## A common pattern: map

Pattern: take a list and produce a new list, where each element of the output is calculated from the corresponding element of the input
map captures this pattern

```
map: ('a -> 'b) * 'a list -> 'b list
```


## Example:

- have a list of fahrenheit temperatures for Seattle days
- want to give a list of temps to friend in England
- specification: convert each temp (F) to temp (C)
- fun f2c(f_temp) = (f_temp - 32.0) * 5.0/9.0;
val f2c = fn : real -> real
- val f_temps $=[56.4,72.2,68.4,78.4,45.0] ;$
val $f_{-}$temps $=[56.4,72.2,68.4,78.4,45.0]$
: real list
- val c_temps $=\operatorname{map}\left(f 2 c, f \_t e m p s\right)$
val c_temps $=[13.5555555556$,
22.3333333333,
20.222222222
25.7777777778
7.22222222222] : real list


## Another common pattern: find

## Pattern:

take a list and return the first element that passes some test, raising NotFound if no element passes the test
find captures this pattern
find: ('a -> bool) * 'a list -> 'a
exception NotFound

Example: find first nice day

- val a_nice_day = find(nice_day, f_temps); a_nice_day $=72.2$ : real


## Another common pattern: filter

Pattern: take a list and produce a new list of all the elements of the first list that pass some test (a predicate)
filter captures this pattern
filter: ('a -> bool) * 'a list -> 'a list

Example:

- have a list of day * temp
- want a list of nice days
- fun nice_day(temp) = temp >=70.0;
val nice_day $=f n$ : real -> bool
- val nice_days = filter(nice_day, f_temps);
val nice_days $=[72.2,78.4]$ : real list


## Anonymous functions

Map functions and predicate functions often pretty simple, only used as argument to map, etc., don't merit their own name

Can directly write anonymous function expressions:
fn pattern formal $^{\text {f }}$ => expr $_{\text {body }}$

- $\boldsymbol{f n}(\mathrm{x})=>\mathrm{x}+1$;
val it $=$ fn : int -> int

9 : int
$-\operatorname{map}(\boldsymbol{f n}(\mathrm{f})=>(\mathrm{f}-32.0)$ * 5.0/9.0, f_temps);
val it $=[13.5555555556, \ldots]$ : real list
- filter(fn(t) => t < 60.0, f_temps);
val it $=[56.4,45.0]$ : real list


## Fun vs. fn

fn expressions are a primitive notion
val declarations are a primitive notion
fun declarations are just a convenient syntax for val +fn
fun $f($ args $)=$ expr
is sugar for
val $\mathrm{f}=(\boldsymbol{f n}($ args $)=>$ expr)
fun $\operatorname{succ}(x)=x+1$
is sugar for
val $\operatorname{succ}=(\boldsymbol{f n}(x)=>x+1)$

Explains why the type of a fun declaration prints like a val declaration with a fn value
val succ $=f n$ : int $->$ int

Symptoms of good design

- orthogonality of primitives
- syntactic sugar for common combinations
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## Nested functions and scoping

If functions can be written nested within other functions (whether named in a let expression, or anonymous) then can reference local variables in enclosing function scope

Makes nested functions a lot more useful in practice Beyond what can be done with function pointers in $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}_{++}$

## Nested functions

## An example

- fun good_days (good_temp:real,

```
= temps:real list):real list =
```

$=$ filter (fn (temp) $=>$ (temp >= good_temp),
= temps);
val good_days $=$ fn : real*real list -> real list
(* good days in Seattle: *)

- good_days(70.0, f_temps)
val it $=[72.2,78.4]$ : real list
(* good days in Fairbanks: *)
- good_days(32.0, f_temps)
val it $=[56.4,72.2,68.4,78.4,45.0]$ : real list

What's interesting about the anonymous function expression fn (temp) $=>$ (temp >= good_temp) ?

## A general pattern: reduce

The most general pattern over lists simply abstracts the standard pattern of recursion

Recursion pattern:

```
fun f(..., nil, ...) = ... (* base case *)
    | f(..., x::xs, ...) =
        (* inductive case *)
        ... x ... f(..., xs, ...) ...
```

Parameters of this pattern, for a list argument of type 'a list:
- what to return as the base case result ('b)
- how to compute the inductive result
from the head and the recursive call ('a * 'b -> 'b)
reduce captures this pattern
reduce: ('a*'b -> 'b) * 'b * 'a list -> 'b

ML's form of a loop over a list

## Examples using reduce

```
reduce: ('a*'b -> 'b) * 'b * 'a list -> 'b
```

Summing all the elements of a list

- val rainfall $=[0.0,1.2,0.0,0.4,1.3,1.1] ;$
val rainfall $=[0.0,1.2,0.0,0.4,1.3,1.1]$
: real list
- val total_rainfall =
$=\quad$ reduce $(f n(r a i n$, subtotal $)=>r a i n+s u b t o t a l$,

```
= 0.0, rainfall);
```

val total_rainfall $=4.0$ : real

## Using structures

To access declarations in a structure, use dot notation

- val league = Assoc_List.empty; val $1=[]$ : 'a list
- val league =
= Assoc_List.store(league, "Mariners", \{..\});
val league = [("Mariners", \{..\})]
: (string*\{..\}) list
- ...
- Assoc_List.fetch("Mariners");
val it $=\{$ wins $=78$,losses $=4\}:\{\ldots\}$

Other definitions of empty, store, fetch, etc. don't clash

Common names can be reused by different structures

## Modules for name-space management

A file full of types and functions can be cumbersome to manage Would like some hierarchical organization to names

Modules allow grouping declarations to achieve a hierarchical name-space
structure declarations in ML create modules

- structure Assoc_List = struct
= type (''k,'v) assoc_list = (''k*'v) list
= val empty = nil
$=$ fun store(alist, key, value) = ...
$=$ fun fetch(alist, key) = ...
= end;
structure Assoc_List : sig
type ('a,'b) assoc_list = ('a*'b) list val empty : 'a list
val store : (''a*'b) list * ''a * 'b ->
(''a*'b) list
val fetch : (''a*'b) list * ''a -> 'b
end


## The open declaration

To avoid typing a lot of structure names, can use the open struct_name declaration to introduce local synonyms for all the declarations in a structure (usually in a let or within some other struct)

```
fun create_league (names) =
    let
        open Assoc_List
        val init \(=\{\) wins \(=0\), losses \(=0\}\)
    in
        reduce (fn (name, league) =>
                                    store(league, name, init),
            empty, names)
    end
```


## Modules for encapsulation

Want to hide details of data structure implementations from clients, i.e., data abstraction

- simplify interface to clients
- allow implementation to change without affecting clients

In C++ and Java, use public/private annotations

In ML:

- define a signature that specifies the desired interface
- specify the signature with the structure declaration
E.g. a signature that hides the implementation of assoc_list:

```
- signature ASSOC_LIST = sig
\(=\) type (''a,'b) T
\(=\) val empty : (''a,'b) \(T\)
\(=\) val store : (''a,'b) \(T \star '^{\prime} a \star{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b} \rightarrow>\)
\(=\left('^{\prime} \mathrm{a},{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b}\right) \mathrm{T}\)
\(=\) val fetch : (''a,'b) \(T *\) ''a \(\rightarrow\) 'b
= end;
signature ASSOC_LIST = sig ... end
```
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## Hidden implementation

Now clients can't see implementation, nor guess it

```
- val teams = Assoc_List.empty;
val teams = - : (''a,'b) Assoc_List.T
- val teams' = "Mariners"::"Yankees"::teams;
Error: operator and operand don't agree
    operator: string * string list
    operand: string * (''Z,'Y) Assoc_List.T
- Assoc_List.helper(...);
Error: unbound variable helper in path
    Assoc_List.helper
- type Records = (string,...) Assoc_List.T;
type Records = (string,...) Assoc_List.T
- fun sortStandings(nil:Records):Records = nil
= | sortStandings(pivot::rest) = ...;
Error: pattern and constraint don't agree
    pattern: 'Z list
    constraint: Records
in pattern: nil : Records
```

How to write sortStandings, if implementation is hidden?

## Specifying the signatures of structures

Specify desired signature of structure when declaring it:

- structure Assoc_List :> ASSOC_LIST = struct
$=$ type (''k,'v) $T=\left('^{\prime} k * ' v\right)$ list
= val empty = nil
$=$ fun store(alist, key, value) = ...
$=$ fun fetch(alist, key) $=\ldots$
$=$ fun helper (...) = ...
$=$ end;
structure Assoc_List : ASSOC_LIST

The structure's interface is the given one, not the default interface that exposes everything

## Including reduce etc. in external interfaces

To provide a complete interface if representation is hidden often need to include ways of traversing the data structure

Reduce or its equivalent is often needed, as the most general pattern of iteration or recursion
E.g.:

- signature ASSOC_LIST = sig
= ...
= val reduce: ((''a * 'b) * 'c) * 'c *
$=\quad('$ 'a,'b) T -> 'c
= end
= structure Assoc_List :> ASSOC_LIST = struct
= ...
$=$ fun reduce(f, base, alist) = ...
= end;
. . .
- fun sortStandings(records) =
= ... Assoc_List.reduce(..., records) ...
...


## Modules vs. classes

Classes (abstract data types) implicitly define a single type, with associated constructors, observers, and mutators

Modules can define 0,1 , or many types in same module, with associated operations over several types

- no new types if adding operations to existing type(s)
- hard to do in C++
- multiple types can share private data \& operations
- requires friend declarations in $\mathrm{C}_{++}$
- one new type requires a name for the type (e.g. т)
- class name is also type name in C++, conveniently

C++'s public/private is simpler than ML's separate signatures, but C++ doesn't have a simple way of describing just an interface

