CSE 341: Programming Languages

Winter 2015 Racket — Macros

CSE 341 Winter 2015, Racket

1

CSE 341 Winter 2015, Racket

<u>Macros</u>

To oversimplify, a macro is just a rule for rewriting programs as a prepass to evaluation. So it's very syntactic.

The "level" at which macros are defined affects their usefulness.

- "Sublexical" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn cart into hdt.
 - No macro system does this; so macro-expander must know how to break programs into tokens.
- "Pre-parsing" e.g.: Replace add(x,y) with x + y (where x and y stand for expressions) would turn add(x,y) * z into x + y * z.
 - Some macro systems are this "dumb" (i.e., token-based); macro writers use more parens than Racketeers.
- "Pre-binding" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn (let* ([hd 0] [car 1]) hd) into (let* ([hd 0] [hd 1]) hd).
 - Few macro systems let bindings shadow macros; Racket does

The bad news

Topics

• Macros are very hard to use well.

What are macros and what do they mean?
Why do they have a bad reputation?

- Why hygiene is usually what you want

What macros are good and not good for

Racket's macro system and hygiene

Free variables in macros
Bound variables in macros

- Most macro systems are so impoverished they make it harder.
- Actual uses of macros often used to ameliorate shortcomings in the underlying language.

But:

- Macros have some good uses
- Racket has a very sensible, integrated macro system
- So let's do macros justice for the day.

Hygiene

- A "hygienic" macro system:
 - Gives fresh names to local variables in macros *at each use* of the macro
 - Binds free variables in macros where the macro is defined

Without hygiene, macro programmers:

- Get very creative with local-variable names
- Get creative with helper-function names too
- Try to avoid local variables, which conflicts with predictable effects

Why macros

Non-reasons:

- Anything where an ordinary binding would work just as well.
- Including manual control of inlining.

Reasons:

- Cosmetics
- "Compiling" a domain-specific language
 - $-\,$ But error messages a tough issue
- Changing evaluation-order rules
 - $-\,$ Function application will not do here
- Introducing binding constructs
 - A function here makes no sense

CSE 341 Winter 2015, Racket

5

CSE 341 Winter 2015, Racket