

Metaclass designs

In Smalltalk-76:

- all classes were instances of the single class <code>Metaclass</code>, which was an instance of itself
- + "simple"
- − every class had to have the same operations
 ⇒ couldn't have class-specific initialization methods

In Smalltalk-80 & Squeak:

- each class was an instance of its own unique metaclass (e.g. the class Point had a unique class Point class)
- each metaclass was an instance of the class Metaclass, which was an instance of Metaclass class, which was an instance of Metaclass
- browser hides metaclasses from user
- + allows each class to have its own class methods
- massively complicated

An alternative: drop classes

Prototype-based, or classless languages (e.g. Self, Cecil, ...)

Idea:

- let objects store their own methods directly, without recourse to a class
- · let objects inherit directly from other objects
- new objects created by copying existing ones, or by making fresh objects that inherit from existing ones
- can build separate factory objects to hold things that used to be in a class
- · browser and inspector are merged
- + no metaclasses
- + simpler language
- less structure

Other alternatives

Make classes second-class (C++)

- classes aren't real objects
- can't send them messages \Rightarrow don't have to worry about what their class is
- special second-class constructor "methods"
 - no dispatching or inheritance for second-class "methods"

Treat class "methods" in a second-class way (Java)

- classes are objects, but have a common set of methods (as in Smalltalk-76)
- introduce second-class static methods, static fields, and constructors to do some of what Smalltalk-80 classes can do

Craig Chambers

140

CSE 341