CSE 341: Programming Languages

Dan Grossman
Fall 2004
Lecture 8+— Function Closures

Today

- Continue examples of functions taking and returning other functions
- Discuss free variables in function bodies
- In general, discuss environments and lexical scope
- See key idioms using first-class functions

If you remember one thing...

We evaluate expressions in an evironment, and function bodies in an environment extended to map arguments to values.

But which one? The environment in which the function was defined! An equivalent description:

- Functions are values, but they're not just code.
- fun f p = e and fn p => e evaluate to values with two parts
 (a "pair"): the code and the current environment
- Function application calls the evaluates the "pair"'s function body in the "pair"'s environment (extended)
- This "pair" is called a (function) closure.

There are *lots* of good reasons for this semantics.

For hw, exams, and competent programming, you must "get this"!

Example 1

```
val x = 1
fun f y = x + y
val x = 2
val y = 3
f (x+y)
```

Example 2

```
val x = 1

fun f y = let val x = 2 in fn z \Rightarrow x + y + z end

val x = 3

val g = f 4

val y = 5

g 6
```

Example 3

```
fun f g = let val x = 3 in g 2 end val x = 4
fun h y = x + y
f h
```

Scope

A key language concept: how are user-defined things resolved?

We have seen that ML has *lexically scoped* variables?

Another (more-antiquated-for-variables, sometimes-useful) approach is dynamic scope

Example of dynamic scope: Exception handlers (where does raise transfer control?)

The more restrictive "no free variables" makes important idioms impossible.

Why lexical scope?

- 1. Functions can be reasoned about (defined, type-checked, etc.) where defined
- 2. Function meaning not related to choice of variable names
- 3. "Closing over" local variables creates private data; function definer knows function users do not depend on it

Example:

$$fun add_2x x = fn z \Rightarrow z + x + x$$

fun add_
$$2x x = let val y = x + x in fn z => z + y end$$

Key idioms with closures

- Create similar functions
- Pass functions with private data to iterators (map, fold, ...)
- Combine functions
- Provide an ADT
- As a *callback* without the "wrong side" specifying the environment.
- Partially apply functions ("currying")

Create similar functions

```
val addn = fn n => fn m => n+m
val increment = addn 1
val add_two = addn 2
fun f n =
   if n=0
   then []
   else (addn n)::(f (n-1))
```

Private data, for map/fold

On Wednesday we saw map, this fold function is even more useful:

```
fun fold (f,acc,l) =
  case 1 of
    Π
            => acc
  | hd::tl => fold (f, f(acc,hd), tl)
Example uses (without using private data):
fun f1 l = fold ((fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y), 0, 1)
fun f2 l = fold ((fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x and also y >= 0), true, l)
Example use (with private data):
fun f3 (1,lo,hi) =
  fold ((fn (x,y) =>
           if y \ge 10 and also y \le 10 then x+1 else x,
          0.1)
```

More on fold and private data

Another more general example:

```
fun f4 (1,g) = fold ((fn (12,y) => (g y)::12), [], 1)
```

A fold function over a data structure is much like a *visitor pattern* in OOP.

We define fold once and do not restrict the type of the function passed to fold or the environment in which it is defined.

In general, libraries should not unnecessarily restrict clients.

Combine functions

```
fun f1 (g,h) = fn x => g (h x)

fun f2 (g,h) = fn x => case g x of NONE => h x | SOME y => y
```