



pollev.com/naomila



Where are you so far on Homework 3?

- A. Haven't started yet
- B. Working on Part A (Memory-to-File Index Marshaller)
- C. Working on Part B (Index Lookup)
- D. Working on Part C (File Search Shell)
- E. Done!
- F. Prefer not to say

CSE333 Systems Programming

C++ Inheritance II

Instructors:

Naomi Alterman

Teaching Assistants:

Ann Baturytski

Barbora Buzkova

Mendel Carroll

Camden Harris

Hannah Hempstead

Rishabh Jain

Irene Lau

Jonathan Nister

Advay Patil

Aviel Wood

Angela Wu

Jennifer Xu

Administrivia 🍴

❖ The old exercise swaperoo

- We take your well-worn Ex8, give you a shiny new Ex9
- ➔ ■ Due next Wednesday (5/20)
- Building a linked list C++ style, with inheritance!

❖ Homework 3 is due next Thursday (5/21)

- Suggestion: Write index files to /tmp/, which is a local scratch disk and is very fast, but please clean up when you're done

🌀 Don't try to iron-man next week by doing Ex9 on Tuesday night and Hw3 on Wednesday+Thursday night, okay?

- Promise 🧢 ❤️ ?

* TODO
↳ update
website

Lecture Outline

❖ C++ Inheritance

- Mixed Dispatch
- Abstract Classes
- Constructors and Destructors
- Assignment

❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

Why Not Always Use `virtual`?


- ➔ Two (fairly uncommon) reasons:
- Efficiency:
 - Non-virtual function calls are a tiny bit faster (no indirect lookup)
 - A class with no virtual functions has objects without a `vptr` field
 - Control:
 - If `F()` calls `G()` in class `X` and `G` is not virtual, we're guaranteed to call `X::G()` and not `G()` in some subclass
 - Particularly useful for framework design
- ❖ In Java, all methods are virtual, except `static` class methods, which aren't associated with objects
- ❖ In C++ and C#, you can pick what you want
- Omitting `virtual` can cause obscure bugs
 - (Most of the time, you want member function to be `virtual`)

Mixed Dispatch

❖ Which function is called is a mix of both compile time and runtime decisions as well as *how* you call the function

- If called on an object (e.g., obj . **Fcn**()), usually optimized into a hard-coded function call at compile time
- If called via a pointer or reference:

```
PromisedT* ptr = new ActualT;
ptr->Fcn(); // which version is called?
```



ptr -> vtable[...]()
index known at compile time

Mixed Dispatch Example

B b()
A Sa ~ b;


mixed.cc

```

class A {
public:
    // m1 will use static dispatch
    void M1() { cout << "a1, "; }
    // m2 will use dynamic dispatch
    virtual void M2() { cout << "a2"; }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    void M1() { cout << "b1, "; }
    // m2 is still virtual by default
    void M2() { cout << "b2"; }
};

```



promised ↓ actual

```

void main(int argc, char** argv) {
    A a;
    B b;

    A* a_ptr_a = &a;
    A* a_ptr_b = &b;
    B* b_ptr_a = &a;
    B* b_ptr_b = &b;

    a_ptr_a->M1(); // A: m1
    a_ptr_a->M2(); // A: m2
    a_ptr_b->M1(); // A: m1
    a_ptr_b->M2(); // B: m2

    b_ptr_b->M1(); // B: m1
    b_ptr_b->M2(); // B: m2
}


```

← compiler error

Let's Look at Some Actual Code

- ❖ Let's examine the following code using `objdump`
 - `g++ -Wall -g -std=c++17 -o vtable vtable.cc`
 - `objdump -CDS vtable > vtable.d`

vtable.cc



```
class Base {
public:
    virtual void f1();
    virtual void f2();
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    void f1() override;
};

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Der1 d1;
    Base* bptr = &d1;
    bptr->f1(); ← dynamic
    d1.f1(); ← static
}
```

Lecture Outline

❖ C++ Inheritance

- Mixed Dispatch
- **Abstract Classes**
- **Constructors and Destructors**
- **Assignment**

❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

Abstract Classes

- ❖ Sometimes we want to include a function in a class but *only* implement it in derived classes

- In Java, we would use an abstract method
- In C++, we use a “pure virtual” function

→ Example: `virtual string Noise() = 0;`


Syntax to tell compiler there will be no machine code

- ❖ A class containing *any* pure virtual methods is **abstract**
 - You can't create instances of an abstract class
 - Extend abstract classes and override methods to use them
- ❖ A class containing *only* pure virtual methods is the same as a Java interface
 - Pure type specification without implementations



Derived-Class Objects

- ❖ A derived object contains “subobjects” corresponding to the data members inherited from each base class
 - No guarantees about how these are laid out in memory (not even contiguousness between subobjects)
- ❖ Conceptual structure of `DividendStock` object:



Constructors and Inheritance

- ❖ A derived class **does not inherit** the base class' constructor
 - The derived class must have its own constructor
 - A synthesized default constructor for the derived class first invokes the default constructor of the base class and then initializes the derived class' member variables
 - Compiler error if the base class has no default constructor
 - The base class constructor is invoked *before* the constructor of the derived class
 - You can use the initialization list of the derived class to specify which base class constructor to use

no args



Constructor Examples

badctor.cc

```

class Base { // no default ctor
public:
    Base(int yi) : y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

// Compiler error when you try to
// instantiate a Der1, as the
// synthesized default ctor needs
// to invoke Base's default ctor.
class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    int z;
};

class Der2 : public Base {
public:
    Der2(int yi, int zi)
        : Base(yi), z(zi) { }
    int z;
};

```

Handwritten notes for badctor.cc:

- Red arrows point to the `Base` constructor and the `Der1` class definition.
- Red boxes highlight `Base` in `Der2` and `Base(yi)` in the initializer list.
- Red text: "same type name" with an arrow pointing from the boxed `Base` to the boxed `Base(yi)`.
- Red text: "Use the 1-int ctor" with a bracket pointing to the `Base(yi)` call.

goodctor.cc

```

// has default ctor
class Base {
public:
    int y;
};

// works now ✓
class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    int z;
};

// still works ✓
class Der2 : public Base {
public:
    Der2(int zi) : z(zi) { }
    int z;
};

```

Handwritten notes for goodctor.cc:

- Red checkmarks are placed next to the comments: "works now" and "still works".

Destructors and Inheritance

- ❖ Destructor of a derived class:
 - First runs body of the dtor
 - Then invokes of the dtor of the base class


- ❖ Static dispatch of destructors is almost always a mistake!
 - Good habit to always define a dtor as virtual
 - Empty body if there's no work to do

```

class Base {
public:
    Base() { x = new int; }
    ~Base() { delete x; }
    int* x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    Der1() { y = new int; }
    ~Der1() { delete y; }
    int* y;
};

void Foo() {
    Base* b0ptr = new Base;
    Base* b1ptr = new Der1;
    delete b0ptr; // ~ Base
    delete b1ptr; // ~ Base
}
    
```



Assignment and Inheritance

slicing.cc

- ❖ C++ allows you to assign the value of a derived class to an instance of a base class
 - Known as object slicing
 - It's legal since `b = d` passes type checking rules
 - But `b` doesn't have space for any extra fields in `d`
 - We need to be careful about assignment operators if we're object slicing.
 - See this StackOverflow discussion for details:
<https://stackoverflow.com/a/14461532>

```
class Base {
public:
    Base(int xi) : x(xi) { }
    int x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    Der1(int yi) : Base(16), y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

void Foo() {
    Base b(1);
    Der1 d(2);

    d = b; // Computer error
    b = d; // ok
}
```

STL and Inheritance (1/2)

- ❖ Recall: STL containers store **copies of values**
 - What happens when we want to store mixes of object types in a single container? (e.g., Stock and DividendStock)
 - You get sliced 😞

```
#include <list>
#include "Stock.h"
#include "DividendStock.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Stock s;
    DividendStock ds;
    → list<Stock> li;

    li.push_back(s); // OK
    li.push_back(ds); // OUCH!

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

DivStock
sliced into
Stock ;)

STL and Inheritance (2/2)

`vector<shared_ptr<Stack>>`

❖ Instead, store **smart pointers to heap-allocated objects** in STL containers

- No slicing! 😊
- **sort**() does the wrong thing by default 😞

Specify a compare
fn for sort/maps/
etc

Extra Exercise #1

- ❖ Design a class hierarchy to represent shapes
 - *e.g.*, Circle, Triangle, Square
- ❖ Implement methods that:
 - Construct shapes
 - Move a shape (*i.e.*, add (x,y) to the shape position)
 - Returns the centroid of the shape
 - Returns the area of the shape
 - **Print**(), which prints out the details of a shape

Extra Exercise #2

- ❖ Implement a program that uses Extra Exercise #1 (shapes class hierarchy):
 - Constructs a vector of shapes
 - Sorts the vector according to the area of the shape
 - Prints out each member of the vector

- ❖ Notes:
 - Avoid slicing!
 - Make sure the sorting works properly!