# CSE 351 AA/BA Section 7 

## Caches and Processes

## Administrivia

- Office Hours
- Office hours starting at 1,3, and 5pm (Colton/Tim/Kashish)
- Homework 17
- Due Friday, August 6
- Lab 4
- Due Monday, August 9


## Download the Handout!

## https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse351/21su/sec tions/07/cse351 sec7.pdf

Solutions will be posted this evening.

## Code Amalysis

## Cache Review

- Capacity $(\mathrm{C})=$ total size of the cache in bytes
- Block Size $(\mathrm{K})=$ \# of bytes in a cache line
- Associativity (E) = \# of blocks in a set
- $\mathrm{m}=$ address width in bits
- \# sets $=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{E}$
- $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{k}$
- Replacement policy:
- Generally LRU or not most recently used


## Write Time

We've seen a lot of cache reads, but what about writes?
The cache typically stores a copy of the contents of memory (think about the memory hierarchy).

How do we know if and when we copy from the cache back to memory?

## Write Review: Hit!

- Write through
- Write to "next level" directly
- Write back
- Defer writing until cache line we wrote to is evicted
- We need to keep track of whether line has been modified
- This requires we store additional information: the dirty bit
- We only write to memory if our block is replaced and the dirty bit was set


## Write Review: Miss!

- Write allocate (fetch on write)
- Load data into cache first (akin to a read)
- Then write to cache
- Good for locality if adjacent writes or reads follow
- No-write allocate (write around)
- Write to "next level" directly


## We will usually see write back, write allocate

## Code Analysis (a)

- $C=1 \mathrm{KiB}, \mathrm{K}=16 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}=1$ (direct mapped)
- array is a $64 \times 642 \mathrm{D}$ int array

```
for(int i= 0; i < 64; i++)
for (int j = 0; j < 64; j++)
    array[i][j] = 0; // assume &array = 0x600000
```

- Miss Rate:
$\frac{4 \text { bytes per int }}{16 \text { bytes per block }}=\frac{1 \text { block }}{4 \text { ints }}=0.25=25 \%$


## Code Analysis (b) and (c)

- What CODE changes could affect the miss rate?
- Discussion:
- change the access pattern
- change the array type or structure
- What CACHE changes could affect the miss rate?
- Discussion:
- only changing the block size (K)


## Cache Practice Problem

## Practice Exam Problem (a)

We have a 64 KiB address space. The cache is a 1 KiB , direct-mapped cache using 256-byte blocks and write-back and write-allocate policies.

What is the TIO address breakdown?

$$
64 \mathrm{KiB}=\mathbf{2}^{16} \mathrm{~B} ; 1 \mathrm{KiB}=\mathbf{2}^{10} \mathrm{~B} ; 256 \mathrm{~B}=\mathbf{2}^{8} \mathrm{~B}
$$



## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
R 0x4C00, W 0x5C00


READ 0x4C00
Did we hit?
Is set 00 dirty?

| Tag | Index | Offset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 8 |


| Set | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 100001 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
R 0x4C00, W 0x5C00

| $0 \times 4 \mathrm{C} 00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\rightarrow 0100110000000000$

WRITE 0x5C00
Did we hit?
Is set 00 dirty?

| Tag | Index | Offset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 8 |


| Set | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1 | 0 | 010011 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
R 0x4C00, W 0x5C00


WRITE 0x5C00
Read 0x5C00 first

| Tag | Index | Offset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 8 |


| Set | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1 | 0 | 010111 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
R 0x4C00, W 0x5C00

| 0x4C00 | $\rightarrow 01001100$ | 00000000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x5C00 | $\rightarrow 01011100$ | 00000000 |
|  | Tag Ix | Offset |

Dirty bit set, but no memory write has occurred

| Tag | Index | Offset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 8 |


| Set | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1 | 1 | 010111 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

## You try!

Work on the rest of (b) and (c).
Also try (d) and (e) if you have time!
We will reconvene in about 7 minutes and discuss the answers.

## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
W 0x5500, W 0x7A00


| Line | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 100001 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

- First write is a hit; nothing is evicted.
- Second write evicts old data in set 10 , but nothing is written to memory as the dirty bit was not set.


## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
W 0x2300, R 0x0F00


| Line | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 100001 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

- The write evicts line 3 , loads it in, and sets the dirty bit.
- The read evicts line 3, but the dirty bit was set, so we must write the changed value back to memory before we perform the read!


## Practice Exam Problem (b)

Will we write to memory?
R 0x3000, R 0x3000


| Line | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 100001 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 010101 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 111000 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 000011 |

- The first read evicts line 0 , but it wasn't dirty so we don't write back to memory.
- The second read is a read hit. No writing occurs.


## Practice Exam Problem (c)

Choose LEAP to produce a hit rate of 15/16.

Hint: |= is two accesses

```
#define ARRAY_SIZE 8192
char string[ARRAY_SIZE]; // &string = 0x8000
for (i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE; i += LEAP) {
    string[i] |= 0x20; // to lower
}
```

- Block size is 256; per block, want 16 accesses total with one miss
- |= is two accesses, so we want (256 / 16) / 2 = 8 loop iterations per block (note the access pattern)
- To get 8 iterations per block, LEAP must be 256 / $8=32$


## Practice Exam Problem (d)

If LEAP is 64 , how could we increase the hit rate?

```
#define ARRAY_SIZE 8192
char string[ARRAY_SIZE]; // &string = 0x8000
for (i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE; i += LEAP) {
    string[i] |= 0x20; // to lower
}
```



This is the only option which reduces the miss rate, as it causes more to be loaded on each miss.

## Practice Exam Problem (e)

What are the three kinds of cache misses, and which one is occurring here?

```
#define ARRAY_SIZE }819
char string[ARRAY_SIZE]; // &string = 0x8000
for (i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE; i += LEAP) {
    string[i] |= 0x20; // to lower
}
```


## Conflict

## Capacity

We miss because we are loading something new, not because of the size of our working set or conflicts.

## Benedict Cumbercache

Given the following sequence of access results (addresses are given in decimal) on a cold/empty cache of size 16 bytes, what can we deduce about its properties? Assume an LRU replacement policy.
(0, Miss), (8, Miss), (0, Hit), (16, Miss), (8, Miss)

## Benedict Cumbercache

$(0, M)(8, M)(0, H)(16, M)(8, M)$
What can we say about the block size?

The block size must be no more than 8, because the initial miss at 0 will load in the aligned block from addresses (0) to (size - 1), but we miss when accessing 8 afterwards.

## Benedict Cumbercache

$$
(0, M)(8, M)(0, H)(16, M)(8, M)
$$

If block size is 8 , what about associativity?

## DIRECT-MAPPED

1st access misses (loads in block 0 [0-7])
2nd access misses (loads in block 1 [8-15])
3rd access hits (0 is already loaded in)
4th access misses (evicts block 0, loads in [16-23])
5th access HITS (8 is still loaded in)
So we can't have direct mapped!

## Benedict Cumbercache

$$
(0, M)(8, M)(0, H)(16, M)(8, M)
$$

If block size is 8 , what about associativity?

## 2-WAY ASSOCIATIVE

1st access misses (loads in block 0 [0-7])
2nd access misses (loads in block 1 [8-15])
3rd access hits ( 0 is already loaded in)
4th access misses (evicts LRU block 1, loads in [16-23])
5th access misses (4th access evicted 8)
The cache could be 2-way associative!

## Benedict Cumbercache

$(0, M)(8, M)(0, H)(16, M)(8, M)$
If block size is 8 , what about associativity?

## 4-WAY ASSOCIATIVE

The cache size is $16 B$ and the block size is $8 B$, so we can't have a 4-way associative cache as one set would be bigger than the entire capacity!

## Processes

## What is a Process?

Processes are an abstraction which represent an instance of a running program. They are distinct from a "program" or a "processor."

Exceptional control flow allows many processes to be run on a single processor at (perceptibly) the same time.

## It's Forlin' Time

We can create a clone of our currently running process with fork(). It's a little special because it has two return values: 0 to the child, and the child's PID (process ID) to the parent. This allows our code to distinguish the parent from the child.

We'll focus on fork today, but there are many system calls to manage processes:

- exec*() - family of operations to replace current proc.
- getpid()
- exit()
- wait(), waitpid()


## Multiple Processes

Can we predict the execution order of processes?
Not really!
The OS will switch between running processes. Each process runs sequentially, but users won't be able to predict execution order of different processes.

Most machines these days have multiple processors... but we'll stick with just one for now!

```
int x = 7;
if( fork() ) {
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
    fork();
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
} else {
    printf(" %od ", x);
}
```


## Process Graphs

We can trace this program's execution diagrammatically:

$$
x=7
$$

```
int x = 7;
if( fork() ) {
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    fork();
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}
```
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```
int x = 7;
if( fork() ) {
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
    fork();
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
} else {
    printf(" %d ", x);
}
```
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## Process Graphs

We can trace this program's execution diagrammatically:

What are the four possible outputs?


```
int x = 7;
if( fork() ) {
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
    fork();
    x++;
    printf(" %d ", x);
} else {
    printf(" %d ", x);
}
```


## That's AlB Folles!

Thanks for attending section! Feel free to stick around for a bit if you have quick questions (otherwise post on Ed or go to OH).

See you all next week and good luck on lab 4!

