

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**CSE341, Winter 2013, Midterm Examination  
February 8, 2013**

**Please do not turn the page until 12:30.**

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- **Please stop promptly at 1:20.**
- You can rip apart the pages, but please staple them back together before you leave.
- There are **100 points** total, distributed **unevenly** among **5** questions (all with multiple parts).
- When writing code, style matters, but don't worry much about indentation.

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. **Skip around.** Make sure you get to all the problems.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. This problem uses this datatype binding, where a value of type `points` describes a set of points on the plane, i.e., a 2-D plot with an  $x$ -axis and a  $y$ -axis.

```
datatype points = Point of real * real
                | Seg of real * real * real * real
                | Union of points * points
                | Shift of points * real * real
```

- `Point(x,y)` represents the point  $(x,y)$ .
- `Seg(x1,y1,x2,y2)` represents all points on the line segment with endpoints  $(x1,y1)$  and  $(x2,y2)$ .
- `Union(s1,s2)` represents all points represented by `s1` unioned with all points represented by `s2`.
- `Shift(s,dx,dy)` represents the points represented by `s` after shifting them to the right by `dx` and up by `dy`.

Note: we did not use type `real` much in class, but you can use arithmetic operations (e.g., `+`) and comparison operations (e.g., `>`) as expected.

- (a) (12 points) Write an ML function `rightmost` of type `points -> real * real` such that `rightmost s` returns the point in the set represented by `s` with the largest  $x$ -coordinate. (You can resolve ties however you wish.) Notice the result type is `real * real`, the  $x$ -coordinate and  $y$ -coordinate.
- (b) (12 points) Write an ML function `max_shifts` of type `points -> int` that given `s` computes the maximum number of shifts that apply to a single “point” or “segment” in `s`. Note this is *not* necessarily the number of `Shift` constructors in `s`. For example, the correct answer for

```
Union(Shift(Point(0.0,0.0),1.0,1.0),
      Shift(Union(Shift(Point(2.0,2.0),1.0,1.0),
                  Shift(Shift(Seg(3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0),7.0,8.0),9.0,10.0)),
            20.0,75.0))
```

is 3 because the one segment is under three `Shift` constructors, including the one outside the nested `Union`.

**Solution:**

See next page

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*More room for Problem 1 in case you need it*

**Solution:**

```
(a) fun rightmost s =
  case s of
    Point p => p
  | Seg(x1,y1,x2,y2) => if x1 > x2 then (x1,y1) else (x2,y2)
  | Union(s1,s2) =>
    let
      val (x1,y1) = rightmost s1
      val (x2,y2) = rightmost s2
    in
      if x1 > x2 then (x1,y1) else (x2,y2)
    end
  | Shift(s1,dx,dy) =>
    let
      val (x1,y1) = rightmost s1
    in
      (x1+dx,y1+dy)
    end
  end
```

```
(b) fun max_shifts s =
  case s of
    Point _ => 0
  | Seg _ => 0
  | Union(s1,s2) => Int.max(max_shifts s1, max_shifts s2)
  | Shift(s,_,_) => 1 + max_shifts s
```

You can also implement the Union case without using the standard library with:

```
let
  val i1 = max_shifts s1
  val i2 = max_shifts s2
in
  if i1 > i2 then i1 else i2
end
```

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

2. This problem uses these two similar but different functions:

```
fun f1 (xs,ys) =  
  case (xs,ys) of  
    ([], []) => []  
  | (x::xs', y::ys') => (x,y)::(f1(xs',ys'))  
  | (x::xs', []) => []  
  | ([], y::ys') => []
```

```
fun f2 (xs,ys) =  
  case (xs,ys) of  
    ([], []) => []  
  | (x::xs', y::ys') => (x,y)::(f2(xs',ys'))  
  | (x::xs', []) => (x,0)::(f2(xs',[]))  
  | ([], y::ys') => (0,y)::(f2([],ys'))
```

(a) (5 points) Fill in the blanks so that c1 and d1 are both bound to [(2,2),(1,1),(0,0)]

```
val a1 = _____
```

```
val b1 = _____
```

```
val c1 = f1(a1,b1)
```

```
val d1 = f2(a1,b1)
```

(b) (5 points) Fill in the blanks so that d2 but not c2 is bound to [(2,2),(1,1),(0,0)]

```
val a2 = _____
```

```
val b2 = _____
```

```
val c2 = f1(a2,b2)
```

```
val d2 = f2(a2,b2)
```

(c) (5 points) Fill in the blanks so that c3 but not d3 is bound to [(2,2),(1,1),(0,0)]

```
val a3 = _____
```

```
val b3 = _____
```

```
val c3 = f1(a3,b3)
```

```
val d3 = f2(a3,b3)
```

**Solution:**

(a) a1 and b1 must both be [2,1,0].

(b) One of a2 and b2 must be [2,1,0] and the other must be [2,1].

(c) One of a3 and b3 must be [2,1,0] and the other must have at least 4 elements and start with [2,1,0].

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

3. For each of the following programs, give the value that `ans` is bound to after evaluation:

(a) (4 points)

```
val x = 1
fun f y =
  let
    val x = y + 1
    val y = x + 1
  in
    y + 1
  end
val z = f 4
fun f x = x
val ans = z
```

(b) (4 points)

```
val x = 1
val y = 2
fun f (g,h) = g x + h y
val x = 3
val y = 4
val ans = f ((fn z => x), (fn z => z))
```

(c) (4 points)

```
exception E
val x = 1
fun f x = if x=2 then raise E else 14
val x = 2
val ans = ((f x) + 4) handle E => 9
```

(d) (4 points)

```
val z = 2
val f = (fn x => x + 1) o (fn y => if y=z then 4 else y)
val z = 3
val ans = List.map f [1,2,3,4,5]
```

**Solution:**

(a) 7

(b) 5

(c) 9

(d) [2,5,4,5,6]

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

4. (a) (10 points) Without using any helper functions (such as `foldl`), write an ML function `in_order` that behaves as follows:
- It takes two arguments *in curried form*: (1) a function `f` that given a list element produces an integer and (2) a list `xs`.
  - It returns true if and only if for all elements of `xs`, `f` applied to the element returns a number less than or equal to `f` applied to any later elements of the list. (This means the result is true for any list with fewer than two elements.)
- (b) (6 points) Using `in_order`, write a function `shorter_strings` that takes a list of strings and returns true if and only if each string in the list is *longer* than the strings that come later in the list. Hint: You can use ML's `~` operator for negation.
- (c) (4 points) What is the type of `in_order`?
- (d) (2 points) What is the type of `shorter_strings`?
- (e) (4 points) When your solution to part (a) is given a list `xs` of length  $n$ , how many times is the function passed for `f` called before `in_order` returns?
- (f) (3 points) Suppose another student has a different answer to part (e) and you are both correct because you have different correct answers to part (a). Are your solutions to part (a) *equivalent*? Explain briefly.

**Solution:**

- (a) This solution is probably the easiest, but arguably not as good as one that calls `f` once for each list element.

```
fun in_order f xs =
  case xs of
    [] => true
  | [_] => true
  | head::neck::tail => f head <= f neck andalso in_order f (neck::tail)
```

- (b) *This question was badly worded: It should have said longer or the same length as, but almost everyone attempted it as intended.*

```
val shorter_strings = in_order (fn s => ~ (String.size s))
```

- (c) `('a -> int) -> 'a list -> bool`

- (d) `string list -> bool`

- (e) *This question was not worded well. We meant to ask the number of times called when `in_order` returns true. Most people answered it that way.* For the intended question and the answer to part (a) above,  $2n - 2$ , but it depends on how part (a) is written.

- (f) No, because if `f` has any side-effects (e.g., printing or assigning to mutable data), then the two functions could behave differently. But if `f` is a “pure function” then the answer is yes.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

5. In this problem, suppose we have an ML structure  $M$  and signature  $S$  in this standard usage:

```
signature S =  
sig  
  ...  
end  
structure M :> S =  
struct  
  ...  
end
```

Assume everything type-checks initially, meaning  $M$  matches  $S$ . For each of the following statements, answer “always,” “sometimes,” or “never.”

(16 points) (2 points each)

- (a) If  $S$  originally contains `val f : int -> int` and we comment out this line, then  $M$  will still match  $S$ .
- (b) If  $S$  originally contains `val f : int -> int` and we comment out this line, then a client of  $M$  will still type-check.
- (c) If  $S$  originally does *not* contain `val g : string -> string` and we add it to  $S$ , then  $M$  will still match  $S$ .
- (d) If  $S$  originally does *not* contain `val g : string -> string` and we add it to  $S$ , then a client of  $M$  will still type-check.
- (e) If  $S$  originally contains an abstract type `type t` and we replace this line with `datatype t = Foo of int | Bar of bool`, then  $M$  will still match  $S$ .
- (f) If  $S$  originally contains an abstract type `type t` and we replace this line with `datatype t = Foo of int | Bar of bool`, then a client of  $M$  will still type-check.
- (g) If  $S$  originally contains the line `datatype t = Foo of int | Bar of bool`, and we replace this line with `type t`, then  $M$  will still match  $S$ .
- (h) If  $S$  originally contains the line `datatype t = Foo of int | Bar of bool`, and we replace this line with `type t`, then a client of  $M$  will still type-check.

**Solution:**

Explanations were not required, but are included here

- (a) Always: If  $M$  matches everything in  $S$ , it will still match with one less variable binding.
- (b) Sometimes: A client will type-check if and only if it was not using  $M.f$ .
- (c) Sometimes: It will match if and only if defines a function  $g$  with a type equal or more general than `string->string`.
- (d) Always: Providing another function outside the module cannot cause code not to type-check – it just was not using this feature before. (Will also accept answer Sometimes if justified in terms of the open construct and shadowing.)
- (e) Sometimes: It will match if and only if its internal definition of type  $t$  is this datatype binding.
- (f) Always: The client type-checked without knowing the representation of  $M.t$ , so it will still type-check without using this extra knowledge.
- (g) Always: We can take any type we were exposing concretely and hide it via a signature.
- (h) Sometimes: A client will type-check if and only if it was not using any of  $t$ 's constructors – either as functions or as patterns.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*More room in case you need it.*