



pollev.com/naomila



**We've talked about C++. Let's talk about C--.
What feature of C do we cast into the sea?**

- A. **Pointer types**
- B. **Dynamic memory allocation**
- C. **Structs**
- D. **Arrays**
- E. Preprocessor macros
- F. **None. They're all my precious babies and I'm keeping them.**

CSE333 Systems Programming

C++: Templates

Instructors:

Naomi Alterman

Teaching Assistants:

Ann Baturytski

Barbora Buzkova

Mendel Carroll

Camden Harris

Hannah Hempstead

Rishabh Jain

Irene Lau

Jonathan Nister

Advay Patil

Aviel Wood

Angela Wu

Jennifer Xu

Administrivia

- ❖ Psst! Hey! Nice **Ex6!** Trade you for an **Ex7?**
 - Due next Wednesday (post-midterm)
 - Take your code from Ex6 and “heapify” it

- ❖ **Homework 2** due tomorrow (2/5)

- ❖ **Midterm** next **Monday 5/4 11:30-12:20** in **Gates G20** (this room)
 - Covers material through end-of-lecture today
 - [Reference sheet](#) and two-sided 8.5x11” *handwritten* cheat sheet!
 - Midterm **review session** this **Friday 5/1 5:30-7:30p** in **Gates G04**
 - Practice midterms and solutions on the course website

```
class Foo {  
public:  
    Foo(int val) { Init(val); }  
    ~Foo() { delete foo_ptr_; }  
    Foo& operator=(const Foo& rhs) {  
        delete foo_ptr_;  
        Init(*(rhs.foo_ptr_));  
        return *this;  
    }  
  
private:  
    int *foo_ptr_;  
    void Init(int val) {  
        foo_ptr_ = new int;  
        *foo_ptr_ = val;  
    }  
}  
  
void Bar() {  
    Foo a(10);  
    Foo b(20);  
    a = a;  
}
```

Last class: what will happen when we invoke **Bar()**?

And if there is an error, how would we fix it?

- A. Bad dereference
- B. Bad delete
- C. Memory leak
- D. “Works” fine
- E. Look hon, how am I supposed to know?

Rule of Three, Revisited

- ❖ Now what will happen when we invoke **Bar** ()?
 - If there is an error, how would you fix it?

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~~Foo() { delete foo_ptr_; }

void Foo::Init(int val) {
    foo_ptr_ = new int;
    *foo_ptr_ = val;
}

Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
    if (&rhs != this) {
        delete foo_ptr_;
        Init(*(rhs.foo_ptr_));
    }
    return *this;
}

void Bar() {
    Foo a(10);
    Foo b = a;
}
```

Lecture Outline

- ❖ **Templates**

Suppose that...

- ❖ You want to write a function to compare two `ints`
- ❖ You want to write a function to compare two `strings`
 - Function overloading!

```
// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
int compare(const int& value1, const int& value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}

// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
int compare(const string& value1, const string& value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

Hm...

- ❖ The two implementations of **compare** are nearly identical!
 - What if we wanted a version of **compare** for *every* comparable type?
 - We could write (many) more functions, but that's obviously wasteful and redundant
- ❖ What we'd prefer to do is write "*generic code*"
 - Code that is **type-independent** (*i.e.*, **polymorphic** across types)

C++ Parametric Polymorphism

- ❖ C++ has the notion of **templates**
 - A function or class that accepts a **type** as a parameter
 - You define the function or class once in a type-agnostic way
 - When you invoke the function or instantiate the class, you specify (one or more) types or values as arguments to it
 - This is in addition to the normal function parameters
 - At **compile-time**, the compiler will generate the “specialized” code from your template using the types you provided
 - Your template definition is NOT runnable code
 - Code is *only* generated if you use your template

Function Templates

- ❖ Template to **compare** two “things”:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
template <typename T> // <...> can also be written <class T>
int compare(const T& value1, const T& value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    std::string h("hello"), w("world");
    std::cout << compare<int>(10, 20) << std::endl;
    std::cout << compare<std::string>(h, w) << std::endl;
    std::cout << compare<double>(50.5, 50.6) << std::endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

functiontemplate.cc

Compiler Inference

- ❖ Same thing, but letting the compiler infer the types:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
template <typename T>
int compare(const T& value1, const T& value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    std::string h("hello"), w("world");
    std::cout << compare(10, 20) << std::endl; // ok
    std::cout << compare(h, w) << std::endl;    // ok
    std::cout << compare("Hello", "World") << std::endl; // hm...
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

functiontemplate_infer.cc

Template Non-types

- ❖ You can use non-types (constant values) in a template:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// return pointer to new N-element heap array filled with val
template <typename T, int N>
T* initialize_array_on_heap(const T& val) {
    T* a = new T[N];
    for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i)
        a[i] = val;
    return a;
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    int* ip = initialize_array_on_heap<int, 10>(17);
    string* sp = initialize_array_on_heap <string, 17>("hello");
    ...
}
```

What's Going On?

- ❖ The compiler doesn't generate any code when it sees the template function definition
 - It doesn't know what code to generate yet, since it doesn't know what types are involved
- ❖ When the compiler sees the function being used, then it understands what types are involved
 - It generates the *instantiation* of the template and compiles it (kind of like macro expansion)
 - The compiler generates template instantiations for *each* type used as a template parameter

The Great Tragedy of C++ Templates

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b);

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

```
#include "compare.h"

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

compare.cc

Solution #1 (Google Style Guide prefers)

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

Solution #2 (you'll see this sometimes)

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b);

#include "compare.cc"

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

```
template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

compare.cc



Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/naomila

Which is the *simplest* command (or set of commands) that will compile our program?

❖ Assume we are using Solution #2 (.h includes .cc)

- A. `g++ -o tragedy main.cc`
- B. `g++ -o tragedy main.cc compare.cc`
- C. `g++ -o tragedy main.cc compare.h`
- D. `g++ -c main.cc`
`g++ -c compare.cc`
`g++ -o tragedy main.o compare.o`
- E. I'm not even gonna try...

Class Templates

- ❖ Templates are useful for classes as well
 - (In fact, that was one of the main motivations for templates!)
- ❖ Imagine we want a class that holds a pair of things. Users of the class can:
 - Get and set the value of the first thing
 - Get and set the value of the second thing
 - Swap the values of the things
 - Print the pair of things

Pair Class Definition

Pair.h

```
#ifndef PAIR_H_
#define PAIR_H_

template <typename Thing>
class Pair {
public:
    Pair() { };

    Thing get_first() const { return first_; }
    Thing get_second() const { return second_; }
    void set_first(const Thing& copyme);
    void set_second(const Thing& copyme);
    void Swap();

private:
    Thing first_, second_;
};

#include "Pair.cc"

#endif // PAIR_H_
```

Pair Function Definitions

Pair.cc

```
template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::set_first(const Thing& copyme) {
    first_ = copyme;
}

template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::set_second(const Thing& copyme) {
    second_ = copyme;
}

template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::Swap() {
    Thing tmp = first_;
    first_ = second_;
    second_ = tmp;
}

template <typename T>
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Pair<T>& p) {
    return out << "Pair(" << p.get_first() << ", "
               << p.get_second() << ")";
}
```

Using Pair

usepair.cc

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

#include "Pair.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Pair<std::string> ps;
    std::string x("foo"), y("bar");

    ps.set_first(x);
    ps.set_second(y);
    ps.Swap();
    std::cout << ps << std::endl;

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Class Template Notes (look in *Primer* for more)

- ❖ **Thing** is replaced with template argument when class is instantiated
- ❖ Class template parameters are in scope everywhere within the class's curly braces
 - Inline methods in the class's `{}`s don't need a `template<>` line above them.
 - Method definitions outside of the `{}`s gotta have a `template<>` line above them, with the same parameters
 - The names *should* match, but don't need to
 - Only template methods that actually get called in your program are instantiated (but this is an implementation detail)

Review Questions

1. Why are only `get_first()` and `get_second()` const?
2. Why do the accessor methods return `Thing` and not references?
3. Why is `operator<<` not a `friend` function?
4. What happens in the default constructor for `Pair` when `Thing` is a class?
5. In the execution of `Swap()`, how many times are each of the following invoked (assuming `Thing` is a class)?

ctor ____

cctor ____

op= ____

dtor ____

Code for "Pair"

