

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**CSE 341, Fall 2004, Midquarter Examination  
1 November 2004**

**Please do not turn the page until everyone is ready.**

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- **Please stop promptly at 1:20.**
- You can rip apart the pages, but please write your name on each page.
- There are a total of **60 points**, distributed unevenly among 5 questions (each with multiple parts).
- When writing code, style matters, but don't worry about indentation.

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. **Skip around.**
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Consider this datatype for *non-empty* lists (but not built-in ML lists) of integers:

```
datatype t = One of int | More of int * t
```

- (a) (4 points) Write an ML function `length` that takes `t` and returns how many `int` values are in the `t`. Your solution must *not* be tail-recursive.

**Solution:**

```
fun length lst =  
  case lst of  
    One _ => 1  
  | More(_,m) => 1 + length m
```

- (b) (6 points) Write an ML function `rev_map` that takes 3 arguments (as a tuple): (1) A function `f` from integers to integers, (2) a `t` called `acc`, and (3) a `t` called `lst`. The function should return a `t` that is the result of reversing `lst`, applying `f` to every `int` to the reversed list, and appending that result to `acc`. For example,

```
rev_map ((fn x => x+1), (More (0, One(1))), (More(3, More(4, One(5)))))
```

should evaluate to:

```
More(6, More (5, More(4, More(0, One(1)))))
```

Implement `rev_map` as a *tail-recursive* function that uses no helper functions.

**Solution:**

```
fun rev_map (f,acc,lst) =  
  case lst of  
    One i => More(f i, acc)  
  | More(i,t1) => rev_map(f,More(f i, acc),t1)
```

- (c) (3 points) What is the type of `rev_map`?

**Solution:**

```
(int->int) * t * t -> t
```

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

2. For each of the following programs, give the value that `ans` is bound to after evaluation. Underlining is just to help you see the differences between problems.

(a) (3 points)

```
fun f x =  
  let val x = x + 1  
      val y = x + 1  
  in  
    y + 1  
  end  
val x = 1  
val ans = f x
```

**Solution:**

4

(b) (3 points)

```
fun f x =  
  let val y = x + 1  
      val x = x + 1  
  in  
    y + 1  
  end  
val x = 1  
val ans = f x
```

**Solution:**

3

(c) (3 points)

```
fun f (x,y) =  
  if x=10  
  then (fn x => x + y)  
  else (fn x => x - y)  
val x = f(3,4)  
val ans = x 10
```

**Solution:**

6

(d) (4 points)

```
fun f (x,y) =  
  (fn x => if x=10  
      then x + y  
      else x - y)  
val x = f(3,4)  
val ans = x 10
```

**Solution:**

14

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Consider this ML function:

```
fun someFun (f,g,start,stop) =  
  let fun loop n =  
        (n <= stop) andalso ((f (g n)) orelse (loop (n + 1)))  
  in  
    loop start  
  end
```

- (a) (5 points) Fill in the blanks to give the type of `someFun`.  
Hint: The solution has one type variable, which appears twice.

```
( _____ *  
  _____ *  
  _____ *  
  _____ ) -> _____
```

**Solution:**

```
('a->bool)*(int->'a)*int*int->bool
```

- (b) (7 points) What does `someFun` compute? (Describe what it computes from a caller's perspective, not how `someFun` works. Start your answer with "someFun(`f,g,start,stop`) evaluates to \_\_\_\_\_ if and only if ...".)

**Solution:**

`someFun(f,g,start,stop)` evaluates to `true` if and only if there exists a number  $n$  between `start` and `stop` (inclusive) such that applying `f` composed with `g` to  $n$  evaluates to `true`.

- (c) (2 points) Fill in the blank so evaluating this programs produces `true`:

```
val x = _____  
someFun((fn z => z = 6), (fn y => x * y), x, (x + 1))
```

**Solution:**

2

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Each pair of expressions below is *not* totally contextually equivalent. Briefly explain why. (Underlining just emphasizes differences.)

(a) **(3 points)**

`let val x = 0 in x end`      and      `let val x = (f 3) - (f 3) in x end`

(b) **(4 points)**

`(fn x:int => fn z:int => x - y) y`      and      `(fn x:int => fn y:int => x - y) y`

**Solution:**

(a) Evaluating `(f 3)` might have an effect (infinite-loop, exception, I/O, etc.)

(b) The first expression evaluates to a function that always returns 0. The second expression evaluates to a function that subtracts its argument from y. For example, in an environment where y maps to 3, the first expression is equivalent to `(fn z => 0)` and the second expression is equivalent to `(fn y => 3 - y)`.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Consider this ML structure definition:

```
structure M :> MSIG =
struct
  type one_or_two = bool * int * int
  fun abs_val i = if i < 0 then ~i else i
  fun mkOne i = (false, (abs_val i), ~1)
  fun mkTwo (i,j) = (true, (abs_val i), (abs_val j))
  fun last (x : one_or_two) = if #1 x then #3 x else #2 x
end
```

- (a) (4 points) Why is the definition of type `one_or_two` bad style? Suggest a different way to program this idea that uses ML's features more appropriately. (Hint: We are asking about the type definition. The fact that `last` doesn't use pattern-matching is *not* the answer.)
- (b) For each of the following MSIG definitions, determine if a client can make a call to `last` evaluate to a negative number. **Explain briefly.**

i. (3 points)

```
signature MSIG =
sig
  type one_or_two = bool * int * int
  val mkOne : int -> one_or_two
  val mkTwo : int * int -> one_or_two
end
```

ii. (3 points)

```
signature MSIG =
sig
  type one_or_two = bool * int * int
  val mkTwo : int * int -> one_or_two
  val last : one_or_two -> int
end
```

iii. (3 points)

```
signature MSIG =
sig
  type one_or_two;
  val mkOne : int -> one_or_two
  val mkTwo : int * int -> one_or_two
  val last : one_or_two -> int
end
```

**Solution:**

- (a) Because `one_or_two` is a "one of" type, so a `datatype` binding, e.g., `datatype one_or_two = One of int | Two of int * int` is better style.
- (b) i. No, `last` is not in the signature; no client can cause `last` to be called with any arguments.
- ii. Yes, a client can just do `M.last(true,0,~1)`.
- iii. No, `one_or_two` is abstract, so any value of this type was produced by `mkOne` or `mkTwo`. Looking at these functions, that means either the `bool` is `false` and the second `int` is non-negative, or the third `int` is non-negative. In either case, `last` evaluates to a non-negative number.