



CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 10 References, Polymorphic Datatypes, the Value Restriction, Type Inference

Ben Wood, filling in for Dan Grossman
Fall 2011

Callbacks

A common idiom: Library takes functions to apply later, when an *event* occurs – examples:

- When a key is pressed, mouse moves, data arrives
- When the program enters some state (e.g., turns in a game)

A library may accept multiple callbacks

- Different callbacks may need different private data with different types
- Fortunately, a function's type does not include the types of bindings in its environment
- (In OOP, objects and private fields are used similarly, e.g., Java Swing's event-listeners)

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Mutable state

While it's not absolutely necessary, mutable state is reasonably appropriate here

- We really do want the “callbacks registered” and “events that have been delivered” to *change* due to function calls

For the reasons we have discussed, ML variables really are immutable, but there are mutable references (use sparingly)

- New types: τ **ref** where τ is a type
- New expressions:
 - **ref** *e* to create a reference with initial contents *e*
 - **e1** := **e2** to update contents
 - **!e** to retrieve contents (not negation)

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

References example

```

val x = ref 42
val y = ref 42
val z = x
val _ = x := 43
val w = (!y) + (!z) (* 85 *)
(* x + 1 does not type-check)

```

- A variable bound to a reference (e.g., **x**) is still immutable: it will always refer to the same reference
- But the contents of the reference may change via :=
- And there may be aliases to the reference, which matter a lot
- Reference are first-class values
- Like a one-field mutable object, so := and ! don't specify the field

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

4

Example call-back library

Library maintains mutable state for “what callbacks are there” and provides a function for accepting new ones

- A real library would support removing them, etc.
- In example, callbacks have type **int->unit** (executed for side-effect)

So the entire public library interface would be the function for registering new callbacks:

```
val onKeyEvent : (int -> unit) -> unit
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

5

Library implementation

```

val cbs : (int -> unit) list ref = ref []

fun onKeyEvent f = cbs := f :: (!cbs)

fun onEvent i =
  let fun loop fs =
        case fs of
          [] => ()
        | f::fs' => (f i; loop fs')
      in loop (!cbs) end

```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

6

Clients

Can only register an `int` \rightarrow `unit`, so if any other data is needed, must be in closure's environment

- And if need to "remember" something, need mutable state

Examples:

```
val timesPressed = ref 0
val _ = onKeyEvent (fn _ =>
    timesPressed := (!timesPressed) + 1)

fun printIfPressed i =
  onKeyEvent (fn j =>
    if i=j
    then print ("pressed " ^ Int.toString i)
    else ())
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

7

More about types

- Polymorphic datatypes, type constructors
- Why do we need the Value Restriction?
- Type inference: behind the curtain

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

8

Polymorphic Datatypes

```
datatype int_list =
  EmptyList
  | Cons of int * int_list

datatype 'a non_mt_list =
  One of 'a
  | More of 'a * ('a non_mt_list)

datatype ('a,'b) tree =
  Leaf of 'a
  | Node of 'b * ('a,'b) tree * ('a,'b) tree

val t1 = Node("hi",Leaf 4,Leaf 8)
        (* (int,string) tree *)
val t2 = Node("hi",Leaf true,Leaf 8)
        (* does not typecheck *)
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

9

Polymorphic Datatypes

```
datatype 'a list = [] | :: of 'a * ('a list)
                (* if this were valid syntax *)

datatype 'a option = NONE | SOME of 'a
```

- `list`, `tree`, etc. are **not types**; they are **type constructors**
- `int list`, `(string,real) tree`, etc. are **types**.
- Pattern-matching works on all datatypes.

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

The Value Restriction Appears ☹

If you use partial application to create a polymorphic function, it may not work due to the [value restriction](#)

- Warning about "type vars not generalized"
 - And won't let you call the function
- This should surprise you; you did nothing wrong ☺ but you still must change your code
- See the written lecture summary about how to work around this wart (and ignore the issue until it arises)
- The wart is there for good reasons, related to mutation and not breaking the type system

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

11

Purpose of the Value Restriction

```
val xs = ref []
        (* xs : 'a list ref *)
val _ = xs := ["hi"]
        (* instantiate 'a with string *)
val y = 1 + (hd (!xs))
        (* BAD: instantiate 'a with int *)
```

- A binding is only allowed to be polymorphic if the right-hand side is:
 - a variable; or
 - a value (including function definitions, constructors, etc.)
- `ref []` is not a value, so we can only give it non-polymorphic types such as `int list ref` or `string list ref`, but not `'a list ref`.

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

12

Downside of the Value Restriction

```
val pr_list = List.map (fn x => (x,x)) (* X *)
val pr_list : int list -> (int*int) list =
  List.map (fn x => (x,x))
val pr_list =
  fn lst => List.map (fn x => (x,x)) lst
fun pr_list lst = List.map (fn x => (x,x)) lst
```

- The SML type checker does not know if the 'a list type uses references internally, so it has to be *conservative* and assume it could.
- In practice, this means we need to be more explicit about partial application of polymorphic functions.

Type inference: sum

```
fun sum xs =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | x::xs' => x + (sum xs')
```

```
sum : t1 -> t2          t1 = t5 list
xs : t1                t2 = int
x : t3                 t3 = t5
xs' : t4                t4 = t5 list
                       t3 = int
                       t1 = t4
```

Type inference: sum

```
fun sum xs =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | x::xs' => x + (sum xs')
```

```
sum : t1 -> int          t1 = int list
xs : t1                 t2 = int
x : int                 int = t5
xs' : t1                t1 = t5 list
                       t3 = int
                       t1 = t4
```

Type inference: sum

```
fun sum xs =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | x::xs' => x + (sum xs')
```

```
sum : int list -> int   t1 = int list
xs : int list          t2 = int
x : int                 int = t5
xs' : int list         t1 = t5 list
                       t3 = int
                       t1 = t4
```

Type inference: length

```
fun length xs =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | _::xs' => 1 + (length xs')
```

```
length : t1 -> t2       t1 = t4 list
xs : t1                 t2 = int
xs' : t3                 t3 = t4 list
                       t1 = t3
```

Type inference: length

```
fun length xs =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | _::xs' => 1 + (length xs')
```

```
length : t1 -> int      t1 = t4 list
xs : t1                 t2 = int
xs' : t1                 t1 = t4 list
                       t1 = t3
```

Type inference: length

```
fun length xs =  
  case xs of  
    [] => 0  
  | _::xs' => 1 + (length xs')
```

```
length : 'a list -> int  
xs : t4 list -> int  
xs' : t4 list  
t1 = t4 list  
t2 = int  
t1 = t4 list  
t1 = t3
```

length works no matter what 'a is.

Type inference: compose

```
fun compose (f,g) = fn x => f (g x)
```

```
compose : t1 * t2 -> t3  
f : t1  
g : t2  
x : t4  
t3 = t4 -> t5  
t2 = t4 -> t6  
t1 = t6 -> t7  
t5 = t7
```

Type inference: compose

```
fun compose (f,g) = fn x => f (g x)
```

```
compose : (t6 -> t5) * (t4 -> t6) -> (t4 -> t5)  
f : t6 -> t5  
g : t4 -> t6  
x : t4 -> t5  
t3 = t4 -> t5  
t2 = t4 -> t6  
t1 = t6 -> t5  
t5 = t7
```

Type inference: compose

```
fun compose (f,g) = fn x => f (g x)
```

```
compose : ('a -> 'b) * ('c -> 'a) -> ('c -> 'b)  
f : t6 -> t5  
g : t4 -> t6  
x : t4 -> t5  
t3 = t4 -> t5  
t2 = t4 -> t6  
t1 = t6 -> t5  
t5 = t7  
compose : ('b -> 'c) * ('a -> 'b) -> ('a -> 'c)
```

Type inference: broken sum

```
fun sum xs =  
  case xs of  
    [] => 0  
  | x::xs' => x + (sum x)
```

```
sum : t1 -> t2  
xs : t1  
x : t3  
xs' : t4  
t1 = t5 list  
t2 = int  
t3 = t5  
t4 = t5 list  
t3 = int  
t1 = t3
```

Type inference: sum

```
fun sum xs =  
  case xs of  
    [] => 0  
  | x::xs' => x + (sum x)
```

```
sum : int -> int  
xs : int  
x : int  
xs' : int list  
int = int list  
t2 = int  
int = t5  
t4 = t5 list  
t1 = int  
t1 = t3
```

Parting comments on ML type inference

- You almost never have to write types in ML (even on parameters), with some minor caveats.
- Hindley-Milner type inference algorithm
- ML has no subtyping. If it did, the equality constraints we used for inference would be overly restrictive.
- Type variables and inference are not tied to each. Some languages have one without the other.
 - Type variables alone allow convenient code reuse.
 - Without type variables, we cannot give a type to compose until we see it used.