

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 2 Functions, Pairs, Lists

Dan Grossman
Autumn 2017

Function definitions

Functions: the most important building block in the whole course

- Like Java methods, have arguments and result
- But no classes, `this`, `return`, etc.

Example *function binding*:

```
(* Note: correct only if y>=0 *)  
  
fun pow (x : int, y : int) =  
  if y=0  
  then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

Note: The *body* includes a (recursive) *function call*: `pow(x,y-1)`

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Example, extended

```
fun pow (x : int, y : int) =  
  if y=0  
  then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)  
  
fun cube (x : int) =  
  pow (x,3)  
  
val sixtyfour = cube 4  
  
val fortytwo = pow(2,2+2) + pow(4,2) + cube(2) + 2
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

Some gotchas

Three common “gotchas”

- Bad error messages if you mess up function-argument syntax
- The use of `*` in type syntax is not multiplication
 - Example: `int * int -> int`
 - In expressions, `*` is multiplication: `x * pow(x,y-1)`
- Cannot refer to later function bindings
 - That’s simply ML’s rule
 - Helper functions must come before their uses
 - Need special construct for *mutual recursion* (later)

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

4

Recursion

- If you’re not yet comfortable with recursion, you will be soon ☺
 - Will use for most functions taking or returning lists
- “Makes sense” because calls to same function solve “simpler” problems
- Recursion more powerful than loops
 - We won’t use a single loop in ML
 - Loops often (not always) obscure simple, elegant solutions

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

5

Function bindings: 3 questions

- Syntax: `fun x0 (x1 : t1, ..., xn : tn) = e`
 - (Will generalize in later lecture)
- Evaluation: **A function is a value!** (No evaluation yet)
 - Adds `x0` to environment so *later* expressions can *call* it
 - (Function-call semantics will also allow recursion)
- Type-checking:
 - Adds binding `x0 : (t1 * ... * tn) -> t` if:
 - Can type-check body `e` to have type `t` in the static environment containing:
 - “Enclosing” static environment (earlier bindings)
 - `x1 : t1, ..., xn : tn` (arguments with their types)
 - `x0 : (t1 * ... * tn) -> t` (for recursion)

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

6

More on type-checking

```
fun x0 (x1 : t1, ... , xn : tn) = e
```

- New kind of type: $(t_1 * \dots * t_n) \rightarrow t$
 - Result type on right
 - The overall type-checking result is to give x_0 this type in rest of program (unlike Java, not for earlier bindings)
 - Arguments can be used only in e (unsurprising)
- Because evaluation of a call to x_0 will return result of evaluating e , the return type of x_0 is the type of e
- The type-checker “magically” figures out t if such a t exists
 - Later lecture: Requires some cleverness due to recursion
 - More magic after hw1: Later can omit argument types too

Function Calls

A new kind of expression: 3 questions

Syntax: $e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$

- (Will generalize later)
- Parentheses optional if there is exactly one argument

Type-checking:

If:

- e_0 has some type $(t_1 * \dots * t_n) \rightarrow t$
- e_1 has type t_1 , ..., e_n has type t_n

Then:

- $e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ has type t

Example: $\text{pow}(x, y-1)$ in previous example has type int

Function-calls continued

```
e0(e1, ..., en)
```

Evaluation:

1. (Under current dynamic environment,) evaluate e_0 to a function $\text{fun } x_0 (x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n) = e$
 - Since call type-checked, result *will be* a function
2. (Under current dynamic environment,) evaluate arguments to values v_1, \dots, v_n
3. Result is evaluation of e in an environment extended to map x_1 to v_1, \dots, x_n to v_n
 - (“An environment” is actually the environment where the function was defined, and includes x_0 for recursion)

Tuples and lists

So far: numbers, booleans, conditionals, variables, functions

- Now ways to build up data with multiple parts
- This is essential
- Java examples: classes with fields, arrays

Now:

- *Tuples*: fixed “number of pieces” that may have different types

Then:

- *Lists*: any “number of pieces” that all have the same type

Later:

- Other more general ways to create compound data

Pairs (2-tuples)

Need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Build:

- Syntax: (e_1, e_2)
- Evaluation: Evaluate e_1 to v_1 and e_2 to v_2 ; result is (v_1, v_2)
 - A pair of values is a value
- Type-checking: If e_1 has type t_a and e_2 has type t_b , then the pair expression has type $t_a * t_b$
 - A new kind of type

Pairs (2-tuples)

Need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Access:

- Syntax: $\#1 e$ and $\#2 e$
- Evaluation: Evaluate e to a pair of values and return first or second piece
 - Example: If e is a variable x , then look up x in environment
- Type-checking: If e has type $t_a * t_b$, then $\#1 e$ has type t_a and $\#2 e$ has type t_b

Examples

Functions can take and return pairs

```
fun swap (pr : int*bool) =
  (#2 pr, #1 pr)

fun sum_two_pairs (pr1 : int*int, pr2 : int*int) =
  (#1 pr1) + (#2 pr1) + (#1 pr2) + (#2 pr2)

fun div_mod (x : int, y : int) =
  (x div y, x mod y)

fun sort_pair (pr : int*int) =
  if (#1 pr) < (#2 pr)
  then pr
  else (#2 pr, #1 pr)
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Tuples

Actually, you can have *tuples* with more than two parts

- A new feature: a generalization of pairs

- (e1, e2, ..., en)
- ta * tb * ... * tn
- #1 e, #2 e, #3 e, ...

Homework 1 uses triples of type `int*int*int` a lot

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

Nesting

Pairs and tuples can be nested however you want

- Not a new feature: implied by the syntax and semantics

```
val x1 = (7, (true, 9)) (* int * (bool*int) *)
val x2 = #1 (#2 x1)    (* bool *)
val x3 = (#2 x1)      (* bool*int *)
val x4 = ((3, 5), ((4, 8), (0, 0)))
              (* (int*int)*((int*int)*(int*int)) *)
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

Lists

- Despite nested tuples, the type of a variable still “commits” to a particular “amount” of data

In contrast, a list:

- Can have any number of elements
- But all list elements have the same type

Need ways to *build* lists and *access* the pieces...

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

16

Building Lists

- The empty list is a value:

```
[ ]
```

- In general, a list of values is a value; elements separated by commas:

```
[v1, v2, ..., vn]
```

- If `e1` evaluates to `v` and `e2` evaluates to a list `[v1, ..., vn]`, then `e1::e2` evaluates to `[v, ..., vn]`

```
e1::e2 (* pronounced "cons" *)
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

17

Accessing Lists

Until we learn pattern-matching, we will use three standard-library functions

- `null e` evaluates to `true` if and only if `e` evaluates to `[]`
- If `e` evaluates to `[v1, v2, ..., vn]` then `hd e` evaluates to `v1`
 - (raise exception if `e` evaluates to `[]`)
- If `e` evaluates to `[v1, v2, ..., vn]` then `tl e` evaluates to `[v2, ..., vn]`
 - (raise exception if `e` evaluates to `[]`)
 - Notice result is a list

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

18

Type-checking list operations

Lots of new types: For any type `t`, the type `t list` describes lists where all elements have type `t`

– Examples: `int list` `bool list` `int list list`
`(int * int) list` `(int list * int) list`

- So `[]` can have type `t list` for *any* type
 - SML uses type `'a list` to indicate this (“quote a” or “alpha”)
- For `e1::e2` to type-check, we need a `t` such that `e1` has type `t` and `e2` has type `t list`. Then the result type is `t list`
- `null : 'a list -> bool`
- `hd : 'a list -> 'a`
- `tl : 'a list -> 'a list`

Example list functions

```
fun sum_list (xs : int list) =
  if null xs
  then 0
  else hd(xs) + sum_list(tl(xs))

fun countdown (x : int) =
  if x=0
  then []
  else x :: countdown (x-1)

fun append (xs : int list, ys : int list) =
  if null xs
  then ys
  else hd (xs) :: append (tl(xs), ys)
```

Recursion again

Functions over lists are usually recursive

– Only way to “get to all the elements”

- What should the answer be for the empty list?
- What should the answer be for a non-empty list?
 - Typically in terms of the answer for the tail of the list!

Similarly, functions that produce lists of potentially any size will be recursive

– You create a list out of smaller lists

Lists of pairs

Processing lists of pairs requires no new features. Examples:

```
fun sum_pair_list (xs : (int*int) list) =
  if null xs
  then 0
  else #1(hd xs) + #2(hd xs) + sum_pair_list(tl xs)

fun firsts (xs : (int*int) list) =
  if null xs
  then []
  else #1(hd xs) :: firsts(tl xs)

fun seconds (xs : (int*int) list) =
  if null xs
  then []
  else #2(hd xs) :: seconds(tl xs)

fun sum_pair_list2 (xs : (int*int) list) =
  (sum_list (firsts xs)) + (sum_list (seconds xs))
```