

## **The CLP Operational Model**

Adapted from the model presented in Kim Marriott and Peter Stuckey, *Programming with Constraints: An Introduction*

## Terminology

A *user-defined constraint* is of the form  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  where  $p$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are expressions from the constraint domain.

A *literal* is either a primitive constraint or a user-defined constraint.

A *goal* is a sequence of literals  $L_1, \dots, L_m$ . If  $m = 0$  the goal is *empty* and is written  $\square$ .

A *rule*  $R$  is of the form  $A :- B$  where  $A$  is a user-defined constraint and  $B$  is a goal.  $A$  is the *head* of  $R$  and  $B$  is the *body*.

A *fact* is a rule with the empty goal as its body:  $A :- \square$  and is simply written as  $A$ .

A *program* is a sequence of rules.

## Rewritings

Let goal  $G$  be of the form

$$L_1, \dots, L_{i-1}, L_i, L_{i+1}, \dots, L_m$$

where  $L_i$  is a user-defined constraint  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ .

Let rule  $R$  be of the form  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n) :- B$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a renaming (i.e. a mapping that replaces variables with new ones).

A *rewriting* of  $G$  at  $L_i$  by  $R$  using  $\rho$  is the new goal formed from  $G$  by replacing  $L_i$  with

$$t_1 = \rho(s_1), \dots, t_n = \rho(s_n), \rho(B)$$

where  $\rho$  is chosen so that the variables in  $\rho(R)$  do not appear in  $G$ .

## Derivation Steps

A *state* is a pair  $\langle G \mid C \rangle$  where  $G$  is a goal and  $C$  is a constraint.  $C$  is called the *constraint store*.

A *derivation step* from  $\langle G_1 \mid C_1 \rangle$  to  $\langle G_2 \mid C_2 \rangle$  is written:

$$\langle G_1 \mid C_1 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle G_2 \mid C_2 \rangle$$

It is defined as follows.

Let  $G_1$  be the sequence of literals  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m$ .

Case 1:  $L_1$  is a primitive constraint. Then  $C_2$  is  $C_1 \wedge L_1$ . If the constraint solver determines that  $C_2$  is unsatisfiable, then  $G_2$  is the empty goal; otherwise  $G_2$  is  $L_2, \dots, L_m$ .

Case 2:  $L_1$  is a user-defined constraint. Let  $L_1$  have the form  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Select a rule  $R$  in program whose head is a literal  $p(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ . Then  $C_2$  is  $C_1$  and  $G_2$  is found by a rewriting of  $G$  at  $L_1$  using  $R$ . If there is no rule to use for the rewriting, then  $C_2$  is false and  $G_2$  is the empty goal.

## Derivations

A *derivation* for a goal  $G$  is a sequence of derivation steps starting with  $\langle G \mid \text{true} \rangle$ .

A derivation can continue until the goal becomes empty. A derivation that can no longer continue can be either successful or failed.

A derivation is successful if the last state is  $\langle \square \mid C_n \rangle$ , and the constraint solver doesn't determine that constraint  $C_n$  is unsatisfiable. The constraint that is the result of simplifying  $C_n$  with respect to the variables in  $G$  is an *answer* to  $G$ .

A derivation is failed if the last state is  $\langle \square \mid C_n \rangle$ , and the constraint solver determines that constraint  $C_n$  is unsatisfiable.

## Example Derivation

CLP( $\mathcal{R}$ ) program:

```
cf(C,F) :- F=1.8*C+32. /* rule R1 */  
double(X,Y) := Y=2*X. /* rule R2 */
```

Consider the goal  $cf(A, B), double(A, 200)$ .

$\langle cf(A, B), double(A, 200) \mid true \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

using R1:

$\langle A = C, B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32,$   
 $double(A, 200) \mid true \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$\langle B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32, \text{double}(A, 200) \mid A = C \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$\langle F = 1.8 * C + 32, \text{double}(A, 200) \mid A = C, B = F \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$\langle \text{double}(A, 200) \mid A = C, B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32 \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

using R2:

$\langle A = X, 200 = Y, Y = 2 * X \mid A = C, B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32 \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\langle 200 = Y, Y = 2 * X \mid A = C, B = F, \\ F = 1.8 * C + 32, A = X \rangle$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\langle Y = 2 * X \mid A = C, B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32, \\ A = X, 200 = Y \rangle,$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\langle \square \mid A = C, B = F, F = 1.8 * C + 32, \\ A = X, 200 = Y, Y = 2 * X \rangle$$

Simplifying with respect to the variables in  $G_0$  (namely  $A, B$ ) we get the answer  $A = 100, B = 212$ .

This is a successful derivation.



## Derivation Trees

There may be more than one derivation for a goal. The derivation tree contains all the derivations for a given goal  $G$ . The CLP system will in effect incrementally construct the derivation tree as it searches for an answer.

Definition: a *derivation tree* for a goal  $G$  and program  $P$  is a tree with states as nodes. The root is  $\langle G \mid true \rangle$ . The children of each state  $\langle G_i \mid C_i \rangle$  are the states that can be reached in a single derivation step. A state with two or more children is a *choicepoint*.

CLP(R) evaluates a goal by performing a depth-first, left-to-right traversal of the goal's derivation tree. Whenever a success state is encountered the system returns the corresponding answer. The user can accept the answer, or reject it (so that traversal continues).

## Simplified Derivation Trees

We can produce a *simplified derivation tree* by omitting uninteresting steps and simplifying the resulting constraints. A simplified derivation for a goal  $G$  includes the first and last states in the full derivation, and every state for which the first literal in the goal is a user-defined constraint.

Simplified derivation tree for the previous example:

$\langle cf(A, B), double(A, 200) \mid true \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$\langle double(A, 200) \mid B = 1.8 * A + 32 \rangle$

$\Rightarrow$

$\langle \square \mid A = 100, B = 212 \rangle$