1

Fractional binary numbers

• What is 1011.101?

Fractional Binary Numbers



Representation

- Bits to right of "binary point" represent fractional powers of 2
- Represents rational number $\sum_{k=1}^{k} b_k x^k$

Fractional Binary Numbers: Examples Value

Representation

5 and 3/4	$\bot \cup \bot \bullet \bot \bot_2$
2 and 7/8	10.1112
63/64	0.1111112

Observations

- Divide by 2 by shifting right
- Multiply by 2 by shifting left
- Numbers of form 0.111111..., are just below 1.0
 - $1/2 + 1/4 + 1/8 + ... + 1/2^{i} + ... \rightarrow 1.0$
 - Use notation 1.0 ε

Representable Numbers

Limitation

- Can only exactly represent numbers of the form x/2^k
- Other rational numbers have repeating bit representations

Value	Representation
1/3	$0.0101010101[01]{2}$
1/5	$0.001100110011[0011]{2}$
1/10	$0.0001100110011[0011]{2}$

Fixed Point Representation

- float \rightarrow 32 bits; double \rightarrow 64 bits
- We might try representing fractional binary numbers by picking a fixed place for an implied binary point
 - "fixed point binary numbers"
- Let's do that, using 8 bit floating point numbers as an example
 - #1: the binary point is between bits 2 and 3
 b₇ b₆ b₅b₄ b₃ [.] b₂ b₁ b₀
 - #2: the binary point is between bits 4 and 5
 b₇ b₆ b₅ [.] b₄ b₃ b₂ b₁ b₀
 - The position of the binary point affects the <u>range</u> and <u>precision</u>

range: difference between the largest and smallest representable numbers

Fixed Point Pros and Cons

• Pros

 It's simple. The same hardware that does integer arithmetic can do fixed point arithmetic

In fact, the programmer can use ints with an implicit fixed point

E.g., int balance; // number of pennies in the account

ints are just fixed point numbers with the binary point to the right of \mathbf{b}_{o}

- Cons
 - There is no good way to pick where the fixed point should be

Sometimes you need range, sometimes you need precision. The more you have of one, the less of the other

IEEE Floating Point

- Fixing fixed point: analogous to scientific notation
 - Not 12000000 but 1.2 x 10^7; not 0.0000012 but 1.2 x 10^-6

IEEE Standard 754

- Established in 1985 as uniform standard for floating point arithmetic
 - Before that, many idiosyncratic formats
- Supported by all major CPUs
- Driven by numerical concerns
 - Nice standards for rounding, overflow, underflow
 - Hard to make fast in hardware
 - Numerical analysts predominated over hardware designers in defining standard

Floating Point Representation

- Numerical Form:
 - (−1)^s M 2^E
- Sign bit s determines whether number is negative or positive
- Significand (mantissa) M normally a fractional value in range [1.0,2.0).
- Exponent E weights value by power of two
- Encoding
- MSB s is sign bit s
- frac field <u>encodes</u> M (but is not equal to M)
- exp field <u>encodes</u> E (but is not equal to E)





Precisions

Single precision: 32 bits



Double precision: 64 bits

15



Extended precision: 80 bits (Intel only)



1



Normalization and Special Values

"Normalized" means mantissa has form 1.xxxxx

•0.011 x 2^5 and 1.1 x 2^3 represent the same number, but the latter makes better use of the available bits

•Since we know the mantissa starts with a 1, don't bother to store it

• How do we do 0? How about 1.0/0.0?

Normalization and Special Values

"Normalized" means mantissa has form 1.xxxxx

•0.011 x 2⁵ and 1.1 x 2³ represent the same number, but the latter makes better use of the available bits

•Since we know the mantissa starts with a 1, don't bother to store it

Special values:

•The float value 00...0 represents zero

•If the exp == 11...1 and the mantissa == 00...0, it represents ∞

•E.g., 10.0 / 0.0 → ∞

•If the exp == 11...1 and the mantissa != 00...0, it represents NaN

•"Not a Number"

•Results from operations with undefined result

E.g., 0 * ∞

How do we do operations?

- Is representation exact?
- How are the operations carried out?

Floating Point Operations: Basic Idea

• $x +_{f} y = Round(x + y)$

•
$$x *_{f} y = Round(x * y)$$

Basic idea

- First compute exact result
- Make it fit into desired precision
 - Possibly overflow if exponent too large
 - Possibly round to fit into frac

Floating Point Multiplication

- (-1)^{s1} M1 2^{E1} * (-1)^{s2} M2 2^{E2}
- Exact Result: (-1)^s M 2^E
 - Sign s: s1 ^ s2
 - Significand M: M1 * M2
 - Exponent E: E1 + E2

Fixing

- If M ≥ 2, shift M right, increment E
- If E out of range, overflow
- Round M to fit frac precision

Implementation

What is hardest?

Floating Point Addition

(-1)^{s1} M1 2^{E1} + (-1)^{s2} M2 2^{E2} Assume E1 > E2



Fixing

- If M ≥ 2, shift M right, increment E
- if M < 1, shift M left k positions, decrement E by k</p>
- Overflow if E out of range
- Round M to fit frac precision

Hmm... if we round at every operation...

Mathematical Properties of FP Operations

- Not really associative or distributive due to rounding
- Infinities and NaNs cause issues
- Overflow and infinity

Floating Point in C

C Guarantees Two Levels

float single precision

double double precision

Conversions/Casting

- Casting between int, float, and double changes bit representation
- Double/float \rightarrow int
 - Truncates fractional part
 - Like rounding toward zero
 - Not defined when out of range or NaN: Generally sets to TMin
- int \rightarrow double
 - Exact conversion, why?
- int \rightarrow float

Memory Referencing Bug

```
double fun(int i)
{
    volatile double d[1] = {3.14};
    volatile long int a[2];
    a[i] = 1073741824; /* Possibly out of bounds */
    return d[0];
}
```

fun(0)	->	3.14
fun(1)	->	3.14
fun(2)	->	3.1399998664856
fun(3)	->	2.0000061035156
fun(4)	->	3.14, then segmentation fault



Floating Point and the Programmer

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
  float f1 = 1.0;
  float f2 = 0.0;
 int i;
 for ( i=0; i<10; i++ ) {
   f2 += 1.0/10.0;
  }
 printf("0x%08x 0x%08x\n", *(int*)&f1, *(int*)&f2);
 printf("f1 = %10.8f\n", f1);
  printf("f2 = \$10.8f n n", f2);
 f1 = 1E30;
 f2 = 1E - 30;
  float f3 = f1 + f2;
 printf ("f1 == f3? %s\n", f1 == f3 ? "yes" : "no" );
  return 0;
}
```

Floating Point and the Programmer

}

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
  float f1 = 1.0;
  float f2 = 0.0;
  int i;
  for ( i=0; i<10; i++ ) {
   f2 += 1.0/10.0;
  }
                                                         $ ./a.out
 printf("0x%08x 0x%08x\n", *(int*)&f1, *(int*)&f2);
                                                          0x3f800000 0x3f800001
 printf("f1 = %10.8f\n", f1);
                                                         f1 = 1.00000000
  printf("f2 = \$10.8f n n", f2);
                                                          f2 = 1.00000119
 f1 = 1E30;
                                                          f1 == f3? yes
  f2 = 1E - 30;
  float f3 = f1 + f2;
  printf ("f1 == f3? %s\n", f1 == f3 ? "yes" : "no" );
  return 0;
```

Summary

As with integers, floats suffer from the fixed number of bits

available to represent them

•Can get overflow/underflow, just like ints

- •Some "simple fractions" have no exact representation
 - E.g., 0.1
- •Can also lose precision, unlike ints
 - "Every operation gets a slightly wrong result"
- Mathematically equivalent ways of writing an expression may compute differing results
- NEVER test floating point values for equality!