

# What is a programming language?

- Here are separable concepts for defining and learning a language:
  - syntax: how do you write the various parts of the language?
  - semantics: what do programs mean? (One way to answer: what are the evaluation rules?)
  - idioms: how do you typically use the language to express computations?
  - libraries: does the language provide “standard” facilities such as file-access, hashtables, etc.? How?
  - tools: what is available for manipulating programs in the language? (e.g., compiler, debugger, REP-loop)

# ML basics

- A program is a sequence of *bindings*
- One kind of binding is a *variable binding*  

```
val x = e ;
```

 (semicolon optional in a file)
- A program is evaluated by evaluating the bindings in order
- A *variable binding* is evaluated by:
  - Evaluating the expression in the environment created by the previous bindings. This produces a *value*.
  - Extending the (top-level) environment to bind the variable to the value.
- Examples of values: 13, 4.8, true, “hello”, [3, 4, 5], (8, 8.2)

# Critical language concepts

- Expressions have a *syntax* (written form)
  - E.g.: A constant integer is written as a digit-sequence
  - E.g.: Addition expression is written  $e1 + e2$
- Expressions have *types* given their context
  - E.g.: In any context, an integer has type `int`
  - E.g.: If  $e1$  and  $e2$  have type `int` in the current context, then  $e1+e2$  has type `int`
- Expressions *evaluate* to values given their environment
  - E.g.: In any environment, an integer evaluates to itself
  - E.g.: If  $e1$  and  $e2$  evaluate to  $c1$  and  $c2$  in the current environment, then  $e1+e2$  evaluates to the sum of  $c1$  and  $c2$

# Function definitions

- A second kind of binding is for functions (like Java methods without fields, classes, statements, ...)
- Syntax:  $\text{fun } x_0 (x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n) = e$
- Typing rules:
  1. Context for  $e$  is (the function's context extended with)  $x_1:t_1, \dots, x_n:t_n$  *and* :
  2.  $x_0 : (t_1 * \dots * t_n) \rightarrow t$  where :
  3.  $e$  has type  $t$  in this context
- (This “definition” is circular because functions can call themselves and the type-checker “guessed”  $t$ .)
- (It turns out in ML there is always a “best guess” and the type-checker can always “make that guess”.)

# Function applications (aka calls)

- Syntax:  $e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  (parens optional for one argument)
- Typing rules (all in the application's context):
  1.  $e_0$  must have some type  $(t_1 * \dots * t_n) \rightarrow t$
  2.  $e_i$  must have type  $t_i$  (for  $i=1, \dots, i=n$ )
  3.  $e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  has type  $t$
- Evaluation rules:
  1.  $e_0$  evaluates to a function  $f$  in the application's environment
  2.  $e_i$  evaluates to value  $v_i$  in the application's environment
  3. result is  $f$ 's body evaluated in an environment extended to bind  $x_i$  to  $v_i$  (for  $i=1, \dots, i=n$ ).
- (“an environment” is actually the environment where  $f$  was defined)