CSE 341: Programming Languages

Winter 2006 Lecture 15— Macros

Today

- What are macros and what do they mean?
 - Why do they have a bad reputation?
- Scheme's macro system and hygiene
 - Free variables in macros
 - Bound variables in macros
 - Why hygiene is usually what you want
- What macros are good and not good for

Macros

To oversimplify, a macro is just a rule for rewriting programs as a prepass to evaluation. So it's very syntactic.

The "level" at which macros are defined affects their usefulness.

- "Sublexical" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn cart into hdt.
 - No macro system does this; so macro-expander must know how to break programs into tokens.
- "Pre-parsing" e.g.: Replace add(x,y) with x + y (where x and y stand for expressions) would turn add(x,y) * z into x + y * z.
 - Some macro systems are this "dumb" (i.e., token-based);
 macro writers use more parens than Schemers.
- "Pre-binding" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn (let* ((hd 0) (car 1)) hd) into (let* ((hd 0) (hd 1)) hd).
 - Few macro systems let bindings shadow macros; Scheme does

The bad news

- Macros are very hard to use well.
- Most macro systems are so impoverished they make it harder.
- Most actual uses of macros are making up for shortcomings in the underlying language.

But:

- Macros have some good uses
- Scheme has a very sensible, integrated macro system
- So let's "do macros justice" for the day.

Hygiene

A "hygienic" macro system:

- Gives fresh names to local variables in macros at each use of the macro
- Binds free variables in macros where the macro is defined

Without hygiene, macro programmers:

- Get very creative with local-variable names
- Get creative with helper-function names too
- Try to avoid local variables, which conflicts with predictable effects

Hygiene is a big idea for macros, but sometimes is not what you want.

Note: Letting variables shadow macros is also useful, but a separate issue.

Why macros

Non-reasons:

- Anything where an ordinary binding would work just as well.
- Including manual control of inlining.

Reasons:

- Cosmetics
- "Compiling" a domain-specific language
 - Error messages a tough issue though
- Changing evaluation-order rules
 - Function application will not do here
- Introducing binding constructs
 - A function here makes no sense