Question 6: Cache in While You Can (17 points, 26 Minutes)

Consider a single 4KiB cache with 512B blocks and a write-back policy. Assume a 32-bit address space. a) If the cache were direct-mapped, sets # of rows? _____ # of offset bits? _____ b) If the cache were 4-way set associative, line # of tag bits? _____ # of index bits? _____ # of bits per cache slot? _____ Consider an array of the following location structs: typedef struct { ... // some undefined number of other struct members int visited; int danger; } location; location locs[NUM_LOCS]; Here's a piece of code that counts the number of places we've visited. Assume this gets executed somewhere in the middle of our program, that count is held in a register, and the size of the array is greater than 4 KiB. for(int i = 0; i < NUM LOCS; i++) if(locs[i].visited) count++; c) What's the fewest possible number of bytes written to main memory? d) What's the greatest possible number of bytes written to main memory? Now consider if we store the visited and danger information in individual arrays instead: int visited[NUM LOCS]; int danger[NUM_LOCS]; e) This way, the cache can exploit better ______ for the above task. We can expect a _____ (higher or lower) miss rate

because of the change in the number of (type of cache miss) misses.

```
Consider the following code with NUM_LOCS > 2^10.

for(int i = 0; i < NUM LOCS; i++)
```

if(visited[i] && danger[i] > 5) count++;

Two memory accesses are made per iteration: one into visited, the other into danger. Assume that the cache has no valid blocks initially. You are told that in the worst case, the cache has a miss rate of 100%. Consider each of the following possible changes to the cache individually.

- f) Mark each as **E**, if it eliminates the chances of this worst-case scenario miss rate, **R** if it reduces the chances, or **N** if it's not helpful.
 - More sets, same block size, same associativity
 - Double associativity, half block size, same total cache size
 - Everything stays the same but use a write-through policy instead

3) "Cache, money. Dollar bills, y'all." (24 min, 15 pts)

Suppose we have a standard 32-bit byte-addressed MIPS machine, a single direct-mapped 32KiB cache, a write-through policy, and a 16B block size.

| a) | Give the T:I:O breakup. | |
|----|---|--|
| b) | How many bits are there per row on the cache? | |
| , | · line | |

Use the C code below and the description of the cache above to answer the questions that follow it. Suppose that the only memory accesses are accesses and stores to arrays and that all memory accesses in the code are valid. Assume A starts on a block boundary (byte 0 of A in byte 0 of block).

```
#define NUM INTS 32
#define OFFSET 8192 // 8192 = 2^13
int rand(int x, int y); // returns a random integer in the range [x, y)
int main(){
     int A[NUM INTS + OFFSET];
                                          // Assume A starts on a block boundary
     // START LOOP 1
     for ( int count = 0 ; count < NUM_INTS ; count += 1 ) { // count by 1s
           A[count] = count; // ACCESS #1
           A[count + OFFSET] = count+count; // ACCESS #2
     // END LOOP 1
     // START LOOP 2
     for ( int count = 0 ; count < NUM_INTS ; count += 4 ) { // count by 4s now
           for ( int r = 0 ; r < 4 ; r++ ) {
                                                           // ...but do it 4 times
                 printf("%d", A[rand(count, count+4)]);
           }
      // END LOOP 2
}
```

| c) | Hit rate for Loop 1? What types of misses are there? |
|----|---|
| d) | Hit rate for Loop 2? What types of misses are there? |
| | Questions (e), (f), and (g) below are three <u>independent</u> variations on the original code & settings. |
| e) | If the cache were 2-way set associative, what would be the hit rate for Loop 2?(assume the standard LRU replacement policy) |
| f) | If instead we removed the line labeled ACCESS #2, what would be the hit rate for Loop 2? |

M2) Cache Money, y'all (10 pts, 20 min)

This C code runs on a 32-bit MIPS machine with 4 GiB of memory and a single L1 cache. Vectors **A,B** live in different places of memory, are of equal size (**n** is a power of 2 and a [natural number] multiple of the cache size), block aligned. The size of the cache is C, a power of 2 (and always bigger than the block size, obviously).

| <pre>// sizeof(uint8_t) = 1 SwapLeft(uint8_t *A, uint8_t *B, int n) { uint8_t tmp; for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {</pre> | <pre>// sizeof(uint8_t) = 1 SwapRight(uint8_t *A, uint8_t *B, int n) { uint8_t tmpA, tmpB; for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {</pre> |
|---|---|
| <pre>tmp = A[i]; A[i] = B[i]; B[i] = tmp; } </pre> | |
| | } |

Let's first just consider the **swapLeft** code for parts (a) and (b).

- a) If the cache is **direct mapped** and the *best* hit:miss ratio is "H:1", what is the block size in bytes? _____
- b) What is the worst hit:miss ratio? ____:___
- c) Fill in the code for **swapRight** so that it does the same thing as **swapLeft** but improves the (b) hit:miss ratio. You may not need all the blanks.
- d) If the block size (in bytes) is a, what is the worst hit:miss ratio for swapRight? _____:____
- e) We next change the cache to be **2-way set-associative**, and let's go back to just considering **swapleft**. What is the **worst** hit:miss ratio for **swapleft** with the following replacement policies? The cache size is *C* (bytes), the block size is *a* (bytes), LRU = Least Recently Used, MRU = Most Recently Used.

| LRU and an empty cache | MRU and a full cache |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| | |
| | |
| : | <u> </u> |

| d) Ash Ketchum has six slots in his party, each of which can hold a single Pokémon. Additionally, A has access to a PC (personal computer) which holds the rest of the Pokémon he owns. Essentia his party acts as a "cache" for accesses to the PC (the "memory"). | | | | | • | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| | i. Each slot in Ash's (Circle one) | | oarty can hold ar | ny Pokémon. What kin | d of cache is this a | nalogous to? | |
| | | Set-associative | Write-back | Fully Associative | Direct Mapped | Write-through | |

| | pa. 1, acto ac a cac | | | <i>/</i> - | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|
| i | . Each slot in Ash's (Circle one) | s party can hold a | iny Pokémon. What k | ind of cache is this a | analogous to? | |
| | Set-associative | Write-hack | Fully Associative | Direct Manned | Write-through | |

locality but not _

ii.

Ash's party exploits _____

Explain in one sentence:

locality.

| SID: | | |
|------|--|--|
|------|--|--|

Question 4: Caches (11 pts)

We have a 64 KiB address space and two different caches. Both are 1 KiB, direct-mapped caches with random replacement and write-back policies. **Cache X** uses 64 B blocks and **Cache Y** uses 256 B blocks.

a) Calculate the TIO address breakdown for Cache X:

| Tag | Index | Offset |
|-----|-------|--------|
| | | |

b) During some part of a running program, **Cache Y**'s management bits are as shown below. Four options for the next two memory accesses are given (R = read, W = write). Circle the option that results in data from the cache being *written to memory*.

| Line | | | |
|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Slot | Valid | Dirty | Tag |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 1000 01 |
| 01 | 1 | 1 | 0101 01 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1110 00 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0000 11 |

(1) R 0x4C00, W 0x5C00

(2) W 0x5500, W 0x7A00

(3) W 0x2300, R 0x0F00

(4) R 0x3000, R 0x3000

c) The code snippet below loops through a character array. Give the value of LEAP that results in a Hit Rate of 15/16 for **Cache Y**.

d) For the loop shown in part (c), let LEAP = 64. Circle ONE of the following changes that increases the hit rate of **Cache X**:

Increase Block Size

Increase Cache Size

Add a L2\$

Increase LEAP

e) For the following cache access parameters, calculate the AMAT. All miss and hit rates are local to that cache level. Please simplify and include units.

| L1\$ Hit Time | L1\$ Miss Rate | L2\$ Hit Time | L2\$ Hit Rate | MEM Hit Time |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 2 ns | 40% | 20 ns | 95% | 400 ns |

Question 8: Caches (10 pts)

We are using a 20-bit byte addressed machine. We have two options for caches: **Cache A** is fully associative and **Cache B** is 4-way set associative. Both caches have a capacity of 16 KiB and 16 B blocks.

a) Calculate the TIO address breakdown for Cache A:

| Tag | Index | Offset | |
|-----|-------|--------|--|
| | | 4 | |

b) Below is the initial state of **one set** (four slots) in **Cache B**. Each slot holds 2 LRU bits, with 0b00 being the most recently used and 0b11 being the least recently used. Circle ONE option below for two memory accesses that result in the final LRU bits shown and **only one block replacement/eviction**.

Index 1001 1110

| Line | Initial | |
|------|-----------|----------|
| Slot | Tag | LRU bits |
| 0 | 0110 1010 | 00 |
| 1 | 0000 0001 | 10 |
| 2 | 0101 0101 | 01 |
| 3 | 1010 1100 | 11 |

Final

LRU bits

10

00

11

01

- (1) 0x019D0, 0xAD9D0
- (2) 0xAC9E0, 0x129E0
- (3) 0xAD9D0, 0x019D0
- (4) 0x129E0, 0xAC9E0
- c) For the code given below, calculate the hit rate for **Cache B** assuming that it starts cold.

d) For each of the proposed changes below, write **U** for "increase", **N** for "no change", or **D** for "decrease" to indicate the effect on the hit rate of **Cache B** for the loop shown in part (c):

Direct-mapped _____ Increase cache size _____

Double ARRAY SIZE Random block replacement

e) Calculate the AMAT for a multi-level cache given the following values. Don't forget units!

HT = Hit Time, MR = Miss Rate, GMR = Global Miss Rate

| L1\$ HT | L1\$ MR | L2\$ HT | GMR | MEM HT |
|---------|---------|------------------|---------------|--------|
| 4 ns | 20% | 25 ns | 5% | 500 ns |

