CSE 344

APRIL 23RD – INDEXING

ADMINISTRIVIA

- HW4 Due Wednesday
- OQ5 Due Wednesday
- HW5 Out Wednesday
 - SQL++
 - Home VM
- AWS
 - Email if having trouble



LOGICAL VS PHYSICAL PLANS

Logical plans:

- Created by the parser from the input SQL text
- Expressed as a relational algebra tree
- Each SQL query has many possible logical plans

Physical plans:

- Goal is to choose an efficient implementation for each operator in the RA tree
- Each logical plan has many possible physical plans

PIPELINED EXECUTION

Tuples generated by an operator are immediately sent to the parent

Benefits:

- No operator synchronization issues
- No need to buffer tuples between operators
- Saves cost of writing intermediate data to disk
- Saves cost of reading intermediate data from disk

This approach is used whenever possible

QUERY EXECUTION BOTTOM LINE

SQL query transformed into physical plan

- Access path selection for each relation
 - Scan the relation or use an index (next lecture)
- Implementation choice for each operator
 - Nested loop join, hash join, etc.
- Scheduling decisions for operators
 - Pipelined execution or intermediate materialization

Pipelined execution of physical plan

RECALL: PHYSICAL DATA INDEPENDENCE

Applications are insulated from changes in physical storage details

SQL and relational algebra facilitate physical data independence

- Both languages input and output relations
- Can choose different implementations for operators

QUERY PERFORMANCE

My database application is too slow... why?

One of the queries is very slow... why?

To understand performance, we need to understand:

- How is data organized on disk
- How to estimate query costs
- In this course we will focus on **disk-based** DBMSs

Student

| ID | fName | IName |
|----|-------|-------|
| 10 | Tom | Hanks |
| 20 | Amy | Hanks |
| | | |

DATA STORAGE

DBMSs store data in files

Most common organization is row-wise storage

On disk, a file is split into blocks

Each block contains a set of tuples

| 10 | Tom | Hanks | block 1 |
|-----|-----|-------|---------|
| 20 | Amy | Hanks | |
| 50 | | | block 2 |
| 200 | | | |
| 220 | | | block 3 |
| 240 | | | |
| 420 | | | |
| 800 | | | |

In the example, we have 4 blocks with 2 tuples each

DATA FILE TYPES

The data file can be one of:

Heap file

Unsorted

Sequential file

Sorted according to some attribute(s) called <u>key</u>

Student

| ID | fName | IName |
|----|-------|-------|
| 10 | Tom | Hanks |
| 20 | Amy | Hanks |
| | | |

DATA FILE TYPES

The data file can be one of:

Heap file

Unsorted

Sequential file

Sorted according to some attribute(s) called <u>key</u>

Note: <u>key</u> here means something different from primary key: it just means that we order the file according to that attribute. In our example we ordered by **ID**. Might as well order by **fName**, if that seems a better idea for the applications running on our database.

Student

| ID | fName | IName |
|----|-------|-------|
| 10 | Tom | Hanks |
| 20 | Amy | Hanks |
| | | |



An additional file, that allows fast access to records in the data file given a search key



An additional file, that allows fast access to records in the data file given a search key

The index contains (key, value) pairs:

- The key = an attribute value (e.g., student ID or name)
- The value = a pointer to the record



An additional file, that allows fast access to records in the data file given a search key

The index contains (key, value) pairs:

- The key = an attribute value (e.g., student ID or name)
- The value = a pointer to the record

Could have many indexes for one table

KEYS IN INDEXING

Different keys:

Primary key – uniquely identifies a tuple

Key of the sequential file – how the data file is sorted, if at all

Index key – how the index is organized

EXAMPLE 1: IName ID **fName INDEX ON ID** 10 Tom Hanks 20 Amy Hanks Data File **Student** Index Student_ID on Student.ID 10 Tom Hanks 10 Hanks 20 Amy 20 50 50 200 200 . . . 220 240 220 420 240 800 420 950 800 ...

Student



INDEX ORGANIZATION

We need a way to represent indexes after loading into memory so that they can be used

Several ways to do this:

Hash table

B+ trees – most popular

- They are search trees, but they are not binary instead have higher fanout
- Will discuss them briefly next

Specialized indexes: bit maps, R-trees, inverted index



B+ TREE INDEX BY EXAMPLE

d = 2



CLUSTERED VS UNCLUSTERED



Every table can have **only one** clustered and **many** unclustered indexes Why?

INDEX CLASSIFICATION

Clustered/unclustered

- Clustered = records close in index are close in data
 - Option 1: Data inside data file is sorted on disk
 - Option 2: Store data directly inside the index (no separate files)
- Unclustered = records close in index may be far in data

INDEX CLASSIFICATION

Clustered/unclustered (terminology used in this class)

- Clustered = records close in index are close in data
 - Option 1: Data inside data file is sorted on disk
 - Option 2: Store data directly inside the index (no separate files)
- Unclustered = records close in index may be far in data

Primary/secondary

- Meaning 1:
 - Primary = is over attributes that include the primary key
 - Secondary = otherwise
- Meaning 2: means the same as clustered/unclustered

INDEX CLASSIFICATION

Clustered/unclustered (terminology used in this class)

- Clustered = records close in index are close in data
 - Option 1: Data inside data file is sorted on disk
 - Option 2: Store data directly inside the index (no separate files)
- Unclustered = records close in index may be far in data

Primary/secondary

- Meaning 1:
 - Primary = is over attributes that include the primary key
 - Secondary = otherwise
- Meaning 2: means the same as clustered/unclustered

Organization B+ tree or Hash table

SCANNING A DATA FILE

Disks are mechanical devices!

- Technology from the 60s; density much higher now
- Read only at the rotation speed!



Consequence: Sequential scan is MUCH FASTER than random reads

- Good: read blocks 1,2,3,4,5,...
- Bad: read blocks 2342, 11, 321,9, ...

Rule of thumb:

 Random reading 1-2% of the file ≈ sequential scanning the entire file; this is decreasing over time (because of increased density of disks)

Solid state (SSD): \$\$\$ expensive; put indexes, other "hot" data there, still too expensive for everything

SUMMARY SO FAR

Index = a file that enables direct access to records in another data file

- B+ tree / Hash table
- Clustered/unclustered

Data resides on disk

- Organized in blocks
- Sequential reads are efficint
- Random access less efficient
- Random read 1-2% of data worse than sequential

Student(<u>ID</u>, fname, Iname) Takes(studentID, courseID)

EXAMPLE

SELECT * FROM Student x, Takes y WHERE x.ID=y.studentID AND y.courseID > 300

for y in Takes
if courseID > 300 then
for x in Student
if x.ID=y.studentID
output *

Assume the database has indexes on these attributes:

- **Takes_courseID** = index on Takes.courseID
- Student_ID = index on Student.ID

Student(<u>ID</u>, fname, Iname) Takes(studentID, courseID)



SELECT * FROM Student x, Takes y WHERE x.ID=y.studentID AND y.courseID > 300

Index selection

for y in Takes
if courseID > 300 then
for x in Student
if x.ID=y.studentID
output *

Assume the database has indexes on these attributes:

- Takes_courseID = index on Takes.courseID
- Student_ID = index on Student.ID

Index join

for y' in Takes_courseID where y'.courseID > 300
y = fetch the Takes record pointed to by y'
for x' in Student_ID where x'.ID = y.studentID
x = fetch the Student record pointed to by x'
output *

Student(<u>ID</u>, fname, Iname) Takes(studentID, courseID)



SELECT * FROM Student x, Takes y WHERE x.ID=y.studentID AND y.courseID > 300

Index selection

for y in Takes
if courseID > 300 then
for x in Student
if x.ID=y.studentID
output *

Assume the database has indexes on these attributes:

- Takes_courseID = index on Takes.courseID
- **Student_ID** = index on Student.ID



for y' in Takes_courseID where y'.courseID > 300
y = fetch the Takes record pointed to by y'
for x' in Student_ID where x'.ID = y.studentID
x = fetch the Student record pointed to by x'
output *

CREATING INDEXES IN SQL

CREATE TABLE V(M int, N varchar(20), P int);

CREATE INDEX V1 ON V(N)

CREATE INDEX V2 ON V(P, M)

CREATE INDEX V3 ON V(M, N)

CREATE UNIQUE INDEX V4 ON V(N)

CREATE CLUSTERED INDEX V5 ON V(N)









Student

| ID | fName | IName |
|----|-------|-------|
| 10 | Tom | Hanks |
| 20 | Amy | Hanks |
| | | |

WHICH INDEXES?

The index selection problem

 Given a table, and a "workload" (big Java application with lots of SQL queries), decide which indexes to create (and which ones NOT to create!)

Who does index selection:

- The database administrator DBA
- Semi-automatically, using a database administration tool

INDEX SELECTION: WHICH SEARCH KEY

Make some attribute K a search key if the WHERE clause contains:

- An exact match on K
- A range predicate on K
- A join on K

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=? 100 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE P=?

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=? 100 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE P=?

What indexes ?

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=? 100 queries:



A: V(N) and V(P) (hash tables or B-trees)

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

100 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N>? and N<? SELECT * FROM V WHERE P=? 100000 queries:

INSERT INTO V VALUES (?, ?, ?)

What indexes ?

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

100 queries:

100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N>? and N<? SELECT * FROM V WHERE P=? INSERT INTO V VALUES (?, ?, ?)

A: definitely V(N) (must B-tree); unsure about V(P)

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

1000000 queries:

100000 queries:



SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=? and P>? INSERT INTO V VALUES (?, ?, ?)

What indexes ?

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

100000 queries:

1000000 queries:

100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=?

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N=? and P>? INSERT INTO V VALUES (?, ?, ?)



How does this index differ from:

- 1. Two indexes V(N) and V(P)?
- 2. An index V(P, N)?

V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

1000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N>? and N<? 100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE P>? and P<?



V(M, N, P);

Your workload is this

1000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE N>? and N<? 100000 queries:

SELECT * FROM V WHERE P>? and P<?

A: V(N) unclustered, V(P) clustered index