Introduction to Data Management CSE 344

Lecture 21: More Transactions

Announcements

- HW6, WQ6 due tonight
- HW7 will be released today
 - Some Java programming required
 - Connecting to SQL Azure
 - Due Wednesday, November 30
- WQ7 (final one!) released
 - Due Tuesday, November 29

Outline

- Serial and Serializable Schedules (18.1)
- Conflict Serializability (18.2)
- Transaction implementation using locks (18.3)

Review: Transactions

- Problem: An application must perform several writes and reads to the database, as a unit
- Solution: multiple actions of the application are bundled into one unit called a *Transaction*

Turing Awards in Data Management



Charles Bachman, 1973 IDS and CODASYL



Ted Codd, 1981 *Relational model*





Jim Gray, 1998 *Transaction processing*



Michael Stonebraker, 2014 INGRES and Postgres CSE 344 - Fall 2016

Review: Transactions in SQL

BEGIN TRANSACTION [SQL statements] COMMIT or ROLLBACK (=ABORT)



Review: ACID

- Atomic
 - State shows either all the effects of txn, or none of them
- Consistent
 - Txn moves from a state where integrity holds, to another where integrity holds
- Isolated
 - Effect of txns is the same as txns running one after another (i.e., looks like batch mode)
- Durable
 - Once a txn has committed, its effects remain in the database

Isolation: The Problem

- Multiple transactions are running concurrently T_1, T_2, \ldots
- They read/write some common elements
 A₁, A₂, …
- How do we prevent unwanted interference?
- The SCHEDULER is responsible for that

Schedules

A schedule is a sequence of interleaved actions from all transactions

Serial Schedule

- A <u>serial schedule</u> is one in which transactions are executed one after the other, in some sequential order
- Review: nothing can go wrong if the system executes transactions serially
 - But DBMS don't do that because we want better overall system performance





Another S	erial Schedule
T1	T2
	READ(A,s)
	s := s*2
	WRITE(A,s)
	READ(B,s)
	s := s*2
	WRITE(B,s)
READ(A, t))
t := t+100	
WRITE(A,	t)
READ(B, t))
t := t+100	
WRITE(B,t)
CSE 344 - Fall 2016	

Time

Serializable Schedule

A schedule is **serializable** if it is equivalent to a serial schedule



A Non-Serializable Schedule



How do We Know if a Schedule is Serializable?

Notation:

Key Idea: Focus on conflicting operations

Conflicts

- Write-Read WR
- Read-Write RW
- Write-Write WW
- Read-Read?

Conflicts: (i.e., swapping will change program behavior)

Two actions by same transaction T_i :



Two writes by T_i, T_j to same element



Read/write by T_i, T_i to same element







- A schedule is <u>conflict serializable</u> if it can be transformed into a serial schedule by a series of swappings of adjacent non-conflicting actions
- Every conflict-serializable schedule is serializable
- A serializable schedule may not necessarily be conflict-serializable

$$W_{1}(X, 0); W_{2}(X, 0); R_{1}(X); R_{2}(X) \leftarrow W_{1}(X, 0); R_{1}(X); W_{2}(X, 0); R_{2}(X) \leftarrow W_{1}(X, 0); R_{1}(X) = 0$$

Example: $f_{1}(A); w_{1}(A); r_{2}(A); w_{2}(A); r_{1}(B); w_{1}(B); r_{2}(B); w_{2}(B)$

Example: r₁(A); w₁(A); r₂(A); w₂(A); r₁(B); w₁(B); r₂(B); w₂(B)



$$r_{1}(A); w_{1}(A); r_{1}(B); w_{1}(B); r_{2}(A); w_{2}(A); r_{2}(B); w_{2}(B)$$

$$schedule$$
CSE 344 - Fall 2016
22

Example: r₁(A); w₁(A); r₂(A); w₂(A); r₁(B); w₁(B); r₂(B); w₂(B)

r₁(A); w₁(A); r₁(B); w₁(B); r₂(A); w₂(A); r₂(B); w₂(B)



r₁(A); w₁(A); r₁(B); w₁(B); r₂(A); w₂(A); r₂(B); w₂(B)



Testing for Conflict-Serializability

Precedence graph:

- A node for each transaction T_i,
- An edge from T_i to T_j whenever an action in T_i conflicts with, and comes before an action in T_i
- The schedule is conflict-serializable iff the precedence graph is acyclic

$r_2(A); r_1(B); w_2(A); r_3(A); w_1(B); w_3(A); r_2(B); w_2(B)$





$r_2(A); r_1(B); w_2(A); r_2(B); r_3(A); w_1(B); w_3(A); w_2(B)$





This schedule is NOT conflict-serializable

Scheduler

- Scheduler = the module that schedules the transaction's actions, ensuring serializability
- Also called Concurrency Control Manager
- We discuss next how a scheduler may be implemented

Implementing a Scheduler

Major differences between database vendors

- Locking Scheduler
 - Aka "pessimistic concurrency control"
 - SQLite, SQL Server, DB2
- Multiversion Concurrency Control (MVCC)
 - Aka "optimistic concurrency control"
 - Postgres, Oracle

We discuss only locking schedulers in 344

Locking Scheduler

Simple idea:

- Each element has a unique lock
- Each transaction must first acquire the lock before reading/writing that element
- If the lock is taken by another transaction, then wait
- The transaction must release the lock(s)

By using locks scheduler ensures conflict-serializability