

x86-64 Programming III & The Stack

CSE 351 Winter 2018

Instructor:

Mark Wyse

Teaching Assistants:

Kevin Bi


Parker DeWilde

Emily Furst

Sarah House

Waylon Huang

Vinny Palaniappan



WHY I TRY NOT TO BE
PEDANTIC ABOUT CONDITIONALS.

<http://xkcd.com/1652/>

Administrative

- ❖ Homework 2 (x86) due Monday (1/29)
- ❖ Lab 2 due next Friday (2/2)

- ❖ Midterm: 2/5
 - You will be provided a fresh reference sheet
 - **Must bring your UW Student ID to the exam!**
 - Topics are Lectures 1 – 12, Ch 1.0 – 3.7
 - Review packet / suggested practice problems to be posted soon

x86 Control Flow

- ❖ Condition codes
- ❖ Conditional and unconditional branches
- ❖ **Loops**
- ❖ Switches

Expressing with Goto Code

```
long absdiff(long x, long y)
{
    long result;
    if (x > y)
        result = x-y;
    else
        result = y-x;
    return result;
}
```

```
long absdiff_j(long x, long y)
{
    long result;
    int ntest = (x <= y);    cmp
    if (ntest) goto Else;   jle
    result = x-y;
    goto Done;              jmp
Else:
    result = y-x;
Done:
    return result;
}
```

labels



- ❖ C allows `goto` as means of transferring control (`jump`)
 - Closer to assembly programming style
 - Generally considered bad coding style

Compiling Loops

C/Java code:

Test

```
while ( sum != 0 ) {  
    <loop body>  
}
```

Assembly code:

```
loopTop:  testq  %rax, %rax  
          je      loopDone  
          <loop body code>  
          jmp     loopTop  
loopDone:
```



❖ Other loops compiled similarly

- Will show variations and complications in coming slides, but may skip a few examples in the interest of time

❖ Most important to consider:

- When should conditionals be evaluated? (*while* vs. *do-while*)
- How much jumping is involved?

Compiling Loops

C/Java code:  Goto version

```
while ( Test ) {
    Body
}
```

```
Loop: if ( !Test ) goto Exit;
      Body
      goto Loop;
Exit:
```

❖ What are the Goto versions of the following?

- Do...while: Test and Body
- For loop: Init, Test, Update, and Body

Do...while

```
Loop: Body
      if (Test) goto Loop;
```

For loop

```
Init
Loop: if (!Test) goto Exit;
      Body
      Update
      goto Loop;
Exit:
```

Compiling Loops

While Loop:

Test

```
C: while ( sum != 0 ) {
    <loop body>
}
```

x86-64:

```
loopTop:    testq %rax, %rax } !Test
            je      loopDone
            <loop body code>
            jmp    loopTop

loopDone:
```

Do-while Loop:

```
C: do {
    <loop body>
} while ( sum != 0 )
```

x86-64:

```
loopTop:
    <loop body code>
    testq %rax, %rax } Test
    jne   loopTop

loopDone:
```

While Loop (ver. 2):

```
C: while ( sum != 0 ) {
    <loop body>
}
```

x86-64:

```

            testq %rax, %rax } !Test
            je      loopDone
loopTop:
    <loop body code>
    Do-while loop { testq %rax, %rax } Test
                   jne   loopTop
loopDone:
```

For Loop → While Loop

For Version

```
for (Init; Test; Update)  
    Body
```



While Version

```
Init;  
while (Test) {  
    Body  
    Update;  
}
```

Caveat: C and Java have break and continue

- *Conversion works fine for break*
 - *Jump to same label as loop exit condition*
- *But not continue: would skip doing Update, which it should do with for-loops*
 - *Introduce new label at Update*

x86 Control Flow

- ❖ Condition codes
- ❖ Conditional and unconditional branches
- ❖ Loops
- ❖ **Switches**

```
long switch_ex
(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long w = 1;
    switch (x) {
        case 1:
            w = y*z;
            break;
        case 2:
            w = y/z;
            /* Fall Through */
        case 3:
            w += z;
            break;
        case 5:
        case 6:
            w -= z;
            break;
        default:
            w = 2;
    }
    return w;
}
```

Switch Statement Example

- ❖ Multiple case labels
 - Here: 5 & 6
- ❖ Fall through cases
 - Here: 2
- ❖ Missing cases
 - Here: 4
- ❖ Implemented with:
 - *Jump table*
 - *Indirect jump instruction*


Jump Table Structure

Switch Form

```
switch (x) {  
  case val_0:  
    Block 0  
  case val_1:  
    Block 1  
    . . .  
  case val_n-1:  
    Block n-1  
}
```

Approximate Translation

```
target = JTab[x];  
goto target;
```




Jump Table Structure

C code:

```
switch (x) {  
  case 1: <some code>  
    break;  
  case 2: <some code>  
  case 3: <some code>  
    break;  
  case 5:  
  case 6: <some code>  
    break;  
  default: <some code>  
}
```

Use the jump table when $x \leq 6$:

```
if (x <= 6)  
  target = JTab[x];  
  goto target;  
else  
  goto default;
```



Switch Statement Example

```

long switch_ex(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long w = 1;
    switch (x) {
        . . .
    }
    return w;
}

```

| Register | Use(s) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| %rdi | 1 st argument (x) |
| %rsi | 2 nd argument (y) |
| %rdx | 3 rd argument (z) |
| %rax | Return value |

Note compiler chose to not initialize w

```

switch_eg:
    movq    %rdx, %rcx
    cmpq    $6, %rdi        # x:6
    ja     .L8              # default
    jmp     *.L4(, %rdi, 8)  # jump table

```

Take a look!

<https://godbolt.org/g/DnOmXb>

jump above – unsigned > catches negative default cases

Switch Statement Example

```

long switch_ex(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long w = 1;
    switch (x) {
        . . .
    }
    return w;
}

```

```

switch_eg:
    movq    %rdx, %rcx
    cmpq    $6, %rdi        # x:6
    ja     .L8              # default
    jmp     *.L4(, %rdi, 8)  # jump table

```

**Indirect
jump**



Jump table

```

.section    .rodata
    .align 8
.L4:
    .quad   .L8    # x = 0
    .quad   .L3    # x = 1
    .quad   .L5    # x = 2
    .quad   .L9    # x = 3
    .quad   .L8    # x = 4
    .quad   .L7    # x = 5
    .quad   .L7    # x = 6

```

Assembly Setup Explanation

❖ Table Structure

- Each target requires 8 bytes (address)
- Base address at `.L4`

❖ **Direct jump:** `jmp .L8`

- Jump target is denoted by label `.L8`

❖ **Indirect jump:** `jmp *.L4(, %rdi, 8)`

- Start of jump table: `.L4`
- Must scale by factor of 8 (addresses are 8 bytes)
- Fetch target from effective address `.L4 + x*8`
 - Only for $0 \leq x \leq 6$

Jump table

```
.section      .rodata
    .align 8
.L4:
    .quad     .L8    # x = 0
    .quad     .L3    # x = 1
    .quad     .L5    # x = 2
    .quad     .L9    # x = 3
    .quad     .L8    # x = 4
    .quad     .L7    # x = 5
    .quad     .L7    # x = 6
```

Jump Table

declaring data, not instructions

8-byte memory alignment

```

Jump table
.section .rodata
.align 8
.L4:
.quad .L8 # x = 0
.quad .L3 # x = 1
.quad .L5 # x = 2
.quad .L9 # x = 3
.quad .L8 # x = 4
.quad .L7 # x = 5
.quad .L7 # x = 6
    
```

this data is 64-bits wide

```

switch(x) {
case 1: // .L3
    w = y*z;
    break;
case 2: // .L5
    w = y/z;
    /* Fall Through */
case 3: // .L9
    w += z;
    break;
case 5:
case 6: // .L7
    w -= z;
    break;
default: // .L8
    w = 2;
}
    
```


Code Blocks (x == 1)

```
switch(x) {  
  case 1:    // .L3  
    w = y*z;  
    break;  
  . . .  
}
```

| Register | Use(s) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| %rdi | 1 st argument (x) |
| %rsi | 2 nd argument (y) |
| %rdx | 3 rd argument (z) |
| %rax | Return value |

```
.L3:  
  movq    %rsi, %rax    # y  
  imulq   %rdx, %rax    # y*z  
  ret
```

Handling Fall-Through

```
long w = 1;
. . .
switch (x) {
. . .
case 2: // .L5
    w = y/z;
    /* Fall Through */
case 3: // .L9
    w += z;
    break;
. . .
}
```

```
case 2:
    w = y/z;
    goto merge;
```

```
case 3:
    w = 1;
merge:
    w += z;
```

*More complicated choice than
“just fall-through” forced by
“migration” of `w = 1;`*

- Example compilation trade-off*

Code Blocks (x == 2, x == 3)

```

long w = 1;
. . .
switch (x) {
. . .
  case 2: // .L5
    w = y/z;
  /* Fall Through */
  case 3: // .L9
    w += z;
    break;
. . .
}

```

```

.L5:                                     # Case 2:
  movq   %rsi, %rax                       # y in rax
  cqto                                       # Div prep
  idivq  %rcx                              # y/z
  jmp    .L6                               # goto merge
.L9:                                     # Case 3:
  movl   $1, %eax                          # w = 1
.L6:                                     # merge:
  addq   %rcx, %rax                         # w += z
  ret

```

| Register | Use(s) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| %rdi | 1 st argument (x) |
| %rsi | 2 nd argument (y) |
| %rdx | 3 rd argument (z) |
| %rax | Return value |

Code Blocks (rest)

```
switch (x) {  
    . . .  
    case 5: // .L7  
    case 6: // .L7  
        w -= z;  
        break;  
    default: // .L8  
        w = 2;  
}
```

| Register | Use(s) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| %rdi | 1 st argument (x) |
| %rsi | 2 nd argument (y) |
| %rdx | 3 rd argument (z) |
| %rax | Return value |

```
.L7: # Case 5,6:  
    movl    $1, %eax # w = 1  
    subq   %rdx, %rax # w -= z  
    ret  
.L8: # Default:  
    movl    $2, %eax # 2  
    ret
```

Roadmap

C:

```
car *c = malloc(sizeof(car));
c->miles = 100;
c->gals = 17;
float mpg = get_mpg(c);
free(c);
```

Java:

```
Car c = new Car();
c.setMiles(100);
c.setGals(17);
float mpg =
    c.getMPG();
```

- Memory & data
- Integers & floats
- x86 assembly
- Procedures & stacks**
- Executables
- Arrays & structs
- Memory & caches
- Processes
- Virtual memory
- Memory allocation
- Java vs. C


Assembly language:

```
get_mpg:
    pushq    %rbp
    movq    %rsp, %rbp
    ...
    popq    %rbp
    ret
```


Machine code:

```
0111010000011000
100011010000010000000010
1000100111000010
110000011111101000011111
```

OS:




Computer system:



Mechanisms required for *procedures*


- 1) Passing control
 - To beginning of procedure code
 - Back to return point
 - 2) Passing data
 - Procedure arguments
 - Return value
 - 3) Memory management
 - Allocate during procedure execution
 - Deallocate upon return
- ❖ All implemented with machine instructions!
- An x86-64 procedure uses only those mechanisms required for that procedure



Procedures


- ❖ **Stack Structure**
- ❖ Calling Conventions
 - Passing control
 - Passing data
 - Managing local data
- ❖ Register Saving Conventions
- ❖ Illustration of Recursion

Simplified Memory Layout



segmentation faults?

Memory Permissions



x86-64 Stack

- ❖ Region of memory managed with stack “discipline”
 - Grows toward lower addresses
 - Customarily shown “upside-down”
- ❖ Register $\%rsp$ contains *lowest* stack address
 - $\%rsp$ = address of *top* element, the most-recently-pushed item that is not-yet-popped

Stack Pointer: $\%rsp$ →

Stack “Bottom”



Stack “Top”

High
Addresses

↑
Increasing
Addresses


↓
Stack Grows
Down

Low
Addresses
 $0 \times 00 \dots 00$

x86-64 Stack: Push

- ❖ `pushq src`
 - Fetch operand at `src`
 - `Src` can be reg, memory, immediate
 - **Decrement** `%rsp` by 8
 - Store value at address given by `%rsp`
- ❖ Example:
 - `pushq %rcx`
 - Adjust `%rsp` and store contents of `%rcx` on the stack

Stack Pointer: `%rsp`



Stack "Bottom"



Stack "Top"

High
Addresses



Increasing
Addresses



Stack Grows
Down



Low
Addresses
`0x00...00`

x86-64 Stack: Pop

- ❖ `popq dst`
 - Load value at address given by `%rsp`
 - Store value at `dst` (must be register)
 - **Increment** `%rsp` by 8
- ❖ Example:
 - `popq %rcx`
 - Stores contents of top of stack into `%rcx` and adjust `%rsp`

Stack Pointer: `%rsp`





Stack "Bottom"



Stack "Top"

Those bits are still there; we're just not using them.

High Addresses



Low Addresses
0x00...00