

Introduction to Data Management Transactions

Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington, Seattle Two types of query workloads:

Online Analytical Processing (OLAP)

We focused on these

- SELECT-FROM-WHERE are complex
- No INSERT/UPDATE/DELTE, or very few
- For data visualization (eg Tableau), or interactive SQL
- Online Transaction Processing (OLTP):
 - Lots of INSERT/UPDATE/DELETE
 - SELECT-FROM-WHERE are very simple
 - Used in Java/Python apps

Next few lectures

Applications and Databases

Almost every app uses some database

- General purpose language (Java, Python)
- App issues SQL commands to RDBMS
- Usually, multiple apps (users) access same DB

- Manage user accounts:
 - Names
 - Balances
 - ...

- Allow users to:
 - Inquire balance
 - Deposit cash/check
 - Withdraw cash
 - Transfer money

SQL

CREATE TABLE Acc (
 Usr TEXT PRIMARY KEY,
 Balance INT);

Acc	Usr	Balance
	Alice	300
	Bob	600
	Carol	400

SQL

CREATE	TABLE	Acc	(
Usr	TEXT	PRIMA	ARY	KEY,
Bala	ance]	[NT);		

Acc	Usr	Balance
	Alice	300
	Bob	600
	Carol	400

Python*

* Documentation here https://docs.python.org/3/library/sqlite3.html

SQL	Acc	Usr	Balance
CREATE TABLE Acc (Alice	300
Usr TEXT PRIMARY KEY,		Bob	600
Datance INI),		Carol	400
Python*			
import sqlite3			
<pre>con = sqlite3.connect("/pa</pre>	ath/to/bank.c	lb",	
auto	commit=True)		
<pre>cur = con.cursor()</pre>			SQL quer
res = cur.execute("SELECT	* FROM acc")		
answ = res.fetchall()			
print("The answer is: ", a	answ)		

* Documentation here https://docs.python.org/3/library/sqlite3.html

DEMO: txn_demo_create_table.sql txn_demo_simple_1.py

Terminology: Client/Server

- Client:
 - The program running the application
 - In our example: a python program running on laptop
 - In general: a big program on laptop or in the cloud
- Server:
 - The database management system
 - In our example it is Sqlite on laptop
 - In general: any RDBMS, on remote server or in cloud

Parameterized Query

Give every user a 4% interest

```
res = cur.execute("SELECT * FROM acc")
answ = res.fetchall()
for row in answ:
    usr = row[0]
    bal = row[1]
    b = float(bal)
    i = b*0.04
    cur.execute("UPDATE acc
        SET balance=?
        WHERE usr=?",
        [b+i, usr])
```

Parameterized Query



Parameterized Query

Give every user a 4% interest



DEMO: txn_demo_simple_2.py

Read a username

Repeat:

- Read a command
- Execute that command
 - Check the balance
 - Deposit money
 - Withdraw money
 - Transfer between accounts

Read a username, check if exists:



A simple loop for executing commants:

```
while True:
    cmd = input()
    if cmd == "b": ... check balance
    elif cmd == "d": ... deposit
    elif cmd == "w": ... withdraw
    elif cmd == "t": ... transfer
    elif cmd == "q": exit()
```

Check balance



Deposit

Withdraw

Withdraw

```
... Read the balance b as before
amount = input() # amount to be withdrawn
a = int(amount)
                                            We need to check
#
                                            if there is enough
#
  THE BANK DISPENSES MONEY HERE!
                                               money!
#
h1 = b-a
         # the new balance
cur.execute("UPDATE acc
             SET balance = ?
             WHERE usr=?",
              [b1,usr])
```

Withdraw



```
Transfer
```

```
... Read the balance b as before
amount = input() # amount to be transferred
a = int(amount)
if a>b:
               # error: overdraft!
   exit()
usrt = input() # to whom to transfer
... Read the balance bt of usrt
b1 = b-a
bt1 = bt+a
cur.execute("UPDATE acc
             SET balance = ?
             WHERE user=?",
             [b1,usr])
cur.execute("UPDATE acc
             SET balance = ?
             WHERE user=?",
             [bt1,usrt])
```

DEMO: txn_demo.py single user The users Alice, Bob, ... don't need to know SQL, but interact with the app;

The app usually has a nice User Interface (UI)

 The database is persistent: it retains the data for a long period of time

Concurrency

Single-Server

The database is accessed by a single user:



RDBMS on same laptop, or a server, or the cloud

Client-Server or Two-Tier Architecture

Multiple users access the database concurrently



DEMO: txn_demo.py multiple users

txn_demo_txn_no.sql

How Alice and Bob colluded to steal \$100 (simplified, using only SQL) Current balance of Alice is \$100:

```
-- Alice withdraws $100
b = SELECT balance
   FROM acc
   WHERE user = 'Alice';
  Is b >= 100? Yes:
  Dispense money
UPDATE acc SET balance=b-100
WHERE user = 'Alice'
```

-- Bob impersonates Alice -- and also withdraws \$100 b = SELECT balance FROM acc WHERE user = 'Alice'; -- Is b >= 100? Yes: -- Dispense money UPDATE acc SET balance=b-100 WHERE user = 'Alice'

time

 Users Alice, Bob, ... can access the same database concurrently

 This may lead to the database being inconsistent, which is a big problem

Consistency

Consistency: a property that should always hold

- Every account balance is ≥ 0
- The sum of all balances is constant, or changes exactly by the amount deposited/withdrawn
- If we write the application correctly, we expect the database to remain consistent
- But (without transactions!) things can go wrong during concurrency. Next.

Conflicts Between Concurrent Operations

Common Concurrency Conflicts

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

These have popular names, but all sorts of other conflicts can happen. Let's see these.

Dirty/Inconsistent Read

A **inconsistent read** happens when data is read "during" a write

Dirty/Inconsistent Read

- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Manager wants to balance project budgets

CEO wants to check company balance

time

Dirty/Inconsistent Read

A **inconsistent read** happens when data is read "during" a write

Manager wants to balance project budgets

-\$10mil from project A

+\$7mil to project B

+\$3mil to project C

CEO wants to check company balance

SELECT SUM(money) ...

- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update
Dirty/Inconsistent Read

A **inconsistent read** happens when data is read "during" a write

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update



+\$3mil to project C

time

Dirty/Inconsistent Read

A **inconsistent read** happens when data is read "during" a write

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update



Dirty/Inconsistent Read

A **inconsistent read** happens when data is read "during" a write

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update



39

Unrepeatable Read

An **unrepeatable read** happens when data read twice differs

Accountant wants to check company assets

time

SELECT inventory FROM Products WHERE pid = 1 Dirty/Inconsistent Read

- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Warehouse updates inventory levels

UPDATE Products SET inventory = 0 WHERE pid = 1

SELECT inventory*price FROM Products WHERE pid = 1

Might get a value that doesn't correspond to previous read!

Phantom Read

A **phantom read** happens when a record is inserted/delete during reads

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Accountant wants to check company assets

time

SELECT * FROM products WHERE price < 20.00 Warehouse receives new products

INSERT INTO Products VALUES ('nuts', 10, 8.99)

Returns a "new" row that should have been in the last read!

A lost update happens when a write "disappears"

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Account 1 = 100, Account 2 = 100

User 1 wants to pool money into account 1

User 2 wants to pool money into account 2

A lost update happens when a write "disappears"

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Account 1 = 100, Account 2 = 100

User 1 wants to pool money into account 1

Set account 1 = 200

User 2 wants to pool money into account 2

Set account 2 = 0

A lost update happens when a write "disappears"

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Account 1 = 100, Account 2 = 100

User 1 wants to pool money into account 1

Set account 1 = 200

User 2 wants to pool money into account 2

Set account 2 = 0

Set account 2 = 200

Set account 1 = 0

A lost update happens when a write "disappears"

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Account 1 = 100, Account 2 = 100

User 1 wants to pool money into account 1

Set account 1 = 200

Set account 2 = 0

User 2 wants to pool money into account 2

Set account 2 = 200

Set account 1 = 0



At end: Account 1 = 0, Account 2 = 200

Transactions: Serializability

A lost update happens when a write "disappears"

- Dirty/Inconsistent Read
- Unrepeatable Read
- Phantom Read
- Lost Update

Account 1 = 100, Account 2 = 100

User 1 wants to pool money into account 1

Set account 1 = 200

Set account 2 = 0

User 2 wants to pool money into account 2

Set account 2 = 200

Set account 1 = 0



At end: Account 1 = 0, Account 2 = 0

Transactions: Serializability

Transactions

Transactions

 A transaction is a set of read and writes to the database that execute all or nothing



Transactions

- Prevent all concurrency control conflicts
- Easy to use in app: group statements in txns
- Let's see how they work

DEMO: txn_demo_txn_yes.sql

- Prevent all concurrency control conflicts
- Easy to use in app: group statements in txns
- What property does a TXN satisfy?
 - Informally: "TXNs have ACID properties"
 - Formally: "execution of TXNs must be serializable"

ACID

Transactions are ACID

- Atomic
- Consistent
- Isolated
- Durable

Atomic

 A set of operations is atomic if either all its operations happen, or none happens



Recovery manager (not discussed in this class)

Assume TXN is "correct" (this is app specific)

If TXN starts with the DB in a consistent state, it must end leaving the DB in a consistent state

It is a consequence of Atomicity and Isolation

Isolated

The effect of the transaction on the database is as if it were running alone on the database



Concurrency Control Manager

 Data should be stored persistently on disk, always in a consistent state

Discussion

- ACID properties: popular job interview question
- "A" and "I" matter
 Atomicity: recover from crashes
 Isolation: concurrency control
- ACID is informal.

Will discuss the formal property next

Serializability

Problem Definition

- The RDBMs runs several TXNs: T1, T2, T3, ...
- It could run T1 to completion before starting T2, then run T2 to completion before starting T3, then run T3...

. . .

Problem Definition

- The RDBMs runs several TXNs: T1, T2, T3, ...
- It could run T1 to completion before starting T2, then run T2 to completion before starting T3, then run T3...

But this has poor performance



Problem Definition

. . .

- The RDBMs runs several TXNs: T1, T2, T3, ...
- It could run T1 to completion before starting T2, then run T2 to completion before starting T3, then run T3...
 - But this has poor performance



Instead: interleave commands from multiple TXNs

When is the interleaving "safe"?

Simplified Data Model for TXN

Element is usually a record, or a disk block

Database = a set of "elements"

TXN = a sequence of Reads/Writes of elements









T2
READ(A, s)
s := s*2
WRITE(A,s)
READ(B,s)
s := s*2
WRITE(B,s)

Definitions

 An interleaving of READ/WRITEs from different TXNs is called a schedule

Definitions

 An interleaving of READ/WRITEs from different TXNs is called a schedule

 Definition: a serial schedule is a schedule where all operations of transactions come before those of the next transaction

Definitions

 An interleaving of READ/WRITEs from different TXNs is called a schedule

 Definition: a serial schedule is a schedule where all operations of transactions come before those of the next transaction

 Definition: a serializable schedule is a schedule that is equivalent to a serial schedule

A Schedule

T2 T1 READ(A, t)READ(A, s) s := s*2 t := t+100 **WRITE**(A, t)WRITE(A,s) READ(B,s) s := s*2 READ(B, t)WRITE(B,s) t := t+100 WRITE(B,t)

time

A Serial Schedule

T2 T1 READ(A, t)t := t+100 **WRITE**(A, t) READ(B, t)t := t+100 WRITE(B,t) **READ**(A, s) s := s*2 WRITE(A,s) **READ**(**B**,s) s := s*2 WRITE(B,s)
A Serial Schedule



time

A Serial Schedule



A Serial Schedule



time

The Other Serial Schedule













Serializability



February 21, 2025







February 21, 2025

Serializability



February 21, 2025

- If the schedule is serial, then nothing can go wrong
- Same for a serializable schedule
- Concurrency Control Manager of the RDBMs must ensure that the schedule is serializable

How do we check that a schedule is serializable?

Conflict Serializability

We further simplify the model:

• A transaction is a sequence of reads and writes

We ignore operations between reads and writes



Also: $R_1(A), W_1(A), R_1(B), W_1(B)$

Example

T1 then T2

 $R_1(A), W_1(A), R_1(B), W_1(B), R_2(A), W_2(A), R_2(B), W_2(B)$





T2 then T1

 $R_2(A), W_2(A), R_2(B), W_2(B), R_1(A), W_1(A), R_1(B), W_1(B)$

T1	T2
	R(A)
	W(A)
	R(B)
	W(B)
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
W(B)	



Serializable to T1 then T2

 $R_1(A), W_1(A), R_2(A), W_2(A), R_1(B), W_1(B), R_2(B), W_2(B)$

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
R(B)	
W(B)	
	R(B)
	W(B)



Not serializable

 $R_1(A), W_1(A), R_2(A), W_2(A), R_2(B), W_2(B), R_1(B), W_1(B)$

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
	R(B)
	W(B)
R(B)	
W(B)	

Main Idea

To check if a schedule is serializable, try swapping operations until it becomes serial:

- But we only swap if the new schedule is equivalent
- A pair is in conflict if it cannot be swapped

Conflicts

- 1. Any pair of ops of the same TXN are in conflict
- 2. $R_i(X)$, $W_i(X)$ forms a read-write conflict
- 3. $W_i(X)$, $R_i(X)$ forms a write-read conflict
- 4. $W_i(X)$, $W_i(X)$ forms a write-write conflict

Conflict Serializable Schedule

A schedule is <u>conflict serializable</u> if it can be **transformed** into a serial schedule by a series of swappings of adjacent **non-conflicting** actions

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
R(B)	
W(B)	
	R(B)
	W(B)

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
R(B)	
	W(A)
W(B)	
	R(B)
	W(B)

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
W(B)	
	R(B)
	W(B)

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
	R(A)
W(B)	
	W(A)
	R(B)
	W(B)

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
W(B)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
	R(B)
	W(B)

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
	R(B)
	W(B)
R(B)	
W(B)	



Serializable vs Conflict Serializable

Conflict serializability ignores what TXN does between the R's and the W's. It assumes the worst / most complicated updates to the data

Serializable vs Conflict Serializable

Conflict serializability ignores what TXN does between the R's and the W's. It assumes the worst / most complicated updates to the data

Not serializable nor conflict serializable



Serializable vs Conflict Serializable

Conflict serializability ignores what TXN does between the R's and the W's. It assumes the worst / most complicated updates to the data

Serializable (because 100+2 = 2+100) But not conflict serializable, because it assumes the worst



Most RDBMs enforce conflict-serializability

Next: how to test for conflict-serializability
The Precedence Graph

Testing for Conflict Serializability

Fix a schedule

- Definition. The precedence graph has one node for every TXN in the schedule, and one edge for every pair of conflicting ops
- Theorem. The schedule is conflict-serializable iff the precedence graph has no cycles

r₂(A); r₁(B); w₂(A); r₃(A); w₁(B); w₃(A); r₂(B); w₂(B)

r₂(A); r₁(B); w₂(A); r₃(A); w₁(B); w₃(A); r₂(B); w₂(B)



$r_2(A)$; $r_1(B)$; $w_2(A)$; $r_3(A)$; $w_1(B)$; $w_3(A)$; $r_2(B)$; $w_2(B)$







r₂(A) || r₁(B)

$r_2(A)$; $r_1(B)$; $w_2(A)$; $r_3(A)$; $w_1(B)$; $w_3(A)$; $r_2(B)$; $w_2(B)$



$$r_2(A)$$
 $r_1(B)$ No edge because
no conflict (A != B)

 $r_2(A)$; $r_1(B)$; $w_2(A)$; $r_3(A)$; $w_1(B)$; $w_3(A)$; $r_2(B)$; $w_2(B)$



r₂(A) || $W_2(A)$

 $r_2(A); r_1(B); w_2(A); r_3(A); w_1(B); w_3(A); r_2(B); w_2(B)$



$$\begin{array}{c|c} r_{2}(A) & w_{2}(A) & \text{No edge because} \\ \hline r_{2}(A); & r_{1}(B); & w_{2}(A); & r_{3}(A); & w_{1}(B); & w_{3}(A); & r_{2}(B); & w_{2}(B) \end{array}$$

$$r_{2}(A) r_{3}(A) ?$$

$$r_{2}(A); r_{1}(B); w_{2}(A); r_{3}(A); w_{1}(B); w_{3}(A); r_{2}(B); w_{2}(B)$$

$$[r_{2}(A)] w_{1}(B) ?$$

$$r_{2}(A); r_{1}(B); w_{2}(A); r_{3}(A); w_{1}(B); w_{3}(A); r_{2}(B); w_{2}(B)$$



$$[r_{2}(A)] w_{3}(A) ?$$

$$r_{2}(A); r_{1}(B); w_{2}(A); r_{3}(A); w_{1}(B); w_{3}(A); r_{2}(B); w_{2}(B)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} r_2(A) & w_3(A) & {}_{T2 \text{ to } T3} \\ \hline r_2(A); r_1(B); w_2(A); r_3(A); w_1(B); w_3(A); r_2(B); w_2(B) \end{array}$$

$$[r_2(A)] w_3(A) = \begin{array}{c} Edge! Conflict from \\ T2 to T3 \end{array}$$

$$[r_2(A)] r_1(B); w_2(A); r_3(A); w_1(B); w_3(A); r_2(B); w_2(B)$$

Edges:
$$(1)$$
 $(2) \rightarrow (3)$





And so on until compared every pair of actions...

Serializability



Repeating the same directed edge not necessary





This schedule is conflict-serializable

r₂(A); r₁(B); w₂(A); r₂(B); r₃(A); w₁(B); w₃(A); w₂(B)

r₂(A); r₁(B); w₂(A); r₂(B); r₃(A); w₁(B); w₃(A); w₂(B)

) (2) (3)





This schedule is NOT conflict-serializable

- Transactions: "...all or nothing..."
- Simplified data model: READ/WRITE elements
- Schedules:
 - Serial
 - Serializable
 - Conflict serializable
- Precedence graph