#### Introduction to Data Management CSE 344

#### Lecture 23: Transactions II

#### Announcements

- Webquiz due next Thursday
- Homework 7 due a week from next Tuesday

### Where We Are?

- Last time: Locks in SQLite (review today)
- Today SQL Server (and others)

## Lock-Based Scheduler

Simple idea:

- Each element has a unique lock
- Each transaction must first acquire the lock before reading/writing that element
- If lock is held by another transaction, then wait
- The transaction must release the lock(s)

#### Notation

 $L_i(A)$  = transaction  $T_i$  acquires lock for element A  $U_i(A)$  = transaction  $T_i$  releases lock for element A

### A Non-Serializable Schedule







Locks did not enforce conflict-serializability !!! What's wrong ?

# Two Phase Locking (2PL)

The 2PL rule:

In every transaction, all lock requests must precede all unlock requests

### Example: 2PL transactions

T2

T1  $L_1(A); L_1(B); READ(A)$  A := A+100WRITE(A); U<sub>1</sub>(A)

> L<sub>2</sub>(A); READ(A) A := A\*2 WRITE(A); L<sub>2</sub>(B); BLOCKED...

READ(B) B := B+100 WRITE(B); U<sub>1</sub>(B);

```
...GRANTED; READ(B)
B := B*2
WRITE(B); U<sub>2</sub>(A); U<sub>2</sub>(B);
```

Now it is conflict-serializable

#### A New Problem: Non-recoverable Schedule

T2

T1 L<sub>1</sub>(A); L<sub>1</sub>(B); READ(A) A :=A+100 WRITE(A); U<sub>1</sub>(A)

 $L_2(A)$ ; READ(A) A := A\*2 WRITE(A);  $L_2(B)$ ; BLOCKED...

READ(B) B :=B+100 WRITE(B); U<sub>1</sub>(B);

...GRANTED; READ(B) B := B\*2 WRITE(B);  $U_2(A)$ ;  $U_2(B)$ ; Commit

Rollback

### Strict 2PL

The Strict 2PL rule:

All locks are held until the transaction commits or aborts.

With strict 2PL, we will get schedules that are both conflict-serializable and recoverable

CSE 344 - Fall 2014

#### Strict 2PL

T2

T1 L<sub>1</sub>(A); READ(A) A :=A+100 WRITE(A);

L<sub>1</sub>(B); READ(B) B :=B+100 WRITE(B); U<sub>1</sub>(A),U<sub>1</sub>(B);

Rollback

L<sub>2</sub>(A); BLOCKED...

...GRANTED; READ(A) A := A\*2 WRITE(A);  $L_2(B)$ ; READ(B) B := B\*2 WRITE(B);  $U_2(A)$ ;  $U_2(B)$ ; Commit

### Deadlocks

- $T_1$  waits for a lock held by  $T_2$ ;
- $T_2$  waits for a lock held by  $T_3$ ;
- $T_3$  waits for . . .
- . . .
- $T_n$  waits for a lock held by  $T_1$

SQL Lite: there is only one exclusive lock; thus, never deadlocks

SQL Server: checks periodically for deadlocks and aborts one TXN

#### Lock Modes

- S = shared lock (for READ)
- X = exclusive lock (for WRITE)

Lock compatibility matrix:

	None	S	X
None	OK	OK	OK
S	OK	OK	Conflict
Х	OK	Conflict	Conflict

#### Demo

Try this on sqlite, then on SQL Server

create table R(A int primary key, B int);

insert into r values (1,10);

insert into r values (2,20);

insert into r values (3,30);

А	В
1	10
2	20
3	30

#### Demo

T1	T2		
set transaction isolation level serializable;			
begin transaction;			
update R set B=11 where A=1;			
	set transaction isolation level serialized	zable;	
	begin transaction;		
	update r set B=21 where A=2;		
select * from R where A=1 or A=3;			
	select * from r where A=2 or A=3;		
select * from R;			
	select * from R;		
commit	commit	Α	В

A	В
1	10
2	20
3	30

# Lock Granularity

#### • Fine granularity locking (e.g., tuples)

- High concurrency
- High overhead in managing locks
- E.g. SQL Server
- Coarse grain locking (e.g., tables, entire database)
  - Many false conflicts
  - Less overhead in managing locks
  - E.g. SQL Lite



- So far we have assumed the database to be a *static* collection of elements (=tuples)
- If tuples are inserted/deleted then the *phantom problem* appears

#### T1

T2

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

> INSERT INTO Product(name, color) VALUES ('gizmo','blue')

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

Suppose there are two blue products, A1, A2:

Is this schedule serializable ?

#### T1

T2

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

> INSERT INTO Product(name, color) VALUES ('gizmo','blue')

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

Suppose there are two blue products, A1, A2:

Is this schedule serializable ?

NO: T1: sees 2 products the first time, then sees 3 products the second time

#### T1

T2

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

> INSERT INTO Product(name, color) VALUES ('gizmo','blue')

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

Suppose there are two blue products, A1, A2:

R1(A1),R1(A2),W2(A3),R1(A1),R1(A2),R1(A3)

#### T1

T2

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

> INSERT INTO Product(name, color) VALUES ('gizmo','blue')

SELECT \* FROM Product WHERE color='blue'

When seen as a sequence of R/W, the schedule appears serializable. Locks <u>cannot</u> prevent this schedule.

Suppose there are two blue products, A1, A2:

R1(A1),R1(A2),W2(A3),R1(A1),R1(A2),R1(A3)

W2(A3),R1(A1),R1(A2),R1(A1),R1(A2),R1(A3)

- A "phantom" is a tuple that is invisible during part of a transaction execution but not invisible during the entire execution
- In our example:
  - T1: reads list of products
  - T2: inserts a new product
  - T1: re-reads: a new product appears !

# **Dealing With Phantoms**

- Lock the entire table, or
- Lock the index entry for 'blue'
   If index is available
- Or use predicate locks
  - A lock on an arbitrary predicate

#### Dealing with phantoms is expensive !

# Isolation Levels in SQL

- 1. "Dirty reads" SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED
- 2. "Committed reads" SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ COMMITTED
- 3. "Repeatable reads" SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL REPEATABLE READ
- 4. Serializable transactions SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL SERIALIZABLE

AC

# 1. Isolation Level: Dirty Reads

- "Long duration" WRITE locks
  - Strict 2PL
- No READ locks
  - Read-only transactions are never delayed

Possible problems: dirty and inconsistent reads

## 2. Isolation Level: Read Committed

- "Long duration" WRITE locks
  - Strict 2PL
- "Short duration" READ locks
  - Only acquire lock while reading (not 2PL)

Unrepeatable reads When reading same element twice, may get two different values

#### 3. Isolation Level: Repeatable Read

- "Long duration" WRITE locks
   Strict 2PL
- "Long duration" READ locks
  - Strict 2PL



Why?

# 4. Isolation Level Serializable

- "Long duration" WRITE locks
   Strict 2PL
- "Long duration" READ locks
  - Strict 2PL
- Predicate locking
  - To deal with phantoms

### Beware!

In commercial DBMSs:

- Default level is often NOT serializable
- Default level differs between DBMSs
- Some engines support subset of levels!
- Serializable may not be exactly ACID
  - Locking ensures isolation, not atomicity
- Also, some DBMSs do NOT use locking and different isolation levels can lead to different pbs
- Bottom line: Read the doc for your DBMS!