# **The Hardware/Software Interface**

CSE351 Winter 2011

Module 5: Instruction Set Architectures

# **Today Topics: Instruction Set Architectures**

- ISA Goals
- ISA Design Decisions
- x86 ISA overview

### Preliminaries 1

- We're going to talk very generally
  - What does an ISA look like?
  - What design decisions must be made?
  - What are the factors affecting those decisions?
- We'll talk about specifics of the Intel x86 architecture in more detail later



# General ISA Design Decisions

#### • Instructions

- What instructions are available? What do they do?
- How are then encoded?

#### • Registers

- How many registers are there?
- How wide are they?

#### Memory

• How do you specify a memory location?

# Preliminaries 2

- The goal of the CPU is to execute programs quickly
- The time required to execute a program depends on:
  - The program (as written in C, for instance)
  - The compiler: what set of assembler instructions it translates the C program into
  - The ISA: what set of instructions it made available to the compiler
  - The hardware implementation: how much time it takes to execute an instruction
- There is a complicated interaction among these

# CISC vs. RISC

• CISC: Complicated Instruction Set Processor RISC: Reduced Instruction Set Processor

#### • CISC's have complicated instructions

- Each one does a lot, so is slow to execute, but...
- A smart compiler can generate machine code that requires only a relatively small number of instruction executions
- **RISC's have small, regular instruction sets** 
  - Each instruction does only something very simple, so is fast, but...
  - A relatively large number of instruction executions are required to complete the program

# A trivial CISC vs. RISC example

- x = x + A[y+2]
- CISC
  - addl 8(r1, r2, 4), r3
  - r1: pointer to A
     r2: y
    - r3: x
  - meaning: add the 32-bits at address (r1) + 4\*(r2) + 8 to r3
- RISC
  - sll r2, 2, r4 # r4 = y\*4 addi r4, 8, r4 # r4 += 8 load 0(r4), r4 # r4 = A[y+2] add r4, r3, r3 # add A[y+2] to x
- Which is faster?

### CISC vs. RISC: Which is faster?

#### • The answer isn't obvious

- It depends on what C code programmers write, what machine code compilers can generate, and how fast hardware that implements the ISA can be
- Extensive analysis of these factors indicates that the RISC wins
- Why?
  - A side effect of being able to execute some complicated instructions is that simple instructions on the CISC execute more slowly than on the RISC
    - Simple instructions are common; complicated ones are rare
  - Complicated instructions interfere with parallelizing the execution of the instruction stream

# Preliminaries 3

- This tradeoff wasn't understood when the x86 architecture was designed
  - The prevailing wisdom was that the more that could be done in hardware, the faster the machine
- So, the x86 is a CISC
- Can't change the ISA because too many installed programs rely on it
  - If buying a new computer required that you re-purchase Microsoft Word, you might not buy a new computer
- (What Intel did: the hardware compiles the x86 CISC program into a RISC program "on the fly," and the hardware implements the (hidden) RISC ISA.)

## The Upshot

#### • The book talks a lot about the particulars of the x86 ISA

- The x86 is hugely important, of course
- But, the details aren't that important to the typical programmer

#### • The book then simplifies to the y86 architecture

• The y86 is basically a RISC subset of the x86



#### • Main abstractions

- Instructions
  - Executed by the CPU
- Data
  - Memory and registers

# x86 ISA Part 1 Instructions

#### Instructions

#### • There are three kinds of instructions

- Data transformation
  - Examples: and, or, shift, add, subtract, multiply, divide, ...
- Data copy
  - Example: move
- <u>Conditionals</u>
  - Example: most jumps, some moves

Aside: An unconditional jump is simply an assignment to the PC. So, it's either a data copy or perhaps a data transformation instruction. The key functionality we need is an operation whose outcome depends on a test – a conditional.

## **Conditional Control Flow**

- Two things are required:
  - Evaluate a condition
    - Example: compare [R1] with [R2]
  - Either branch or continue execution sequentially depending on the outcome of the condition
    - Examples: equal, not equal, less than, less than or equal, not less than (greater than or equal), ...

# Evaluating the Condition

- The result of the condition evaluation must be put somewhere
  - We could use a register, but registers are valuable
    - And on the x86 there are only 8 of them!
      - (And on the x86 there are only 6 of them!)
  - Instead, we use a special register, the <u>condition code</u>
    - The condition code is a bit mask: overflow, sign, carry, parity, and zero bits
- These bits are available when performing arithmetic operations, and it's cheap to save them to the condition code, so the x86 does
  - C code fragment: x = x + y; if ( x != 0 ) ...
  - Rather than add r3,r4 need only add r3,r4 cmp r4,\$0 bz skip bz skip

# Evaluating the Condition (cont.)

- As well as being set as a side-effect of arithmetic instructions, there is a set of compare instructions whose only action is to set the condition code
  - An arithmetic operation writes some register
  - Registers are valuable!
  - The cmp instruction compares and sets the condition code bits, but doesn't alter any registers
- Lessons for later:
  - Registers are valuable!
  - The x86 has only 8 of them!

# Encoding Branches

- The y86 (and z86) architectures encode branches using 32-bit absolute addresses
  - There is a 1 byte opcode
  - There is a 4 byte absolute address
- There are two problems with that:
  - The instruction takes a lot of bytes
  - You need to know the absolute address of the branch at assembly time
    - Why might it be impossible to know the absolute address at assembly time?

# Encoding Branches (cont.)

- Both problems can be (mostly) solved using <u>PC relative addressing</u>
  - Instead of giving a 32-bit absolute address, give an 8- or 16-bit offset from the current PC
    - 8 bits: can branch between -127 and +128 bytes from current PC
    - 16 bits: can branch between -32,768 and +32,767 bytes away
  - Most branches are within those ranges
- Note that we don't need to know where the code will be loaded in memory at assembly time
  - The OS will set the PC to the first instruction of the program, before starting it
  - PC relative encoded branches will all work, no matter where the code is loaded

# PC Relative Addressing



- PC relative branches are <u>relocatable</u>
- Absolute branches are not

# Conditionals and Control Flow

- A test / conditional branch is sufficient to implement most control flow constructs offered in higher level languages
  - if (condition) then {...} else {...}
  - while(condition) {...}
  - do {...} while (condition)
  - for (initialization; condition; ) {...}
- (Unconditional branches implemented some related control flow constructs
  - break, continue)

# Compiling Loops



- How to compile other loops should be clear to you
  - The only slightly tricky part is to be sure where the conditional branch occurs: top or bottom of the loop
- Q: How is for (i=0; i<100; i++) implemented?
- Q: How are break and continue implemented?

#### The switch statement

- At first glance, switch doesn't conform to our notion of "either take the branch or not"
  - It's not a binary decision, it's n-ary
- switch can be re-written as an if-then-else
  - transforms it into n binary decisions
- there is sometimes an optimized implementation available to the compiler
  - jump tables

switch (class) { case 0: <some code> break; case 1: <some code> break; case 4: <some code> break; ... default: <some code> break; }

## switch / jump tables

- A jump table is an array of addresses
  - Each address points to an instruction
- In the case of switch, the jump table entries point to the sections of code for the case's
- To implement the switch:
  - use the selector (class, in the example) as an index into the jump table
  - load the 32-bit address from the jump table into a register, say r3
  - jmp r3
    - This is an unconditional jump
    - The PC is assigned the contents of r3

switch	(class) {	
case	0: <some code<="" th=""><th>&gt;</th></some>	>
	break;	
case	1: <some code<="" th=""><th>&gt;</th></some>	>
	break;	
case	4: <some code<="" th=""><th>&gt;</th></some>	>
	break;	
defau	lt: <some cod<="" th=""><th>le&gt;</th></some>	le>
	break;	
}		

## jump table picture



# switch / jump table review

switch	(switc]	h) {
case	0:	<some code=""></some>
		break;
case	1:	<some code=""></some>
		break;
case	52000:	<some code=""></some>
		break;
default:		<some code=""></some>
		break;
}		

Why is the compiler unlikely to implement this as a jump table?

#### switch aside

Why is this not legal (in most languages)?

# x86 ISA Part 2 Data

## x86 Data

- Programmer controlled data is held in registers and memory
- The ISA says registers are 32-bits wide
- The ISA says that memory:
  - is byte addressable
  - allows transfers of 1, 2, 4 bytes into / out of registers
- The "names" for data are defined by the ISA, not the programmer
  - registers 0 7
  - memory locations 0, 1, 2, ...

# Types

- There is some notion of type defined by the ISA
  - byte vs. word
  - integer vs. float
- However, the type is <u>not</u> associated with the data
  - It's associated with the operation being performed on the data
  - add 8(r2), r3 vs. fadd 8(r2), f3
- There is no notion of type checking
  - What might type checking mean at the hardware level?
  - Why would you not implement that?

### Addressing memory

- Instructions that use memory have to specify an address
- Embedding addresses into instructions has two drawbacks
  - Instructions are big: an address is 32 bits
  - You have to know the address at assembly time
    - You don't if the code wants to 'new' up an object, say
    - You don't if you're not sure just where the code will be loaded into memory
    - You don't if only part of the code is compiled at a time
      - In C, it's routine to compile just one file of a program that is composed of dozens of files
      - The compiler sees only the code in that file, not the whole program, so cannot decide where in memory code or data will be located
      - Resolving this particular issue is the job of the <u>linker</u>. We'll come back to it later.

# Addressing Memory (continued)

- The most general memory addressing scheme is to indirect using a register
  - The instruction names a register that holds the address
    - mrmovl (r2), r3
  - An arbitrary (and arbitrarily long) sequence of instructions can be used to compute the address
- That works, but you end up needing either
  - a lot of registers, each pointing at a variable currently used frequently, or
  - a single register but a lot of instructions (re)computing addresses you need frequently

# Addressing Memory (cont.)

- Base-displacement addressing provides more flexibility
  - mrmovl \$8(r2), r3
  - <u>effective address</u> is 8 + R[r2]
- Example use of base-displacement addressing: arrays
  - Array access with an index known at compile time
    - A[2]
    - r2 points at array A; the offset of element 2 is 8

# Array Addressing

- It isn't that common to know the array index at compile time
  - A[j] is more common than A[2]
- The x86 supports this too, meaning it provides a way to create the required effective address without using extra registers or extra instructions
  - (r2, r5, 4)
    - r2 points to A
    - r5 holds j (you needed that in a register anyway)
    - 4 is the size of each element of the array, in bytes
  - effective address is R[r2] + R[r5]\*4
- This is very CISC...
- x86 even supports A[j+2]
  - 8(r2,r5,4)

## Back to base-displacement addressing...

- Simple (RISC-y) base-displacement addressing is useful beyond arrays
- Distinct variables that the compiler knows it has allocated contiguously can be addressed using a single register
  - int i, j, k;
    - r2 points to i; \$4(r2) is j; \$8(r2) is k
  - Note that the compiler knows the offsets of the variables at compile time
    - Note that it isn't essential that it know the value of the "base" (r2) it can generate instructions to set that up at run time
  - Note that only a single <u>base register</u> allows access to a large number of distinct variables

# C structs

- C provides a user-defined, structured data type: the struct
- struct {
  - int accountNumber; int balance; // in pennies
    } account;
    - That actually creates a variable, named account, of the struct type, but there's a way to define the type and then declare many instances of it as well
- Note that if I have a pointer to account in r2, say:
  - 0(r2) points to account.accountNumber
  - 4(r2) points to account.balance
- This idea is how the C++ compiler generates instructions to access the instance variables of objects (C++ has classes...)

# C: User defined types

- C's facility for defining types is really just an aliasing facility
  - typedef unsigned char byte;
    - You can now type 'byte' anywhere 'unsigned char' would have made sense
  - typedef struct {
    - int accountNumber; int balance; // in pennies
      } AccountType;
    - AccountType accounts[200];
    - Define a type (AccountType),, then create an array of elements of that type
- Type equivalence in C is by name
  - So, an element of array accounts is not the same type as variable 'account' on the previous slide (even though the struct definitions are identical)
    - accounts[0] is of type 'AccountType'
    - account is of type 'struct <anonymous>'
- When types are compatible, struct assignment is defined (as bit copy)
  - accounts[0] = accounts[10]; // is legal
  - This is "shallow copy", in 142/3 terminology

# x86 ISA Part III ISA Abstractions v. HLL Abstractions

## Overview

- You know a lot about Java
  - You also know a bit about C, and how much of it is a lot like Java
- You know the essentials about what hardware does
- Let's look at the programming abstractions Java/C provide and compare with what the hardware provides
  - Anything not provided by the hardware must be being provided by software, e.g., the compiler

# HLL vs. HW

- Let's divide the discussion into three parts:
  - things in C I don't understand (e.g., because they're not legal in Java)
  - statements, operators, and control flow
  - data / variables

```
#include <stdio.h>
int N = 16;
int fib(int n) {
 int result;
 if (n == 0) result = 0;
 else if (n == 1) result = 1;
 else result = fib(n-1) + fib(n-2);
 return result;
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
 int result = fib(N);
 printf("Fibonnaci[%d] = %d\n", N, result);
 return 0;
}
```