZE]; // &dst = 0x0E000 (physical addr)
for (int i = 0; i < SIZE; i += 1) {
    dst[i] = src[i];
    src[i] = i;
}
```

Per Iteration:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access 1:</th>
<th>Access 2:</th>
<th>Access 3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(circle) (\rightarrow)</td>
<td>R / W to (\rightarrow)</td>
<td>R / W to (\rightarrow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(fill in) (\rightarrow)</td>
<td>(\rightarrow)</td>
<td>(\rightarrow)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{src}[i]\) and \(\text{dst}[i]\) map into the same set because their index fields match. However, our cache is 2-way set associative, so they do not conflict. Each block holds \(32\) B = 4 doubles, so for the 4 iterations in the same cache block, we get MMH|HHH|HHH|HHH for a miss rate of \(2/12 = 1/6\).

**(C)** For each of the proposed (independent) changes, draw \(\uparrow\) for “increased”, \(\_\) for “no change”, or \(\downarrow\) for “decreased” to indicate the effect on the miss rate from Part B for the code above: [8 pt]

- Use \textit{float} instead \(\_\downarrow\_)  
- Double the cache size \(\_\_\uparrow\_\_\_\_\)
- Half the associativity \(\_\_\uparrow\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
- No-write allocate \(\_\_\uparrow\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Using \textit{floats} means we access each block twice as much (MR = 1/12). Doubling cache size doubles the number of sets, but \(\text{src}[i]\) and \(\text{dst}[i]\) still map to the same set. Direct-mapped would cause \(\text{src}[i]\) and \(\text{dst}[i]\) to generate conflict misses. No-write allocate means we don’t bring in the block for \(\text{dst}\) into the cache on access 2, so future access 2s continue to be Misses.

(D) Assume it takes 160 ns to get a block of data from main memory. If our L1 data cache has a hit time of 5 ns and a miss rate of 5%, what is our average memory access time (AMAT)? [2 pt]

\[
\text{AMAT} = \text{HT} + \text{MR} \times \text{MP} = 5 \text{ ns} + 0.05 \times 160 \text{ ns} = 5 + 8 \text{ ns}
\]

\[\boxed{13 \text{ ns}}\]
Question F8: Processes [18 pts]

(A) The following function prints out four numbers. In the following blanks, list three possible outcomes: [6 pt]

```c
void concurrent(void) {
    int n = 5;
    if (fork()) {
        n++;
        if (fork()) {
            n++;
            wait();
        }
        printf("%d, ", n);
        exit(0);
    } else {
        printf("%d, ", n);
    }
    printf("%d, ", n);
    exit(0);
}
```

The 7 possible outcomes:
1) 5, 5, 6, 7,
2) 5, 5, 7, 6,
3) 5, 6, 5, 7,
4) 5, 6, 7, 5,
5) 6, 5, 5, 7,
6) 6, 5, 7, 5,
7) 6, 7, 5, 5,

(B) For the following examples of exception causes, write “S” for synchronous or “A” for asynchronous from the perspective of the user process. [4 pt]

System call  __S__  Divide by zero  __S__
Segmentation fault  __S__  Key pressed  __A__

Everything but a key press is caused by an assembly instruction within your program.

(C) Fill in the following blanks with “A” for always, “S” for sometimes, and “N” for never if the following would be different when context switching to a different process? [4 pt]

Process ID  __A__  Program  __S__  PTBR  __A__  Condition Codes  __S__

Every process has a unique ID and its own page table, but could be running different instances of the same program. Each process has its own execution state (including the condition codes), but it is possible that the condition codes have the same values at the instance we switch.

(D) Is the following statement True or False? Provide a brief justification: a single process can execute multiple programs simultaneously. [4 pt]

Circle one: True / False

Justification: One process is dedicated to running one program at a time. The program defines the instructions, initial memory state, etc. of the process, so two programs can’t exist within the same process at once.
Question F9: Virtual Memory  [14 pts]

Our system has the following setup:

- 15-bit virtual addresses and 2 KiB of RAM with 256-byte pages
- A 4-entry fully-associative TLB with LRU replacement
- A PTE contains bits for valid (V), dirty (D), read (R), write (W), and execute (X)

(A) Compute the following values:  [8 pt]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>page offset width</td>
<td>8 bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of TLB sets</td>
<td>1 set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of virtual pages</td>
<td>2^7 pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum width of PTBR</td>
<td>11 bits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page offset is \( \log_2 256 = 8 \) bits wide. # of virtual pages is \( 2^{n-p} = 2^7 \). The TLB is fully-associative, so only has 1 set. The page table lives in physical memory, so the PTBR must hold its physical address, which need to be at least 11 bits wide to address all 2 KiB of RAM.

(B) Assuming that the TLB is in the state shown (permission bits: 1 = allowed, 0 = disallowed), give example addresses that will fulfill the following scenarios:  [6 pt]

Find the desired entry in the TLB. Because the TLB is fully-associative, the TLB tag is exactly the virtual page number (VPN). Any page offset within this page will access that TLB entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLBT</th>
<th>PPN</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x20</td>
<td>0xc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x7f</td>
<td>0xa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x7e</td>
<td>0xf</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x04</td>
<td>0xe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A value in %rip that causes a TLB Hit and no exception: Want TLB entry with \( V=1, X=1 \) → VPN 0x04.

A write address that causes a TLB Hit and segmentation fault: Want TLB entry with \( V=1, W=0 \) → VPN 0x20.

Grading notes:

- Answers without leading zeros accepted.
4. Memory Allocation (11 points total)

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
float pi = 3.14;

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int year = 2019;
    int* happy = malloc(sizeof(int*));
    happy++;
    free(happy);
    return 0;
}
```

a) [3 pts] Consider the C code shown above. Assume that the `malloc` call succeeds and `happy` and `year` are stored in memory (not in a register). Fill in the following blanks with “<” or “>” or “UNKNOWN” to compare the values returned by the following expressions just before `return 0`.

- `&year___>___&main`
- `happy___<____&happy`
- `&pi ___<____ happy`

b) [4 pts] The code above has two memory-related errors. Use the line numbers in the code to describe what the errors are and where they occur.

Error #1: On line 6 we are requesting more memory than we need. We should be requesting size of int (4 bytes), not size of int* (8 bytes). Alternatively we could have meant to declare happy to be of type int** (a pointer to a pointer to an int) so that we would have needed 8 bytes to hold a pointer to an int.

Error #2: On line 8 we are calling free on a pointer that was not the one returned to us by malloc. In line 7 we are incrementing happy (a pointer to an int that was returned to us by malloc).

c) [2 pts] (Not related to code at top of page) Give one advantage that next fit placement policy has over a first fit placement policy in an implicit free list implementation.

Next fit searches the list starting where the previous search finished. This should often be faster than first fit because it avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks. First fit always starts searching at the beginning of the list. In an implicit free list this is particularly bad because the “free” list actually contains all allocated blocks as well as free blocks. So starting from the beginning of the list is likely to traverse many allocated blocks each time.

d) [2 pts] List two reasons why it would be hard to write a garbage collector for the C programming language.

Reason #1: Pointers in C can point to a location other than the beginning of a block of memory on the heap.

Reason #2: In C you can “hide” pointers e.g. by casting them to longs.
5. (11 points) A Nice Hot Cup of Java

WolfBytes has gotten wind of this fancy new language called “Java” and has decide to re-write their website using it. They’ve written two classes to store information about their CPUs:

```java
class CPU {
    float clockSpeed;
    int cacheSize;
    int cacheAssoc;

    int getCores() {
        return 1;
    }
}

class MultiCoreCPU extends CPU {
    int numberOfCores;
    float[] coreSpeeds = new float[16];

    int getCores() {
        return numberOfCores;
    }

    float[] getCoreSpeeds() {
        return coreSpeeds;
    }
}
```

(a) (4 points) The vtable for CPU is shown below. Annotate the diagram with the changes that we would need to make for the vtable of MultiCoreCPU.

![Diagram](image)

Solution: getCores should point to code for MultiCoreCPU.getCores and there should be a new entry at the end of the table for MultiCoreCPU.getCoreSpeeds

You may assume that the alignment for this JVM implementation is the same as C on x86-64, and that fields are stored in memory in the order that they are declared.

(b) (2 points) How much space does an instance of CPU take up?

(b) 32B

(c) (3 points) How much space does an instance of MultiCoreCPU take up?

(c) 40B

(d) (2 points) Give an example of something that is allowed in C, but not in Java, because it would prevent the garbage collector from working properly.

Solution: pointers to middle of structs/objects, casting pointers to other types, etc.