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Dynamic dispatch

Dynamic dispatch
– Also known as *late binding* or *virtual methods*

– Call `self.m2()` in method `m1` defined in class `C` can *resolve to* a method `m2` defined in a subclass of `C`

– Most unique characteristic of OOP

Need to define the semantics of *method lookup* as carefully as we defined *variable lookup* for our PLs
Review: variable lookup

Rules for “looking things up” is a key part of PL semantics

- ML: Look up variables in the appropriate environment
  - Lexical scope for closures
  - Field names (for records) are different: not variables

- Racket: Like ML plus let, letrec

- Ruby:
  - Local variables and blocks mostly like ML and Racket
  - But also have instance variables, class variables, methods
    (all more like record fields)
    - Look up in terms of self, which is special
Using `self`

- `self` maps to some “current” object
- Look up instance variable `@x` using object bound to `self`
- Look up class variables `@@x` using object bound to `self.class`
- Look up methods...
Ruby method lookup

The semantics for method calls also known as message sends

\[ e_0.m(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \]

1. Evaluate \( e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n \) to objects \( obj_0, obj_1, \ldots, obj_n \)
   - As usual, may involve looking up self, variables, fields, etc.
2. Let \( C \) be the class of \( obj_0 \) (every object has a class)
3. If \( m \) is defined in \( C \), pick that method, else recur with the superclass of \( C \) unless \( C \) is already Object
   - If no \( m \) is found, call method_missing instead
     • Definition of method_missing in Object raises an error
4. Evaluate body of method picked:
   - With formal arguments bound to \( obj_1, \ldots, obj_n \)
   - With self bound to \( obj_0 \) -- this implements dynamic dispatch!

Note: Step (3) complicated by mixins: will revise definition later
Punch-line again

\[ e_0.m(e_1,\ldots,e_n) \]

To implement dynamic dispatch, evaluate the method body with self mapping to the receiver (result of e0)

• That way, any self calls in body of m use the receiver's class,
  – Not necessarily the class that defined m

• This much is the same in Ruby, Java, C#, Smalltalk, etc.
Comments on dynamic dispatch

• This is why `distFromOrigin2` worked in `PolarPoint`

• More complicated than the rules for closures
  – Have to treat `self` specially
  – May seem simpler only if you learned it first
  – Complicated does not necessarily mean inferior or superior
Static overloading

In Java/C#/C++, method-lookup rules are similar, but more complicated because > 1 methods in a class can have same name

- Java/C/C++: Overriding only when number/types of arguments the same
- Ruby: same-method-name always overriding

Pick the “best one” using the static (!) types of the arguments

- Complicated rules for “best”
- Type-checking error if there is no “best”

Relies fundamentally on type-checking rules

- Ruby has none
A simple example, part 1

In ML (and other languages), closures are closed

\[
\text{fun even } x = \text{if } x=0 \text{ then true else odd (}x-1)\text{ and odd } x = \text{if } x=0 \text{ then false else even (}x-1)\]

So we can shadow odd, but any call to the closure bound to odd above will “do what we expect”

– Does not matter if we shadow even or not

(* does not change odd – too bad; this would improve it *)
fun even x = (x mod 2)=0

(* does not change odd – good thing; this would break it *)
fun even x = false
A simple example, part 2

In Ruby (and other OOP languages), subclasses can change the behavior of methods they do not override

```ruby
class A
  def even x
    if x==0 then true else odd (x-1) end
  end
  def odd x
    if x==0 then false else even (x-1) end
  end
end

class B < A  # improves odd in B objects
  def even x ; x % 2 == 0 end
end

class C < A  # breaks odd in C objects
  def even x ; false end
end
```
The OOP trade-off

Any method that makes calls to overridable methods can have its behavior changed in subclasses even if it is not overridden
  – Maybe on purpose, maybe by mistake
  – Observable behavior includes calls-to-overridable methods

• So *harder* to reason about “the code you're looking at”
  – Can avoid by disallowing overriding
    • “private” or “final” methods

• So *easier* for subclasses to affect behavior without copying code
  – Provided method in superclass is not modified later
Manual dynamic dispatch

Now: Write Racket code with little more than pairs and functions that acts like objects with dynamic dispatch

Why do this?

- (Racket actually has classes and objects available)

- Demonstrates how one language's semantics is an idiom in another language

- Understand dynamic dispatch better by coding it up
  - Roughly how an interpreter/compiler might

Analogy: Earlier optional material encoding higher-order functions using objects and explicit environments
Our approach

Many ways to do it; our code does this:

- An “object” has a list of field pairs and a list of method pairs

  \[
  \textbf{(struct obj (fields methods))}
  \]

- Field-list element example:

  \[
  \text{(mcons 'x 17)}
  \]

- Method-list element example:

  \[
  \text{(cons 'get-x (lambda (self args) ...))}
  \]

Notes:

- Lists sufficient but not efficient
- Not class-based: object has a list of methods, not a class that has a list of methods [could do it that way instead]
- Key trick is lambdas taking an extra \texttt{self} argument
  - All “regular” arguments put in a list \texttt{args} for simplicity
A point object bound to \textbf{x}

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'x} \\
\text{mcar} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'y} \\
\text{mcar} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'()} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\]

\textbf{x} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text{fields} \\
\text{methods}
\end{array}
\lambda(\text{self args})... \\
\lambda(\text{self args})... \\
\lambda(\text{self args})...

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'get-x} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'set-x} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'distToOrigin} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{'()} \quad \text{mcdr}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{car} \quad \text{cdr}
\end{array}
\]

...
Key helper functions

Now define plain Racket functions to get field, set field, call method

```
(define (assoc-m v xs) 
  ...) ; assoc for list of mutable pairs

(define (get obj fld)
  (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))])
    (if pr (mcdr pr) (error ...))))

(define (set obj fld v)
  (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))])
    (if pr (set-mcdr! pr v) (error ...))))

(define (send obj msg . args)
  (let ([pr (assoc msg (obj-methods obj))])
    (if pr ((cdr pr) obj args) (error ...))))
```
(send x 'distToOrigin)

Evaluate body of \( \lambda(\text{self args}) \ldots \) with self bound to entire object → (and args bound to '() )
Constructing points

- Plain-old Racket function can take initial field values and build a point object
  - Use functions `get`, `set`, and `send` on result and in "methods"
  - Call to self: `(send self 'm ...)`
  - Method arguments in `args` list

```
(define (make-point _x _y)
  (obj
    (list (mcons 'x _x)
          (mcons 'y _y))
    (list (cons 'get-x (λ(self args)(get self 'x)))
          (cons 'get-y (λ(self args)(get self 'y)))
          (cons 'set-x (λ(self args)(...)))
          (cons 'set-y (λ(self args)(...)))
          (cons 'distToOrigin (λ(self args)(...)))))
```
“Subclassing”

- Can use `make-point` to write `make-color-point` or `make-polar-point` functions (see code)

- Build a new object using fields and methods from “super” “constructor”
  - Add new or overriding methods to the `beginning of the list`
    - `send` will find the first matching method
      - Since `send` passes the entire receiver for `self`, dynamic dispatch works as desired
Why not ML?

- We were wise not to try this in ML!
- ML's type system does not have subtyping for declaring a polar-point type that “is also a” point type
  - Workarounds possible (e.g., one type for all objects)
  - Still no good type for those self arguments to functions
    - Need quite sophisticated type systems to support dynamic dispatch if it is not built into the language
- In fairness, languages with subtyping but not generics make it analogously awkward to write generic code