PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

CSE341: Programming Languages

Section 9
Dynamic Dispatch Manually in Racket

Zach Tatlock

Winter 2018

From yesterday: Dynamic dispatch

Dynamic dispatch

- Also known as *late binding* or *virtual methods*
- Call **`self.m2()`** in method **`m1`** defined in class **`C`** can *resolve to* a method **`m2`** defined in a subclass of **`C`**
- Most unique characteristic of OOP

Need to define the semantics of *method lookup* as carefully as we defined *variable lookup* for our PLs

From yesterday: Ruby method lookup

The semantics for method calls also known as message sends

`e0.m(e1,…,en)`

1. Evaluate **`e0`**, **`e1`**, …, **`en`** to objects **`obj0`**, **`obj1`**, …, **`objn`**
   – As usual, may involve looking up **`self`**, variables, fields, etc.
2. Let **`C`** be the class of **`obj0`** (every object has a class)
3. If **`m`** is defined in **`C`**, pick that method, else recur with the superclass of **`C`** unless **`C`** is already **`Object`**
   – If no **`m`** is found, call **`method_missing`** instead
      • Definition of **`method_missing`** in **`Object`** raises an error
4. Evaluate body of method picked:
   – With formal arguments bound to **`obj1`**, …, **`objn`**
   – With **`self`** bound to **`obj0`** -- this implements dynamic dispatch!

Note: Step (3) complicated by *mixins*: will revise definition later

From yesterday: Punch-line again

`e0.m(e1,…,en)`

To implement dynamic dispatch, evaluate the method body with **`self`** mapping to the *receiver* (result of **`e0`**)

- That way, any **`self`** calls in body of **`m`** use the receiver's class,
  - Not necessarily the class that defined **`m`**
- This much is the same in Ruby, Java, C#, Smalltalk, etc.

Static overloading

In Java/C#/C++, method-lookup rules are similar, but more complicated because > 1 methods in a class can have same name

- Java/C/C++: Overriding only when number/types of arguments the same
- Ruby: same-method-name always overriding

Pick the "best one" using the *static* (!) types of the arguments

- Complicated rules for "best"
- Type-checking error if there is no "best"

Relies fundamentally on type-checking rules

- Ruby has none

A simple example, part 1

In ML (and other languages), closures are closed

```
fun even x = if x=0 then true  else odd  (x-1)
and odd  x = if x=0 then false else even (x-1)
```

So we can shadow **`odd`**, but any call to the closure bound to **`odd`** above will "do what we expect"

- Does not matter if we shadow **`even`** or not

```
(* does not change odd - too bad; this would
   improve it *)
fun even x = (x mod 2)=0
```

```
(* does not change odd - good thing; this would
   break it *)
fun even x = false
```

A simple example, part 2

In Ruby (and other OOP languages), subclasses can change the behavior of methods they do not override

```
class A
  def even x
    if x==0 then true  else odd  (x-1) end
  end
  def odd x
    if x==0 then false else even (x-1) end
  end
end
class B < A  # improves odd in B objects
  def even x ; x % 2 == 0 end
end
class C < A  # breaks odd in C objects
  def even x ; false end
end
```

The OOP trade-off

Any method that makes calls to overridable methods can have its behavior changed in subclasses even if it is not overridden

- Maybe on purpose, maybe by mistake
- Observable behavior includes calls-to-overridable methods

- So *harder* to reason about “the code you're looking at”
  - Can avoid by disallowing overriding
    - “private” or “final” methods

- So *easier* for subclasses to affect behavior without copying code
  - Provided method in superclass is not modified later

Manual dynamic dispatch

Now: Write Racket code with little more than pairs and functions that *acts like* objects with dynamic dispatch

Why do this?

  – (Racket actually has classes and objects available)

- Demonstrates how one language's *semantics* is an idiom in another language
- Understand dynamic dispatch better by coding it up
  – Roughly how an interpreter/compiler might

Analogy: Earlier optional material encoding higher-order functions using objects and explicit environments

Our approach

Many ways to do it; our code does this:

- An "object" has a list of field pairs and a list of method pairs

```
(struct obj (fields methods))
```

- Field-list element example:

```
(mcons 'x 17)
```

- Method-list element example:

```
(cons 'get-x (lambda (self args) …))
```

Notes:

- Lists sufficient but not efficient
- Not class-based: object has a list of methods, not a class that has a list of methods [could do it that way instead]
- Key trick is lambdas taking an extra **`self`** argument
  - All "regular" arguments put in a list **`args`** for simplicity

A point object bound to **x**



Key helper functions

Now define plain Racket functions to get field, set field, call method

```
(define (assoc-m v xs)
   …) ; assoc for list of mutable pairs

(define (get obj fld)
   (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))]))
      (if pr (mcdr pr) (error …))))

(define (set obj fld v)
   (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))]))
      (if pr (set-mcdr! pr v) (error …))))

(define (send obj msg . args)
   (let ([pr (assoc msg (obj-methods obj))]))
      (if pr ((cdr pr) obj args) (error …))))
```

(send x 'distToOrigin)



Evaluate body of
λ(self args)...
with self bound to
entire object
(and args bound to '())

Constructing points

- Plain-old Racket function can take initial field values and build a point object
  - Use functions **get**, **set**, and **send** on result and in “methods”
  - Call to self: **(send self 'm …)**
  - Method arguments in **args** list

```
(define (make-point _x _y)
  (obj
    (list (mcons 'x _x)
          (mcons 'y _y))
    (list (cons 'get-x (λ(self args)(get self 'x)))
          (cons 'get-y (λ(self args)(get self 'y)))
          (cons 'set-x (λ(self args)(…)))
          (cons 'set-y (λ(self args)(…)))
          (cons 'distToOrigin (λ(self args)(…))))))
```

“Subclassing”

- Can use **`make-point`** to write **`make-color-point`** or **`make-polar-point`** functions (see code)

- Build a new object using fields and methods from “super” “constructor”
  - Add new or overriding methods to the *beginning* of the list
    - **`send`** will find the first matching method
  - Since **`send`** passes the entire receiver for **`self`**, dynamic dispatch works as desired

Why not ML?

- We were wise not to try this in ML!
- ML's type system does not have subtyping for declaring a polar-point type that "is also a" point type
  – Workarounds possible (e.g., one type for all objects)
  – Still no good type for those **`self`** arguments to functions
    - Need quite sophisticated type systems to support dynamic dispatch if it is not *built into the language*
- In fairness, languages with subtyping but not generics make it analogously awkward to write generic code